

1 Q. What is the resulting adjustment?

2 A. The difference between these amounts is \$39.0 million in the PCORC rate year at
3 Tenaska and \$7.2 million at Encogen (line 22). The sum of the two, after
4 reducing the Tenaska figure for the Prudence Review disallowance and after
5 applying the production factor, is \$45.3 million (line 26).

6

7 Q. How does this adjustment relate to your adjustment in Exhibit ____ (TES-4C)?

8 A. The disallowance of \$19.8 million in Exhibit __ (TES-4C) overlaps with Mr. Elgin's
9 disallowance shown in Exhibit __ (TES-6C). The disallowance of \$45.2 million
10 less the disallowance of \$19.8 million gives an incremental reduction of \$25.446
11 million. This is shown on line 28 of Exhibit ____ (TES-6C). Mr. Russell shows
12 the two adjustments in Exhibit ____ (JMR-2C) as new Adjustment 12 for the
13 "Prudence Review" disallowance sponsored by myself, and new Adjustment 13
14 as the incremental disallowance sponsored by Mr. Elgin.

15

16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

17 A. Yes.