EXH. MDM-7T DOCKETS UE-190529/UG-190530 UE-190274/UG-190275 2019 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: MATTHEW D. MCARTHUR

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

In the Matter of the Petition of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

For an Order Authorizing Deferral Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for Short-life IT/Technology Investment Docket UE-190529 Docket UG-190530 (Consolidated)

Docket UE-190274 Docket UG-190275 (*Consolidated*)

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF

MATTHEW D. MCARTHUR

ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY

JANUARY 15, 2020

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF MATTHEW D. MCARTHUR

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	PSE'S PROPOSED COST OF CAPITAL		
	A.	PSE Proposes No Change in the Requested Capital Structure	1
	В.	PSE Proposes to Update the Marginal Short-Term Debt Rate in Its Compliance Filing to Reflect the One-Month LIBOR Rate in Effect as of the Date the Commission Issues Its Final Order in this Proceeding	2
	C.	No Party Opposes PSE's Proposed Long-Term Debt Cost Rate	5
	D.	PSE Proposes to Reduce Its Requested Return on Equity from 9.8 Percent to 9.5 Percent	5
III.	PSE'S	PROPOSED RATE OF RETURN	6
IV.	CONCLUSION		7

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (CONFIDENTIAL) OF MATTHEW D. MCARTHUR

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Exh. MDM-8 PSE's Proposed Cost of Capital and Rate of Return (with a Revised Return on Equity of 9.5 Percent)
- Exh. MDM-9PSE's Proposed Cost of Capital and Rate of Return
(with a Revised Return on Equity of 9.7 Percent)

PUGET SOUND ENERGY
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY (NONCONFIDENTIAL) OF MATTHEW D. MCARTHUR
I. INTRODUCTION
Are you the same Matthew D. McArthur who submitted prefiled direct
testimony on June 20, 2019, on behalf of Puget Sound Energy ("PSE") in this
proceeding?
Yes. On June 20, 2019, I filed the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Matthew D.
McArthur, Exh. MDM-1T, and five supporting exhibits, Exh. MDM-2 through
Exh. MDM-6, on behalf of PSE.
What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
This testimony updates the overall cost of capital requested by PSE to reflect the
revised return on equity of 9.5 percent proposed in the Prefiled Rebuttal
Testimony of Dr. Roger A. Morin, Exh. RAM-12T.
II. PSE'S PROPOSED COST OF CAPITAL
PSE Proposes No Change in the Requested Capital Structure
Is PSE revising its proposed capital structure in this proceeding?
No. PSE continues to propose the following capital structure in this proceeding:
(i) 2.3 percent of short-term debt, ¹ (ii) 49.2 percent of long-term debt, ² and
See McArthur, Exh. MDM-5, at 1:7. See McArthur, Exh. MDM-5, at 1:11.

1		(iii) 48.5 percent of common equity. ³ Each of Commission Staff and Public		
2		Counsel has accepted and adopted PSE's proposed capital structure. ⁴		
3 4 5	<u>B.</u>	PSE Proposes to Update the Marginal Short-Term Debt Rate in Its Compliance Filing to Reflect the One-Month LIBOR Rate in Effect as of the Date the Commission Issues Its Final Order in this Proceeding		
6	Q.	Will PSE update its proposed marginal short-term debt cost rate in this		
7		proceeding?		
8	А.	Yes. As discussed below, PSE proposes to update the marginal short-term debt		
9		cost rate in its compliance filing to reflect the current one-month London		
10		Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) in effect as of the date that the Commission		
11		issues its final order in this proceeding.		
12	Q.	What marginal short-term debt cost rate did PSE propose in its direct filing		
13		in this proceeding?		
14	A.	In its direct filing in this proceeding, PSE proposed a marginal short-term debt		
15		cost rate of 4.18 percent. ⁵ This marginal short-term debt cost rate, when combined		
16		with the applicable commitment fees and amortization of short-term debt issue		
17		cost, results in a weighted average cost of short-term debt of 0.13 percent. ⁶		
		See McArthur, Exh. MDM-5, at 1:15. See Parcell, Exh. DCP-1T, at 3:12-19 (adopting PSE's proposed capital structure on of Commission Staff); Woolridge, Exh. JRW-1T, at 17:8-9 (adopting PSE's proposed structure on behalf of Public Counsel). See McArthur, Exh. MDM-5, at 1:7. See McArthur, Exh. MDM-5, at 1:10.		

1		Commission Staff combines the marginal short-term debt cost rate and the		
2		applicable commitment fees and amortization of short-term debt issue cost into a		
3		single short-term cost rate of 5.65 percent, which also results in a weighted		
4		average cost of short-term debt of 0.13 percent. ⁷		
5		Public Counsel proposes a slightly lower short-term debt cost rate (2.38 percent)		
6		than does PSE and Commission, and Public Counsel's proposal results in a		
7		weighted average cost of short-term debt of 0.08 percent. ⁸		
8	Q.	Does PSE agree with Public Counsel's suggestion that short-term rates have		
9		declined since PSE filed its direct case in this proceeding on June 20, 2019?		
10	A.	Yes. As discussed above, Public Counsel proposed a short-term debt cost rate of		
11		2.38 percent that was lower than the short-term debt cost rate of 4.18 percent		
12		proposed by PSE in its direct filing on June 20, 2019. Since PSE made its direct		
13		filing on June 20, 2019, the Federal Reserve has cut the federal fund rate three		
14		times. As a result of these cuts, short-term interest rates, including the one-month		
15		LIBOR used by PSE's credit facilities, have declined since June of 2019.		
16	Q.	Does PSE agree with the method used by Public Counsel to determine a		
17		short-term debt cost rate?		
18	A.	Yes. Public Counsel used the same methodology and schedule as PSE to calculate		
19		the short-term debt cost rate. The short-term debt cost rate can be quickly updated		
20		and validated at any time using current LIBOR projections from Moody's.		
	7	See Parcell Exh. DCP-1T at 2		

See Parcell, Exh. DCP-1T, at 2. *See* Wooldridge, Exh. JRW-5, at 1:Panel B. 8

1	Q.	Will PSE agree to update its proposed short-term debt cost rate in this
2		proceeding?
3	A.	Yes. The calculation of the marginal short-term debt cost rate is formulaic, and
4		PSE proposes to use the most current LIBOR rates available at the time the
5		Commission issues its order in this proceeding.
6	Q.	Why does PSE propose to use the most current LIBOR rates available at the
7		time the Commission issues its order in this proceeding to establish the
8		marginal short-term debt cost rate?
9	A.	Short-term interest rates can be very volatile. Using interest rates available at the
10		time the Commission issues its order in this proceeding will protect the customer
11		and PSE from fluctuations in short-term rates that may occur between the time
12		PSE makes this filing and the time the Commission issues its order in this
13		proceeding.
14	Q.	How does PSE propose that the Commission identify the most current
15		LIBOR rates available to establish the marginal short-term debt cost rate?
16	A.	PSE proposes to update the marginal short-term debt cost rate in its compliance
17		filing for this proceeding to reflect the one-month LIBOR rate in effect as of the
18		date the Commission issues its final order in this proceeding. Because PSE can
19		make this update in its compliance filing, it has not updated the cost of short-term
20		debt in this rebuttal filing.
		led Rebuttal Testimony Exh. MDM-7T confidential) of Page 4 of 7

<u>C.</u>	No Party Opposes PSE's Proposed Long-Term Debt Cost Rate
Q.	Has any party opposed PSE's proposed long-term debt cost rate in this
	proceeding?
A.	No. PSE proposes a long-term debt cost rate of 5.51 percent in this proceeding.
	and no party disagrees with this long-term debt cost rate. This long-term debt c
	rate, when combined with amortization of reacquired debt, results in a weighte
	average long-term debt cost rate of 2.74 percent. ¹⁰
	Commission Staff combines the long-term debt cost rate and the amortization of
	reacquired debt into a single cost rate of 5.57 percent, which also results in a
	weighted average cost of long-term debt of 2.74 percent. ¹¹
	Public Counsel adopts PSE's proposed long-term debt cost rate of 5.51 percent
	and weighted-average long-term debt cost rate of 2.74 percent. ¹²
<u>D.</u>	PSE Proposes to Reduce Its Requested Return on Equity from 9.8 Percent to 9.5 Percent
Q.	Is PSE revising its requested return on equity in this testimony?
A.	Yes. Dr. Morin has lowered his recommended return on equity (from 9.8 perce
	to 9.5 percent) to reflect decreased interest rates and other macroeconomic
	factors. Please see the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Roger A. Morin,
9	
11	1 See Parcell, Exh. DCP-1T, at 2.

1	Exh. RAM-12T, for a discussion of this revision. PSE adopts Dr. Morin's revised			
2	recommended return on equity of 9.5 percent for purposes of this proceeding.			oceeding.
3	III. PSE'S PROF	POSED RATE OF RET	ΓURN	
4	Q. What overall rate of return is I	PSE requesting?		
5	A. PSE is requesting an overall rate of return 7.48 percent in this proceeding, as			eding, as
6	provided in Table 1 below:			
7	Table 1. Overall Rate of Return Requested by PSE			
	Components of Rate of Return	Capital n Structure	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
	Marginal Short-Term Debt Rate	2.3%	4.18%	0.10%
	Commitment Fees			0.02%
	Amortization of Short-Term Debt Iss	sue Cost		0.01%
	Weighted Short-Term Debt Rate			0.13%
	Marginal Long-Term Debt Rate	49.2%	5.51%	2.71%
	Amortization of Reacquired Debt			0.03%
	Weighted Long-Term Debt Rate			2.74%
	Total Debt	51.5%		2.87%
	Common Equity	<u>48.5%</u>	<u>9.50%</u>	<u>4.61%</u>
	Overall Rate of Return	100.0%		7.48%
8	Please see the First Exhibit to the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Matthew D.			atthew D.
9	McArthur, Exh. MDM-8, for the calculation of the overall rate of return request			turn request
10	by PSE. As discussed in the Pref	ïled Rebuttal Testimony	of Susan E	. Free,
11	Exh. SEF-17T, PSE calculated the	ne revenue requirement i	n this proce	eding before
12	the revised return on equity of 9.5 percent proposed in the Prefiled Rebuttal			Rebuttal
13	Testimony of Dr. Roger A. Mori	n, Exh. RAM-12T. Acco	ordingly, plo	ease see the
	Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony (Nonconfidential) of Matthew D. McArthur		E	Exh. MDM-7T Page 6 of 7

1		Second Exhibit to the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Matthew D. McArthur,
2		Exh. MDM-9, for the calculation of the overall rate of return request by PSE a
3		revised return on equity of 9.7 percent and upon which PSE calculated revenue
4		requirements in this proceeding.
5		It should be noted that Table 1 above uses the marginal short-term debt cost rate
6		of 4.18 percent proposed by PSE in its direct filing on June 20, 2019. As
7		discussed above, PSE proposes to update the marginal short-term debt cost rate in
8		its compliance filing to reflect the current one-month LIBOR rate in effect as of
9		the date that the Commission issues its final order in this proceeding.
10	Accordingly, the overall rate of return request by PSE could be higher or lower	
11	than 7.48 percent, depending on the LIBOR rate for such date.	
12		IV. CONCLUSION
13	Q.	Does that conclude your rebuttal testimony?
14	A.	Yes, it does.
		led Rebuttal Testimony Exh. MDM-7T confidential) of Page 7 of 7
		new D. McArthur