

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Whatcom County	DOCKET NO. TR. 180464 PETITION FOR INSTALLATION OF
Petitioner,) MEDIAN BARRIERS AT A) HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE
vs. Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway) CROSSING)
Respondent)) USDOT CROSSING NO.: #084821L)
********************)

The Petitioner asks the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission to approve installation of median barriers at a highway-rail grade crossing.

Section 1 – Petitioner's Information

Whatcom County	
Petitioner	
James P Kareher 5.25.18	
Signature	
322 North Commercial Street, Suite 301	
Street Address	Editor Acade e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Bellingham, WA 98225	
City, State and Zip Code	
Mailing Address, if different than the street address	NA THE RESERVE
James P. Karcher, P.E Engineering Manager	
Contact Person Name	
(360)778-6271 jkarcher@co.whatcom.wa.us	
Contact Phone Number and Email Address	

Section 2 – Respondent's Information

Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway	
Respondent	
2454 Occidental Avenue South, Suite 2D	, * ,
Street Address	
Seattle, WA 98134	
City, State and Zip Code	
Mailing Address, if different than the street address	
Mr. Stephen Semenick- Manager Public Projects	
Contact Person Name	
(206)625-6152 stephen.semenick@BNSF.com	
Contact Phone Number and Email Address	

Section 3 – Crossing Location

1. Name of highway/roadway Cliffside Drive	
2. Name of railroad BNSF Railway	
3. USDOT Crossing No. <u>084821L</u>	
4. Located in the 1/4 of the 1/4 of Sec. 15 , Twp. 38N , Range 2E W.M.	
5. GPS location, if known 48.7780214, -122.5392244	
6. Railroad mile post (nearest tenth) 100.3	
7. City Bellingham County Whatcom	

Section 4 - Current Crossing Traffic

1. Type of public road at the crossing □ State ☑ County □ City		
□ Port □ State Park □ Other		
2. Average daily vehicle traffic over the tracks 300 Vehicle speed limit 25		
3. Number of lanes		
4. Trucks (commercial vehicles) are what percent of average daily traffic1%		
5. Number of school buses over the crossing each day0		
6. Name of railroad(s) operating at crossing BNSF, AmTrak		
a fine in the part of the part		
7. Type of railroad at crossing ☑ Common Carrier ☐ Logging ☐ Industrial		
☑Passenger □ Excursion		
8. Type of tracks at crossing ✓ Main Line □ Siding or Spur		
9. Number of tracks at crossing1		
10. Average daily train traffic, freight 17		
Authorized freight train speed 50 Operated freight train speed 45		
11. Average daily train traffic, passenger 2		
Authorized passenger train speed 79 Operated passenger train speed 45		

Section 5 - Justification

- 1. Provide the following information:
- a. Describe in detail the why this crossing should have median barriers installed.

The Cliffside Drive is a no outlet local access road to a small community of approximately 44 residences that live on the westerly side of the crossing. These residences are the predominant users of this crossing. The current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) is 300 vehicles. There are no school or city buses that use this crossing. The medians will provide a physical deterrent in the absence of the train horn which only provides a warning to motorists. The crossing will maintain the existing warning devices consisting of: two (2) entrance gates, advanced warning signs, bells, and 8 flashing lights that will be upgraded to LED through the proposed project. A eighteen foot long precast curb will be installed at the northeast quadrant of the crossing to protect the crossing gate assembly (see attached plan). The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has calculated the current risk index at this crossing to be below the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold inferring that the current configuration meets the minimum requirements to become a quiet zone. However, Whatcom County still proposes to install Supplemental Safety Measures that will further reduce the risk index by 60%.

b. Provide a description of the type of median barriers proposed.

The mountable median will extend 100 feet both east and west of the crossing qualifying these improvements to considered Supplemental Safety Measures. The mountable median is constructed of a high strength composite material. It is 10-5/8 inches wide and has a dome shape that is 4 inches tall. The 44 inch sections fasten together and are anchored to the pavement using a nylon expansion molly and 5/8 inch by 6 inch lag screws. The reflective traffic channelization devices are attached to the curb using a rubber boot that slides into a machined groove in the curb at 80 inch intervals. These reflective traffic channelization devices are 40 inches by 8-3/4 inches with 232 square inches of type III reflective sheeting on both sides. The break away reflective traffic channelization devices, provide an effective deterent for the typical motorists while allowing for emergency apparatus to openly access and use the full width of the roadway.

c. Describe who will maintain the barriers.

The proposed barriers will be maintained by Whatcom County Public Works' Maintainance and Operations Division. The barriers will be maintained at the original installed condition and all damage compromising the functionality of the barrier will be corrected immediately. A thorough annual inspection will be conducted to ensure that there is no degredation of the material and the inspection will be documented and provided to the UTC, FRA, or BNSF upon request. After installation of the proposed barrier Whatcom County intends to monitor the site and maintain a clear line of communication to identify and address any violations that may occur during this time. If violations become an issue, Whatcom County recognizes that adjustments may need to be made.

d. Attach a proposed diagram or design of the crossing and median barriers.

Please see the attached plan of the proposed improvements.

Section 6 – Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

Waiver of Hearing	
The undersigned represents t following crossing.	he Respondent in the petition to install median barriers at the
USDOT Crossing No.	
as described by the Petitioner	nditions at the crossing. We are satisfied the conditions are the same in this docket. We agree installation of median barriers should be on by the commission without a hearing.
Dated at	, Washington, on the day of
, 2	
	Printed name of Respondent
	Signature of Respondent's Representative
	Title
	Company Name
	Phone number and email address
	Mailing address

