## Docket No. UE-200980 - Vol. I

## **WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy**

January 13, 2021



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

## 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



## Docket No. UE-200980 - Vol. I - 1/13/2021

Page 1	Page 3
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont.) 2 FOR AWEC:
	3 BRENT COLEMAN Davison Van Cleve
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, ) ) Complainant, )	4 1750 Southwest Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201
) vs. )	5 (971) 710-1157
) PUGET SOUND ENERGY, )	blc@dvclaw.com 6
	7 FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT:
Respondent. )	8 SIMON FFITCH
VIRTUAL PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME I Pages 1-15	9 Attorney at Law
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MICHAEL HOWARD	321 High School Road Northeast 10 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 (206) 669-8197
January 13, 2021	11 simon@ffitchlaw.com
9:30 a.m.	13
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast	14
Lacey, Washington 98503	16 17
REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358	18
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	19 20
1325 - 4th Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101	21 22
(206) 287-9066   Seattle (360) 534-9066   Olympia	23
(800) 846-6989   National www.buellrealtime.com	24 25
Page 2	Page 4
1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; JANUARY 21, 2021
3 MICHAEL HOWARD 4	2 9:30 A.M. 3000
5 FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	4 PROCEEDINGS
6 JOE DALLAS	5
7 DANIEL TEIMOURI Office of the Attorney General	<ul> <li>JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be on the record.</li> <li>We're here today for a prehearing conference in Docket</li> </ul>
8 PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504	8 UE-200980, which is captioned Washington Utilities and
9 (360) 664-1192 joe.dallas@utc.wa.gov	9 Transportation Commission versus Puget Sound Energy.
10 daniel.teimouri@utc.wa.gov	10 My name is Michael Howard. I am an
FOR PUGET SOUND ENERGY:	11 administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities
SHEREE STROM CARSON	<ul> <li>and Transportation Commission, and I will be copresiding</li> <li>in this matter along with the Commissioners.</li> </ul>
Perkins Coie LLP	14 Let's start by taking appearances and
14 10885 NE Fourth Street, Suite 700 Bellevue, Washington 98004	15 addressing the petitions for intervention.
15 (425) 635-1400 scarson@perkinscoie.com	16 Could we have an appearance first from Puget
16 dsteele@perkinscoie.com 17	17 Sound Energy.
FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:	18 MS. CARSON: Yes, Your Honor, good morning.
18 LISA GAFKEN	<ol> <li>I'm Sheree Strom Carson from Perkins Coie representing</li> <li>Puget Sound Energy. And also on the line I think is</li> </ol>
19 Washington Attorney General's Office 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000	20 Puget Sound Energy. And also on the line I think is 21 David Steele, who can make an appearance as well.
20 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 389-2055	22 JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.
21 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov	23 MS. CARSON: David, are you muted?
22 23	24 MR. STEELE: I am on the phone. Good
24	25 morning, Your Honor. David Steele on behalf of Perkins
25	

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Docket No. UE-200980 - V	Vol. I - 1/13/2021
--------------------------	--------------------

	Page 5		Page 7
1	Coie on behalf of PSE on the line as well.	will certainly be helpful. As I un	derstand, the
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Steele.	2 schedule we received from the	
3	Could we have an appearance from Staff.	3 will read the proposed deadline	
4	MR. DALLAS: Yes, Your Honor. Joe Dallas,	4 prehearing conference into the	• •
5	Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of Commission	5 The following deadline v	
6	Staff.	on January 26th; we have a sup	
7	MR. TEIMOURI: And also here is Daniel	7 Puget Sound Energy updating p	-
8	Teimouri, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of	a parties-only settlement confer	-
9	Commission Staff.	response testimony due date of	
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	cross-answering testimony due	
11	And could have we have an appearance from	cutoff of April 12th; a deadline for	
12	Public Counsel.	2 cross-examination exhibits on A	
13	MS. GAFKEN: Yes, good morning. This is	also take that as the deadline for	
14	Lisa Gafken, Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of	and providing the ALJ cross-exa	-
15	Public Counsel.	on that same date.	
16	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	5 The hearing date of Apri	il 22nd continuing if
17	And could we have an appearance from AWEC.	7 necessary to the following day;	initial post-hearing
18	MR. COLEMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.	briefs due on May 7th; reply brie	efs due on May 14th; and
19	Brent Coleman from the law firm Davison Van Cleve on	a requested final order date of J	lune 16th. And the
20	behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers.	parties are proposing that data	request response time is
21	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Coleman.	five business dates at the outse	t, which I would take to
22	And could we have an appearance from The	2 mean from the effective date of	the prehearing
23	Energy Project.	3 conference order and drops to f	our business days after
24	MR. FFITCH: Good morning, Your Honor.	4 the deadline for response testim	iony.
25	Simon ffitch appearing on behalf of The Energy Project.	5 As I understand, the par	ties do not object
	Page 6		Daga 9
			Page 8
1		to the schedule, but does any	
1 2	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.		/ party have any objection
	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene.	2 or concern that they would like	y party have any objection the to raise at this time?
2	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> </ul>	<pre>/ party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any</pre>
2 3	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the	or concern that they would like 1 would I would be I'd be	<pre>/ party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any</pre>
2 3 4	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket?	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> </ul>	<pre>/ party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any</pre>
2 3 4 5	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> </ul>	/ party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This it</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public jections or concerns about
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any object</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional
2 3 4 5 7 8	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to rate</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the
2 3 5 7 8 9 10	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today?	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This it</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to rat</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h.</li> <li>parties about this, but I realiz</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the vic comment hearing. It's
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection.	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> <li>parties about this, but I realizi</li> <li>schedule [interference] a pub</li> <li>a fairly compressed schedule</li> <li>Sound Energy customers eng</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the shic comment hearing. It's o, but we know that Puget gage in in the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff.	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> <li>parties about this, but I realize</li> <li>schedule [interference] a pub</li> <li>a fairly compressed schedule</li> <li>Sound Energy customers enge</li> <li>process quite regularly, and s</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> <li>parties about this, but I realize</li> <li>schedule [interference] a public</li> <li>a fairly compressed schedule</li> <li>Sound Energy customers enge</li> <li>process quite regularly, and si</li> <li>scheduling a public comment</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted.	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> <li>parties about this, but I realizi</li> <li>schedule [interference] a publici</li> <li>a fairly compressed schedule</li> <li>Sound Energy customers eng</li> <li>process quite regularly, and si</li> <li>scheduling a public comment</li> <li>well.</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the slic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth the hearing for this matter as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a	<ul> <li>or concern that they would lik</li> <li>I would I would be I'd be</li> <li>party if anyone has any object</li> <li>necessarily in order.</li> <li>MS. GAFKEN: This i</li> <li>Counsel. I don't have any ob</li> <li>the schedule. I did want to ra</li> <li>thing, and I apologize, I I h</li> <li>parties about this, but I realizi</li> <li>schedule [interference] a publicies</li> <li>Sound Energy customers enging</li> <li>process quite regularly, and sischeduling a public comment</li> <li>well.</li> </ul>	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         1       schedule [interference] a public         2       a fairly compressed schedule         3       scheduling a public comment         4       process quite regularly, and s         5       scheduling a public comment         6       JUDGE HOWARD: W	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth the hearing for this matter as Nould would PSE like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         10       parties about this, but I realiz         11       schedule [interference] a public         12       schedule [interference] a public         13       Sound Energy customers enge         14       process quite regularly, and sist         15       scheduling a public comment         16       Well.         17       JUDGE HOWARD: W         18       mespond to that?         19       MS. CARSON: PSE	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth the hearing for this matter as Nould would PSE like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         10       parties about this, but I realiz         11       schedule [interference] a pub         12       schedule [interference] a pub         13       Sound Energy customers eng         14       process quite regularly, and s         15       scheduling a public comment         16       JUDGE HOWARD: W         17       MS. CARSON: PSE         18       public comment hearing.	y party have any objection to to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth the hearing for this matter as Would would PSE like to has no objection to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would of course encourage the parties to review that if they	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         1       parties about this, but I realiz         2       schedule [interference] a pub         2       a fairly compressed schedule         3       Sound Energy customers eng         4       process quite regularly, and s         5       scheduling a public comment         6       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       Dublic comment hearing.         1       JUDGE HOWARD: M	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth the hearing for this matter as Nould would PSE like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would of course encourage the parties to review that if they have not already.	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to rat         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         1       schedule [interference] a pub         2       a fairly compressed schedule         3       scheduling a public comment         4       process quite regularly, and s         5       scheduling a public comment         6       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       JUDGE HOWARD: W         2       to that?	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth thearing for this matter as Nould would PSE like to has no objection to a Nould Staff like to respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would of course encourage the parties to review that if they have not already. Next is the procedural schedule for this	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         10       parties about this, but I realiz         11       schedule [interference] a pub         12       schedule [interference] a pub         13       scheduling a public comment         14       yrocess quite regularly, and s         15       scheduling a public comment         16       well.         17       JUDGE HOWARD: W         18       respond to that?         19       ms. CARSON: PSE         11       JUDGE HOWARD: W         12       to that?         13       MR. DALLAS: Staff of	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth thearing for this matter as Nould would PSE like to has no objection to a Nould Staff like to respond does not have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would of course encourage the parties to review that if they have not already. Next is the procedural schedule for this case. I appreciate the parties' efforts preparing this	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         1       parties about this, but I realiz         2       a fairly compressed schedule         3       Sound Energy customers eng         4       process quite regularly, and s         5       scheduling a public comment         6       WS. CARSON: PSE         9       public comment hearing.         1       JUDGE HOWARD: W         2       a that?         9       MS. CARSON: PSE         9       public comment hearing.         1       JUDGE HOWARD: W         2       to that?         3       MR. DALLAS: Staff of         4       objection, but I would just ech	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth thearing for this matter as Would would PSE like to has no objection to a Would Staff like to respond does not have any no Public Counsel that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. So moving on to the petitions to intervene. Do we have any petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in writing in this docket? Hearing none, let's proceed. So we received two petitions to intervene; one from AWEC, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, and one from The Energy Project. We did not receive any written objections to these petitions. Are there any objections to them today? MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection. MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no objection. MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff. JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene are granted. Next I would note on the issue of a protective order that the Commission's already entered a protective order in this docket with provisions governing highly confidential information, and I would of course encourage the parties to review that if they have not already. Next is the procedural schedule for this	2       or concern that they would lik         3       I would I would be I'd be         4       party if anyone has any object         5       necessarily in order.         6       MS. GAFKEN: This i         7       Counsel. I don't have any ob         8       the schedule. I did want to ra         9       thing, and I apologize, I I h         10       parties about this, but I realiz         11       schedule [interference] a pub         12       schedule [interference] a pub         13       scheduling a public comment         14       yrocess quite regularly, and s         15       scheduling a public comment         16       well.         17       JUDGE HOWARD: W         18       respond to that?         19       ms. CARSON: PSE         11       JUDGE HOWARD: W         12       to that?         13       MR. DALLAS: Staff of	y party have any objection te to raise at this time? willing to hear from any ctions or any concerns, not s Lisa Gafken with Public ojections or concerns about aise one one additional ave not approached the ed this morning the dic comment hearing. It's e, but we know that Puget gage in in the public so it it may be worth thearing for this matter as Would would PSE like to has no objection to a Would Staff like to respond does not have any no Public Counsel that it

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	would would need to be given to where to put it, but	1	case, I would recommend only one public comment hearing,
2	we have no objection.	2	and in terms of when, it's nice to have the public
3	JUDGE HOWARD: Would would either of the	3	hearing after response testimony is filed. I understand
4	intervenors like to respond on that issue?	4	that with the compressed schedule that may be difficult,
5	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing on behalf of AWEC.	5	and so I recommend the hearing be set whenever it's
6	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	6	convenient for the Commissioners.
7	Mr. ffitch, you may have been muted if you	7	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you, Ms. Gafken.
8	were speaking.	8	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. I will take that
9	MR. FFITCH: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. At	9	under advisement and include a ruling in the prehearing
10	some point, I'll begin to become more adept with the	10	conference order on that issue.
11	mute button. I just wanted to comment that we generally	11	MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.
12	support public comment hearings. We'd be happy to work	12	JUDGE HOWARD: Are there any other
13	with the other parties to determine what's appropriate	13	objections or concerns any party would like to raise
14	in these cases in this case.	14	with the schedule we've been discussing?
15	JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. Gafken, seeing as there	15	All right. Hearing none, again, I
16	aren't any objections from the other parties, I would	16	appreciate the parties working on the schedule. I
17	certainly take that I would take Public Counsel's	17	anticipate that this schedule will work for the
18	point here under advisement. When I was reviewing the	18	Commission, and I will be incorporating it into the
19	past schedules in PCORC proceedings, power cost-only	19	prehearing conference order.
20	rate cases, for PSE, I did notice that there did not	20	I would before we end today, I'm just
21	appear to be public comment hearings, but that is	21	going to touch on a few kind of housekeeping issues.
22	certainly something we could consider and I will have to	22	The first would be on the issue of data requests.
23	think about that.	23	Parties in our cases often request that any data request
24	MS. GAFKEN: Yeah, [interference] public	24	and response are shared with every other party. We can
25	comment hearings were done. You know, I will just note	25	make this easier on the parties by including such a
			make the cusion of the particle by more any second
	Page 10		Page 12
1	[interference] I usually give a recommendation about	1	requirement in the prehearing conference order. Is
2	when and how many hearings, and in this case, I would	2	there any objection to my including that requirement?
3	I would only recommend one public comment hearing. And	3	MS. CARSON: No objection.
4	while it's useful to have them after response testimony	4	MR. FFITCH: No objection.
5	is filed, given that the schedule is so compressed, I	5	MS. GAFKEN: No objection.
6	think there's a lot of flexibility as to when when it	6	MR. DALLAS: No objection.
7	could be scheduled, and and I would say that it would	7	JUDGE HOWARD: Hearing no objections, I will
8	just depend on Commissioner availability and the	8	plan on including such a requirement.
9	Commission's convenience.	9	And just a few more items. I would remind
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Ms. Garlinghouse, did you	10	the parties that the Commission requires electronic
11	did you have a concern?	11	filing of documents for formal filings. We will be
12	THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, just the first	12	suspending the requirements for paper copies of filed
13	part of what she was saying was really garbled.	13	documents in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, and this
14	MS. GAFKEN: My apologies. I was getting	14	will be memorialized in the prehearing conference order.
15	some feedback as well, so I was hoping that it didn't	15	Also, the Commission's rules provide for
16	become too garbled. The beginning of what I was saying	16	electronic service of documents. The Commission will
17	is that I had also noticed that previous cases had not	17	serve the parties electronically and the parties will
18	had a public comment hearing scheduled as well but that	18	serve each other electronically.
19	we we have seen quite a bit of public participation	19	With regard to designating an individual for
20	in Puget cases. Customers are very interested in what	20	service, if any party has not yet designated a lead
21	Puget is doing and what's happening in front of the	21	representative for service, please do so via email to me
22	Commission.	22	as soon as possible. My email is
23	And then the next thing that I said was that	23	Michael.Howard@utc.wa.gov. I believe all the parties in
	Lucuelly provide a recommendation chaut when and how	24	this case so far have already entered their notices of
24	I usually provide a recommendation about when and how	21	
24 25	many public comment hearings there are, and in this	25	appearance.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
1	For service list additions of support staff,	1	CERTIFICATE
2	if any of the parties would like to add names or email	2	CERTITICATE
3	addresses of other representatives or support staff who	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	should receive electronic courtesy copies of documents	4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	filed in this proceeding, please email that to us as	5	
6	well.	6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
7	Finally, there's the issue of errata sheets.	7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	Under WAC 480-07-461(b), the deadline for filing errata	8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
9	sheets to exhibits may be included in the prehearing	9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill
10	conference order. Does anyone have an objection to	10	
11	setting a deadline a week prior to the evidentiary	11	-Jackin andinghouse
12	hearing for errata sheets? And actually, I would I		Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
13	would amend that and I would make that the April 19th,	12	
14	which is the same deadline as the deadline for	13	
15	cross-exhibits and witness lists.	14	
16	MS. CARSON: No objection.	15	
17	MR. FFITCH: No objection.	16	
18	MS. GAFKEN: No objection from Public	17	
19	Counsel.	18 19	
20	MR. DALLAS: No objection from Staff.	20	
21	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you. Hearing no	20	
22	objections, I will include that.	22	
23	Is there anything else we should address	23	
24	before we adjourn today? All right. I will issue the	24	
25	order shortly following our conference today giving the	25	
	Page 14		
1	schedule and other guidelines for disposition of this		
2	case. We are adjourned. Thank you.		
3	(Adjourned at 9:46 a.m.)		
4			
5			
б			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

4 (Pages 13 to 15)

Page	1	6
------	---	---

				Faye TO
A	<b>behalf</b> 4:25 5:1,5,8	Commissioners	6:14 8:23 12:6	electronically
	5:14,20,25 9:5	4:13 11:6	13:20	12:17,18
<b>a.m</b> 1:16 4:2 14:3	<b>believe</b> 12:23	Complainant 1:5	<b>Daniel</b> 2:7 5:7	email 12:21,22 13:2
ability 15:9	Bellevue 2:14	compressed 8:12	daniel.teimouri	13:5
accurate 15:9	best 15:9	10:5 11:4	2:10	
<b>add</b> 13:2				encourage 6:21
additional 8:8	<b>bit</b> 10:19	<b>concern</b> 8:2 10:11	<b>data</b> 7:20 11:22,23	<b>Energy</b> 1:7 2:11
additions 13:1	blc@dvclaw.com	<b>concerns</b> 8:4,7	date 7:9,15,16,19	3:7 4:9,17,20 5:20
address 13:23	3:5	11:13	7:22	5:23,25 6:7,7 7:7
addresses 13:3	Box 2:8	conference 1:11	dates 7:21	8:13
addressing 4:15	Brent 3:3 5:19	4:7 6:25 7:4,8,23	<b>David</b> 2:13 4:21,23	engage 8:13
adept 9:10	<b>briefs</b> 7:18,18	11:10,19 12:1,14	4:25	entered 6:18 12:24
adjourn 13:24	<b>Buell</b> 1:21	13:10,25	<b>Davison</b> 3:3 5:19	<b>errata</b> 13:7,8,12
adjourned 14:2,3	<b>business</b> 7:21,23	confidential 6:20	<b>day</b> 7:17	estimates 7:14
administrative	<b>button</b> 9:11	consider 9:22	<b>days</b> 7:23	evidentiary 13:11
1:13 2:2 4:11		Consumers 5:20	<b>deadline</b> 7:5,11,13	exhibits 7:12 13:9
advisement 9:18	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}}$	6:7	7:24 13:8,11,14	
11:9	<b>C</b> 2:1 3:1 4:4 15:1,1	<b>Cont</b> 3:1	13:14	F
<b>ALJ</b> 7:14	captioned 4:8	continuing 7:16	deadlines 7:3	<b>F</b> 15:1
<b>Alliance</b> 5:20 6:6	Carson 2:12 4:18	convenience 10:9	depend 10:8	fairly 8:12
amend 13:13	4:19,23 6:11 8:19	convenient 11:6	designated 12:20	<b>far</b> 12:24
anticipate 11:17	12:3 13:16	copies 12:12 13:4	designating 12:19	February 7:7
apologies 10:14	<b>case</b> 6:24 9:14 10:2	copresiding 4:12	determine 9:13	feedback 10:15
apologize 8:9	11:1 12:24 14:2	cost-only 9:19	difficult 11:4	<b>ffitch</b> 3:8 5:24,25
appear 9:21	cases 9:14,20 10:17	costs 7:7	discovery 7:10	9:7,9 12:4 13:17
appearance 4:16	10:20 11:23	<b>Counsel</b> 2:17 5:12	discussing 11:14	<b>Fifth</b> 2:19
	CCR 1:20 15:11	5:15 6:12 8:7,24	disposition 14:1	filed 6:4 10:5 11:3
4:21 5:3,11,17,22 12:25	certainly 7:1 9:17	13:19	docket 1:4 4:7 6:4	12:12 13:5
	9:22	Counsel's 9:17	6:19	<b>filing</b> 7:6,11,13
appearances 4:14	Certified 15:6	<b>COUNTY</b> 15:4	documents 12:11	12:11 13:8
appearing 5:25	certify 15:8	<b>course</b> 6:21	12:13,16 13:4	filings 12:11
appreciate 6:24	<b>Cleve</b> 3:3 5:19	<b>COURT</b> 10:12 11:7	doing 10:21	<b>final</b> 7:19
11:16	<b>Coie</b> 2:13 4:19 5:1		drops 7:23	<b>Finally</b> 13:7
approached 8:9	<b>Coleman</b> 3:3 5:18	<b>courtesy</b> 13:4 <b>COVID-19</b> 12:13	dsteele@perkins	<b>firm</b> 5:19
appropriate 9:13	5:19,21 9:5		-	<b>first</b> 4:16 10:12
<b>April</b> 7:10,11,12,16	comment 8:11,15	cross-answering	2:16	11:22
13:13	8:20 9:11,12,21	7:10	<b>due</b> 7:9,10,18,18	<b>five</b> 7:21
<b>Assistant</b> 5:5,8,14	9:25 10:3,18,25	cross-examination	<b>—</b>	flexibility 10:6
<b>Attorney</b> 2:7,19 3:9	9.25 10.5,18,25	7:12,14	E = $E$ =	v
5:5,8,14	<b>Commission</b> 1:2,4	cross-exhibits		<b>following</b> 7:3,5,17 13:25
availability 10:8	,	13:15	15:1,1 easier 11:25	
Avenue 1:22 2:19	1:17 2:5 4:9,12	customers 8:13		foregoing 15:8
AWEC 3:2 5:17	5:5,9 10:22 11:18	10:20	echo 8:24	<b>formal</b> 12:11
6:6 9:5	12:10,16	<b>cutoff</b> 7:11	effective 7:22	four 7:23
	<b>Commission's</b> 6:18	D	efforts 6:24	Fourth 2:14
<u> </u>	10:9 12:15		either 9:3	<b>front</b> 10:21
Bainbridge 3:10	Commissioner	<b>D</b> 4:4	electronic 12:10,16	G
beginning 10:16	10:8	<b>Dallas</b> 2:6 5:4,4	13:4	<u> </u>
-				

**BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC** 

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				Page 17
<b>G</b> 4:4	8:17,21 9:3,6,15	Lacey 1:18 4:1	noticed 10:17	<b>PO</b> 2:8
<b>Gafken</b> 2:18 5:13	10:10 11:8,12	law 1:13 2:2 3:9	notices 12:24	<b>point</b> 9:10,18
5:14 6:12 8:6,6	12:7 13:21	4:11 5:19		Portland 3:4
9:15,24 10:14	12.7 13.21	lead 12:20	0	possible 12:22
11:7,11 12:5	Ι	let's 4:6,14 6:5	04:4	post-hearing 7:17
13:18	<b>include</b> 11:9 13:22	light 12:13	<b>000</b> 4:3	power 7:7 9:19
garbled 10:13,16	included 13:9	line 4:20 5:1	<b>object</b> 7:25	prehearing 1:11
Garlinghouse 1:20	including 11:25	<b>Lisa</b> 2:18 5:14 8:6	<b>objection</b> 6:11,13	4:7 6:25 7:4,22
10:10 15:6,11	12:2,8	lisa.gafken@atg	6:14 8:1,19,24 9:2	11:9,19 12:1,14
<b>General</b> 2:7 5:5,8	incorporating	2:21	12:2,3,4,5,6 13:10	13:9
5:14	11:18	<b>list</b> 13:1	13:16,17,18,20	preparing 6:24
General's 2:19	individual 12:19	lists 7:13 13:15	objections 6:8,9,16	pretty 8:25
	information 6:20	LLC 1:21	8:4,7 9:16 11:13	previous 10:17
generally 9:11	initial 7:17	LLC 1:21 LLP 2:13	12:7 13:22	
<b>getting</b> 10:14	interested 10:20		Office 2:7,19	<b>prior</b> 13:11
<b>give</b> 10:1	interference 8:11	Loop 1:18	Olympia 1:23 2:8	procedural 6:23
<b>given</b> 9:1 10:5	9:24 10:1	<b>lot</b> 10:6	ones 6:4	proceed 6:5
<b>giving</b> 13:25	<b>intervene</b> 6:2,6,16	M	order 6:18,19 7:19	proceeding 13:5
<b>going</b> 11:21	intervenors 9:4	March 7:8,9	7:23 8:5 11:10,19	proceedings 9:19
good 4:18,24 5:13	intervention 4:15	matter 4:13 8:15	12:1,14 13:10,25	process 8:14
5:18,24	6:3	mean 7:22	<b>Oregon</b> 3:4	<b>Project</b> 3:7 5:23,25
governing 6:20	<b>Island</b> 3:10	memorialized	outset 7:21	6:8
granted 6:16	<b>issue</b> 6:17 9:4 11:10	12:14		proposed 7:3
guidelines 14:1	11:22 13:7,24	Michael 1:13 2:3	<u>Р</u>	proposing 7:20
Н	<b>issues</b> 11:21	4:10	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:4	<b>protective</b> 6:18,19
happening 10:21	items 12:9	Michael.Howard	Pages 1:12	<b>provide</b> 10:24
happy 9:12	1001118 12.7	12:23	pandemic 12:13	12:15
Harbor 3:4	J	morning 4:18,25	paper 12:12	providing 7:14
hear 8:3	<b>January</b> 1:15 4:1	5:13,18,24 8:10	paper 12:12 part 10:13	provisions 6:19
hearing 6:5,15 7:16	7:6	<b>moving</b> 6:2	participation 10:19	<b>PSE</b> 5:1 6:11 8:17
8:11,15,20 10:3	<b>Joe</b> 2:6 5:4	<b>mute</b> 9:11	parties 6:21 7:2,20	8:19 9:20
10:18 11:1,3,5,15	joe.dallas@utc.w	muted 4:23 9:7	7:25 8:10 9:13,16	<b>public</b> 2:17 5:12,15
12:7 13:12,21	2:9	<b>muteu</b> 4.23 9.7	11:16,23,25 12:10	6:12 8:6,11,13,15
hearings 9:12,21	judge 1:13 2:2 4:6	N	12:17,17,23 13:2	8:20,24 9:12,17
9:25 10:2,25	4:11,22 5:2,10,16	N 2:1 3:1 4:4	parties' 6:24	9:21,24 10:3,18
9:25 10:2,25 helpful 7:1	5:21 6:1,15 8:17	name 4:10	parties-only 7:8	10:19,25 11:1,2
<b>High</b> 3:9	8:21 9:3,6,15	names 13:2	party 8:1,4 11:13	13:18 <b>D</b> 11721140
highly 6:20	10:10 11:8,12	National 1:24	11:24 12:20	<b>Puget</b> 1:7 2:11 4:9
Honor 4:18,25 5:4	12:7 13:21	<b>NE</b> 2:14	PCORC 9:19	4:16,20 7:7 8:12
5:18,24 9:9	<b>June</b> 7:19	necessarily 8:5	Perkins 2:13 4:19	10:20,21
<b>hoping</b> 10:15		necessary 7:17	4:25	<b>put</b> 9:1
housekeeping	K	need 9:1	<b>petitions</b> 4:15 6:2,3	Q
11:21	kind 11:21	nice 11:2	6:6,9,16	quite 8:14 10:19
Howard 1:13 2:3	know 8:12 9:25	Northeast 3:9	<b>phone</b> 4:24	<b>Yunc</b> 0.14 10.19
4:6,10,22 5:2,10	knowledge 15:9	note 6:17 9:25	plan 12:8	R
5:16,21 6:1,15		<b>notice</b> 9:20	please 12:21 13:5	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1 4:4 15:1
5.10,21 0.1,15	L		r	
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

Page	1	8
------	---	---

raise 8:2,8 11:13	ruling 11:9	<b>Steele</b> 2:13 4:21,24	useful 10:4	<b>1-15</b> 1:12
rate 9:20	runng 11.7	4:25 5:2	usually 10:1,24	<b>10885</b> 2:14
read 7:3	S	<b>Street</b> 2:14		
	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ 2:1 3:1 4:4		<b>Utilities</b> 1:2,4,17	<b>12th</b> 7:11
realized 8:10		Strom 2:12 4:19	4:8,11	<b>13</b> 1:15
really 10:13	saying 10:13,16	Suite 1:22 2:14,19	V	<b>1325</b> 1:22
Realtime 1:21	scarson@perkin	3:4		<b>14th</b> 7:18
rebuttal 7:9	2:15	supplemental 7:6	Van 3:3 5:19	<b>16th</b> 7:9,19
<b>receive</b> 6:8 13:4	schedule 6:23,25	support 9:12 13:1,3	versus 4:9	<b>1750</b> 3:4
received 6:5 7:2	7:2 8:1,8,11,12,25	suspending 12:12	VIRTUAL 1:11	<b>1840</b> 1:22
recommend 10:3	10:5 11:4,14,16		<b>VOLUME</b> 1:11	<b>19th</b> 7:12 13:13
11:1,5	11:17 14:1	T	<b>vs</b> 1:6	
recommendation	scheduled 10:7,18	<b>T</b> 15:1,1		2
10:1,24	schedules 9:19	<b>take</b> 7:13,21 9:17	W	<b>2000</b> 2:19
record 4:6 7:4	scheduling 8:15	9:17 11:8	<b>WAC</b> 13:8	<b>2021</b> 1:15 4:1
regard 12:19	School 3:9	Tayler 1:20 15:6,11	<b>want</b> 8:8	<b>206</b> 1:23 2:20 3:10
regularly 8:14	Seattle 1:22,23	<b>Teimouri</b> 2:7 5:7,8	wanted 9:11	<b>21</b> 4:1
remind 12:9	2:20	<b>terms</b> 11:2	Washington 1:1,4	<b>22nd</b> 7:16
reply 7:18	seeing 9:15	testimony 7:9,10	1:17,18,22 2:8,14	<b>26th</b> 7:6
<b>REPORTED</b> 1:20	seen 10:19	7:24 10:4 11:3	2:19,20 3:10 4:1,8	<b>287-9066</b> 1:23
Reporter 10:12	serve 12:17,18	thank 4:22 5:2,10	4:11 15:3,7	<b>2nd</b> 7:7,8
11:7 15:7	service 12:16,20,21	5:16,21 6:1,15 9:6	<b>Way</b> 3:4	
Reporting 1:21	13:1	9:9 11:7,8,11	<b>We're</b> 4:7	3
representative	set 11:5	13:21 14:2	<b>we've</b> 11:14	<b>321</b> 3:9
12:21	setting 13:11	thing 8:9 10:23	week 13:11	<b>3358</b> 1:20 15:11
	settlement 7:8	think 4:20 8:25	Western 5:20 6:7	<b>360</b> 1:23 2:9
representatives 13:3	shared 11:24	9:23 10:6	willing 8:3	<b>389-2055</b> 2:20
	sheets 13:7,9,12	thought 8:25	witness 7:13 13:15	
representing 4:19	Sheree 2:12 4:19	THURSTON 15:4	Woodland 1:18	4
request 7:20 11:23	<b>Shorthand</b> 15:6	tight 8:25	work 9:12 11:17	<b>40128</b> 2:8
11:23	shortly 13:25	time 7:14,20 8:2	working 11:16	<b>425</b> 2:15
requested 7:19	Simon 3:8 5:25		workshop 7:5	<b>450</b> 3:4
requests 11:22		today 4:7 6:10,25	worth 8:14	480-07-461(b) 13:8
requirement 12:1,2	simon@ffitchlaw	11:20 13:24,25	writing 6:4	<b>4th</b> 1:22
12:8	3:11	today's 7:3	written 6:8	
requirements	skill 15:9	touch 11:21	www.buellrealti	5
12:12	soon 12:22	transcript 15:8	1:25	<b>534-9066</b> 1:23
requires 12:10	Sound 1:7 2:11 4:9	<b>Transportation</b> 1:2	1.23	<b>5th</b> 7:10
respond 8:18,21	4:17,20 7:7 8:13	1:4,17 4:9,12	X	
9:4	Southeast 1:18	<b>true</b> 15:8		6
Respondent 1:9	Southwest 3:4	<b>two</b> 6:6	Y	<b>621</b> 1:18
<b>response</b> 7:9,20,24	speaking 9:8	<b></b>	<b>Yeah</b> 9:24 10:12	<b>635-1400</b> 2:15
10:4 11:3,24	Square 1:18			<b>664-1192</b> 2:9
<b>review</b> 6:21	staff 2:5 5:3,6,9	UE-200980 1:4 4:8	Ζ	<b>669-8197</b> 3:10
reviewing 9:18	6:14 8:21,23 13:1	understand 7:1,25		
right 11:15 13:24	13:3,20	11:3	0	7
Road 3:9	<b>start</b> 4:14	unopposed 7:2		<b>700</b> 2:14
rules 12:15	<b>State</b> 15:3,7	updating 7:7	1	710-1157 3:5

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

98101       1:22         98104       2:20         98110       3:10         98503       1:18				
8         800 1:24 2:19         846-6989 1:24         9         9:30 1:16 4:2         9:46 14:3         971 3:5         97201 3:4         98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98110 3:10         98503 1:18	<b>7th</b> 7·18	1		
800 1:24 2:19         846-6989 1:24         9         9:30 1:16 4:2         9:46 14:3         971 3:5         97201 3:4         98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98103 1:18		_		
9         9         9:30 1:16 4:2         9:46 14:3         971 3:5         97201 3:4         98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98110 3:10         98503 1:18		-		
9         9:30 1:16 4:2         9:46 14:3         971 3:5         97201 3:4         98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98103 1:18				
9:30 1:16 4:2         9:46 14:3         971 3:5         97201 3:4         98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98110 3:10         98503 1:18		_		
9:46       14:3         971       3:5         97201       3:4         98004       2:14         98101       1:22         98104       2:20         98104       2:20         98103       1:18		-		
<b>971</b> 3:5 <b>97201</b> 3:4 <b>98004</b> 2:14 <b>98101</b> 1:22 <b>98104</b> 2:20 <b>98110</b> 3:10 <b>98503</b> 1:18				
<b>97201</b> 3:4 <b>98004</b> 2:14 <b>98101</b> 1:22 <b>98104</b> 2:20 <b>98110</b> 3:10 <b>98503</b> 1:18				
98004 2:14         98101 1:22         98104 2:20         98110 3:10         98503 1:18				
<b>98104</b> 2:20 <b>98110</b> 3:10 <b>98503</b> 1:18	<b>98004</b> 2:14			
<b>98110</b> 3:10 <b>98503</b> 1:18				
<b>98503</b> 1:18				
	<b>98504</b> 2:8			