	1									
1			Exhibit No Docket TR-100							
2				an Thorp						
3 4				2010 1101 - 1						
5										
6		BEFORE THE WAS UTILITIES AND TRANS	SHINGTON STATE PORTATION COMMISSION							
7	BEN	TON COUNTY,	DOCKET TR-100572	E 9.						
8		Petitioner,		Tourise Tourise						
9	v.	,	PREPARED TESTIMONY THORP	OF BRYAN						
10	BNS	F RAILWAY COMPANY,								
11 12		Respondent.								
13		hespondent.	<u> </u>							
14										
15	Q.	Please state your name and l	business address.							
16	A. My name is Brian Thorp, and my business address is 620 Market									
17		Street, Courthouse, Prosser	, Washington 99350.							
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your	testimony today?							
19	Α.	I am testifying to the publ	ic need for the prope	osed railway						
20		crossing, including the publ	ic safety and economic	development						
21 22		benefits served by the exter	nsion of Piert Road.							
23	Q.	Where do you work?								
24	Α.	The Benton County Public Wor	ks Department, in the	Engineering						
25		and Construction Division.								
26	Q.	What is your current title?								
27										
28	Exhi Dock	ARED TESTIMONY OF BRYAN THORP bit No (BT-1T) et TR-100572 ess: Bryan Thorp - 1	7122 West Okanog Kennewick, Wa							

Q. Please describe your work duties.

3

5

6

7

8

A. I manage the design and construction of civil projects, mainly roads. I participate in every stage of a project, including the evaluation and analysis of public needs, securing funds, project design, assessing environmental impacts, overseeing the purchase of rights-of-way, production of bid documents, and overseeing construction.

9

10

Q. Please describe your training, education, and experience.

1112

13

14

A. I have a bachelor of science in Civil Engineering from the Oregon Institute of Technology. I am licensed as professional land surveyor. I have 26 years in County government planning, evaluating, and overseeing civil construction projects. This includes many projects involving railway crossings.

Are you familiar with the proposed railway crossing?

extension project for several years.

I have visited the site of the proposed crossing three

times, and I have been involved in the underlying Piert Road

The purpose of the road extension is to provide a more direct

route for trucks entering and exiting the Finley industrial

What is the purpose of the Piert Road extension project?

1516

17

18

19 A.

21

22

Q.

Α.

23

25

24

26

27

area on the way to I-82 via State Route 397. It also has the benefit of opening up a large amount of land in the Finley

28

industrial area that is presently difficult to access, and allows for better use and development of that land.

- Q. What public benefits would result from the proposed extension of Piert Road?
- A. The extension of Piert Road would be the fulfillment of a commitment by Benton County to the residents of Finley to reroute truck traffic out of populated areas. Presently, trucks passing from the Finley industrial area on route to I-82 via the newly constructed SR-397 have to navigate through a residential area and past a middle school and high school. This includes chemical trucks leaving an Agrium facility. The completion of the Piert Road extension project, including the petitioned crossing, will provide a more direct route for truck traffic and thus mitigate the problems and dangers of trucks passing through residential areas and school zones.

I participated in producing a study which documents how the extension of Piert Road made possible by the petitioned crossing will mitigate the dangers of truck traffic passing from the Finely industrial area by re-directing that traffic to a more direct route to I-82. Exhibit No. _____ (BT-2).

A further advantage of the Piert Road extension is that it opens up a great deal of land in the Finley industrial area that is presently difficult to access, and allows

construction, development, and job creation. This is documented in another study I helped produce, which evaluated the public necessity of the I-82-SR397 Intertile Project. Exhibit No. _____ (BT-3). The Piert Road extension is the final phase of that project.

- Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF BRYAN THORP Exhibit No. ____ (BT-1T)
Docket TR-100572
Witness: Bryan Thorp - 4

DECLARATION

	I,	Bryan	Thorp	, deci	lare	unde	r pe	nalty	of	pe:	rjury	und	er	the
laws	of	the	State	of W	lashi	ngton	th	at th	e i	fore	egoin	g PR	EPA	RED
TEST	IMON	Y OF	BRYAN	THORP	is	true	and	corre	ct	to	the	best	of	my
know]	Ledg	e and	belie	f. ,			,							

DATED this <u>28</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2010, at Kennewick, Washington.

BRYAN THORP

DICITIN THORE

EXHIBIT LIST Exhibit No. ___ (BT-2) Final Combined SEPA study Exhibit No. ____ (BT-3) 1995 Need Study PREPARED TESTIMONY OF BRYAN THORP

BENTON COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY 7122 West Okanogan Place, Bldg. A Kennewick, Washington 99336

(509) 735-3591

Exhibit No. ____ (BT-1T)

Witness: Bryan Thorp - 6

Docket $TR-10\overline{0572}$

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I certify that I served, in the manner indicated below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document as follows: 3 4 🗗 U.S. Regular Mail, Postage Prepaid Bradley P. Scarp 🗋 Legal Messenger MONTGOMERY SCARP MACDOUGALL, PLLC 5 2700 Seattle Tower ☐ Overnight Express 1218 Third Avenue ☐ Facsimile 6 Seattle, WA 98101 ☐ Email: Brad@montgomeryscarp.com 7 👿 U.S. Regular Mail, Postage Prepaid Kelsey Endres D Legal Messenger MONTGOMERY SCARP MACDOUGALL, PLLC ☐ Overnight Express 8 2700 Seattle Tower 1218 Third Avenue \square Facsimile Seattle, WA 98101 ☐ Email: cll@dunn-carney.com 9 🕱 U.S. Regular Mail, Postage Prepaid Fronda Woods 10 Assistant Attorney General ☐ Legal Messenger 1400 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW ☐ Overnight Express 11 P.O. Box 40128 ☐ Facsimile Olympia, WA 98504-0128 ☐ Email: fwoods@utc.wa.gov 12 DATED this $\frac{29^{th}}{}$ day of October, 2010, at Kennewick, 13 Washington. 14 Kannon C Slaght SHANNON C. SLAGHT 15 16 17 18 19

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF BRYAN THORP Exhibit No. ___ (BT-1T) Docket TR-100572

Witness: Bryan Thorp - 7

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BENTON COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY 7122 West Okanogan Place, Bldg. A Kennewick, Washington 99336 (509) 735-3591

Final Combined SEPA Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement NEPA Revised Environmental Assessment

I-82 to SR397 Intertie Project Benton County, Washington

Benton County, Washington;
Washington State Department of Transportation, Yakima Washington
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Exhibit No. (BT-2)
Docket TR-100572
Witness: Bryan Thorp

EIS (Figure 1.1-1 EIS) in order to examine potential variations of Alternative 2 that do not appear in the EIS. The outcome of such examinations is the analysis of Alternatives 2F, 2G and 2H herein (see on file, Memoranda: Rating Alternative Routes for the I-82 Intertie, Ross Dunfee to Terry A. Marden, September 19, 2000, and Intertie Alternatives 2G and 2H, Dec. 2001, for processes used to identify alternatives for analysis herein).

All project alternatives except the Nobuild would replace the at-grade railroad crossing at the eastern end of the project with a bridge spanning the track. The bridge would be 15 m (50 ft) wide and span between 30 and 45 m (100-150 ft) between abutments. It would provide at least 7.2 m (23.5 ft) of clearance above the tracks.

Roadway Sections

As currently envisioned, the eastern portion of any of the "build" alternatives would be constructed to an urban roadway standard while the western portion of the route would have a rural roadway section. Both types of roadway would have two 3.7 m (12 ft) wide lanes. Urban sections would be bordered by a 1.5 m (5 ft) bike lane; rural sections with 8' paved shoulders, all paved in asphaltic concrete. Curb and gutters and 1.5 m (5 ft) sidewalks would be constructed for the urban section. Unpaved shoulders would be constructed for the rural section. A 3 m (10 ft) equestrian trail would be located on one side of the project road, except Riek Road, where existing residences constrain available right-ofway.

All project alternatives would benefit from a planned road project that would provide a direct connection from Piert Road to Bowles Road through Port Industrial lands (Figure 3.3-1). effect of this road connection would be to provide a separate truck route, internal to the industrial zoned lands that would be a direct connection between the Intertie and SR397. This route would enable truck traffic serving the industrial area to avoid passing by the Finley Middle School and Finley High School at the intersections of SR397 and Finley Road. If the Intertie were not constructed, the Piert Road project would still serve the "No-build" alternative relative to avoiding these schools and reducing industrial truck traffic on existing SR397 south of Bowles Road.

The No-build alternative

Neither the Intertie route nor the bridge over the railroad tracks would be constructed. SR397 would remain the principal travel corridor. Since the EIS was certified in 1997, left turn lanes and street illumination improvements programmed by WSDOT for 3.2 km (2 mi.) of SR397 south of Kennewick to Bowles Road have been completed, including a five lane expansion of a section of SR397 within Kennewick.

Combined SEIS/REA

Benton County is the Lead Agency for this Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), prepared in conformance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA Olympia, Washington) is acting as the Lead Federal Agency. FHWA has elected to review the project with a Revised Environmental Assessment (REA) prepared in conformance with the National Environmental Policy (NEPA). This document is a combined SEIS/REA.

DRAFT REPORT

182-SR397 Intertie Project

NEEDS, ISSUES AND ANALYSES

BENTON COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Prepared for:

BENTON COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
P.O. Box 190
Prosser, Washington 99350-0190

May 1, 1995

Prepared by:



J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.

Engineers Surveyors Planners 2810 W. Clearwater Avenue Kennewick, WA 99336-2982

Exhibit No. (BT-3)
Docket TR-100572
Witness: Bryan Thorp

FINLEY LAND USE EXISTING AND BUILD-OUT ACREAGES									
LAND USE - Designated Acres of Use									
	Rural Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Public/ Open Space	Total Acres				
Existing	10,200	200	1,280	1,383	12,976				
Preferred Alternative	10,261	109	1,341	865	12,576				
Source: Benton County Planning Department, April 1995									

School District Land Uses

The Finley School District's Middle School, River View High School and the Head Start facility are located at Game Farm Road and Finley Road. The new Finley Elementary School is located at approximately Terril Road and Nine Canyon Road, about 1.5 miles south of Game Farm Road. Since early published sketches of the intertie project showed Game Farm Road as one of the considered alignments, the Finley community and the school district immediately became concerned.

FINLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT ENROLLMENTS 1995-2010										
Year	1994-95	1995-96	1997-98	1998-99	2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
District	1,163	1,250	1,305	1,288	1,270	1,267	1,271	1,283	1,317	1,346
Total										
Student	115	202	257	240	227	219	223	235	269	298
Increase		[·	·	ware to the	
Source: Fi	nley school D	istrict, Februa	ary 1995					* ***********************************		

Industrial land uses

The Intertie route was envisioned 30 years ago primarily to provide truck access to the Port of Kennewick and other industrial sites in Finley. It has always been a planning objective to reduce the truck and vehicular traffic traveling through the City of Kennewick to the Port and Finley areas. Until recently, port traffic was insufficient to justify an additional access, and Finley development was minimal.

Today, the port owns 700 acres which is located generally to the east of the Burlington Northern railroad tracks. Much of the land remains in agricultural use at this time, although the land is presently zoned for industrial use. Since the Port's primary responsibility as a municipal corporation is job creation, the Port actively markets its property to industrial uses in need of railroad or river access. Nearly, all the industrial users depend on trucking services which must use Chemical Drive.

Discussion - Residential Land Use

Population projections for the area are based on existing land uses, historic growth trends, and permitted densities. Land use for the rural Finley area is proposed to be 2.5 acres and 5.0 acres per dwelling unit - standards dictated as much by a lack of sewer to the area as an interest in maintaining a rural lifestyle. The Urban Growth Boundary (UGA) separating the urban City of Kennewick from the rural Finley area, assures that the designated Finley land uses will remain - unless the comprehensive plan is amended.

Discussion - School District Land Use

The TAC has repeatedly been concerned with the issue of safety for the school children. Recent school data shows an increasing enrollment over the planning period. Since the only bussed children are those in elementary school, there is an understandable concern for the well-being of middle and high school age children traveling to and from school. Potential hazardous spills from industrial truck and train traffic, and railroad crossing interface dangers are issues that will have to be addressed.

Discussion - Industrial Land Use

An informative meeting with six representatives of Finley industries in January, 1995 suggested a picture of good economic growth and future business expansion. Furthermore, agricultural trucking from the southern agriculture areas has been recently expanding. Increased trucking, expanded rail car usage and expansion of work force was a comment heard repeatedly.

In discussions regarding freight and shipping needs of the industrial businesses, safety was a principal theme that all business representatives agreed was of primary concern. "Too much traffic mix" on Chemical Drive was a repeated comment. Truck, train, vehicular, pedestrian safety and safety from hazardous materials spills were discussed. All agreed that any road alignment should remain away from the schools.

Clearly, Finley area will continue to develop, especially with the attraction of rural lifestyle. Today, Finley is approximately 70% built out for residential uses; but only approximately 40% of the industrial area has been built out. Housing, schools, and industry and commercial areas will expand, all creating new vehicle trips for the inadequate existing road network to absorb.

Conclusions - Land Use

1. Finley's future growth pattern is well established. The Urban Growth Boundary, separating urban and rural areas is established, defining areas and densities of future growth.