Qwest

1600 7th Avenue, Room 1506 Seattle, Washington 98191 Phone: (206) 345-1574 Facsimile (206) 343-4040

Lisa A. Ander! Associate General Counsel Regulatory Law Department

June 3, 2009



Via E-mail and Overnight Mail

Mr. David Danner, Executive Director/Secretary Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Consolidated

Docket No. UT-053036 - PacWest Complaint

Docket No. UT-053039 - Level 3 Communications Complaint

Qwest Supplemental Authority

Dear Mr. Danner:

Pursuant to the discussion at the status conference on May 27, 2009, Qwest submits two briefs filed by the FCC in proceedings before the D.C. Circuit. These briefs support Qwest's position that the FCC's ISP Remand Order and ISP Mandamus Order did not hold that VNXX ISP traffic is subject to reciprocal compensation under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. This authority supports Qwest's recommendation that this matter should not be held in abeyance pending federal court rulings, as it is unlikely that the existing law on this issue will change.

First, in a brief filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit (Tab 1), the FCC states that VNXX is not addressed in the *ISP Remand Order* and was not considered in the remand underlying the *Mandamus Order*: "VNXX-related issues, therefore, are not within the scope of the *WorldCom* remand," and "the *ISP Remand Order* did not purport to address VNXX calls"

Second, the FCC's brief in Core Communications, Inc. v. FCC (Tab 2) describes the interim pricing rules established in the ISP Remand Order as applying only to traffic involving "two LECs [that] collaborate to deliver calls to an ISP within a local calling area" The brief explains that the Mandamus Order is limited to the traffic at issue in that proceeding (calls to an ISP in the same LCA), stating that arguments relating to other types of traffic "may have implications in other cases involving other types of traffic, but the Commission has not applied its interpretation [of §251(b)(5)] to those other cases."

Sincerely,

Lisa A. Anderl

LAA/llw

Enclosures

cc: All parties of record (w/encl.) (via e-mail and U.S. mail)

³ Id. at 45

¹ Tab 1 at 26 and n. 22.

Tab 2 at 21 (emphasis added).