Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. II

WUTC v. Puget Sound Pilots

November 1, 2022



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. II - 11/1/2022

	Page 53		Page 55
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 LACEY, WAS 2 11:00	CHINGTON; NOVEMBER 1, 2022
	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET TP-220513 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	300)
) Complainant,)	4 PROC 5	EEDINGS
) vs.)		VARD: Let's be on the record. Good
) PUGET SOUND PILOTS,)	•	e today for a second prehearing et TP-220513. This case is captioned
)) Respondent.)	9 Washington Utilities	and Transportation Commission
	VIRTUAL PREHEARING CONFERENCE, VOLUME II	 versus Puget Sound This is a ger 	l Pilots. eral rate case filed by Puget
	Pages 53-66 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MICHAEL HOWARD	2 Sound Pilots, or PS	
		-	Michael Howard. I'm an
	November 1, 2022 11:00 a.m.	-	dge with the Commission. The also preside in this case, but they
	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission		at this particular prehearing
	621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast	7 conference.	
	Lacey, Washington 98503	8 Let's start by9 with PSP.	taking appearances beginning
	REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358		ND: Mike Haglund, representing
	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	1 Puget Sound Pilots.	
	1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101		VARD: Thank you. ve an appearance from Staff?
	(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia		E: Julian Beattie, Attorney
	(800) 846-6989 National www.buellrealtime.com	5 General's Office, on	behalf of Commission Staff.
	Page 54		
	1490 31		Page 56
1	APPEARANCES	1 JUDGE HOV	Page 56 VARD: Thank you.
2 3		2 And could we	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant
2	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	 And could we Shipping Association 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA?
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. e hear from TOTE?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. e hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS:	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant n, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. e hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPP DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPP DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. e hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPP DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTER 	VARD: Thank you. e hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. e hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPF DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTEF JUDGE HOW So we're her 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPH DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTER JUDGE HOW So we're her for this case going for 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule orward. In Order 04 in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPF DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTER JUDGE HOW So we're her for this case going for docket, the Commission 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. a today to discuss the schedule prward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPP DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTER JUDGE HOW So we're her for this case going for docket, the Commiss supplement the record 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule prward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com	 And could we Shipping Association MS. DELAPP DeLappe for PMSA. JUDGE HOW And could we MR. BLOCK Block, Lane Powell, let me introduce my Lane Powell, is work JUDGE HOW MS. FOSTEI JUDGE HOW So we're her for this case going for docket, the Commission supplement the record remaining deadlines 	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule brward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPP5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,0let me introduce my1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTER4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for7docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines8weeks. This would approximately	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule prward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: STEVE BLOCK ANGELA FOSTER	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPR5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,0let me introduce my1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTER4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for7docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines10weeks. This would at11hearing.	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. a today to discuss the schedule orward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six also include moving the evidentiary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPH5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTEH4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for7docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines20weeks. This would at21hearing.22For the hearing	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule brward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six also include moving the evidentiary ng, I am proposing the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: STEVE BLOCK ANGELA FOSTER Lane Powell PC 1420 Fith Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98111	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPH5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTEH4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for7docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines20weeks. This would a21hearing.22For the heari23dates of April 5th an	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. a today to discuss the schedule brward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six also include moving the evidentiary ng, I am proposing the new d April 6th, 2023. This would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: STEVE BLOCK ANGELA FOSTER Lane Powell PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPF5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,0let me introduce my1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTER4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for4docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines20Weeks. This would at21hearing.22For the heari23dates of April 5th an4appear to work for th	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. a today to discuss the schedule brward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six also include moving the evidentiary ng, I am proposing the new d April 6th, 2023. This would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHAEL HOWARD FOR COMMISSION STAFF: JULIAN BEATTIE Attorney General's Office PO Box 40117 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 586-6749 julian.beattie@atg.wa.gov FOR PUGET SOUND PILOTS: MICHAEL HAGLUND Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 225-0777 mhaglund@hk-law.com FOR PMSA: MICHELLE DELAPPE Fox Rothschild LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 4400 Seattle, Washington 98154 (206) 389-1668 seasalt@foxrothschild.com FOR TOTE: STEVE BLOCK ANGELA FOSTER Lane Powell PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98111 (206) 223-7000	2And could we3Shipping Association4MS. DELAPF5DeLappe for PMSA.6JUDGE HOW7And could we8MR. BLOCK9Block, Lane Powell,0let me introduce my1Lane Powell, is work2JUDGE HOW3MS. FOSTER4JUDGE HOW5So we're her6for this case going for4docket, the Commiss8supplement the record9remaining deadlines20Weeks. This would at21hearing.22For the heari23dates of April 5th an4appear to work for th	VARD: Thank you. a hear from Pacific Merchant h, or PMSA? PE: Good morning. Michelle VARD: Thank you. a hear from TOTE? Good Morning, Judge. Steve appearing for TOTE. And if I may, colleague, Angela Foster, also with ing with me on this matter. VARD: All right. Thank you. R: Morning. VARD: Good morning. e today to discuss the schedule prward. In Order 04 in this sion granted PSP's motion for leave to rd subject to the condition that all in the case are continued by six also include moving the evidentiary mg, I am proposing the new d April 6th, 2023. This would the Commission.

1 (Pages 53 to 56)

	Page 57		Page 59
1	ways. I could either read off a list of proposed dates	1	I will confirm these dates work for the
2	for the remaining deadlines in the case, including the	2	Commission, but as as based on my current
3	hearing date, or what I was leaning in favor of doing	3	understanding, this will work this will work well for
4	was simply providing the parties that proposed hearing	4	the Commission. So I appreciate the parties' efforts on
5	date and letting the parties go off the record to	5	that discussion.
6	discuss this and then we would reconvene.	6	That is all the issues that I convened this
7	Does going off the record and having the	7	prehearing conference for is to discuss those deadlines.
8	parties discuss these deadlines sound agreeable to	8	Is there anything else we should address today before we
9	everyone?	9	go off the record?
10	MR. HAGLUND: For PSP, yes.	10	MS. DELAPPE: Yes, Your Honor. Michelle
11	MR. BLOCK: Yes, it would.	11	DeLappe for PMSA. We had a couple of questions that we
12	MS. DELAPPE: Yes.	12	were hoping could be resolved also since we're all
13	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Great. Thank you.	13	together.
14	Are there any concerns at the moment with	14	The first was that there was a great deal of
15	that April 5th and 6th hearing date? I recognize	15	data and evidence filed that as part of the record in
16	everyone may need to check calendars and things like	16	the last rate case between the same parties. We wanted
17	that.	17	to know what the Commission's preference would be for
18	MR. HAGLUND: At this point, Your Honor, it	18	putting any of that any specific evidence from that
19	looks okay for PSP. I know that if we had a witness who	19	last rate case into the record in this rate case. If
20	had a problem and we needed to have that person appear	20	that could be done just by references to the previous
21	virtually, that's an option. So I'm I believe it is	21	record or if we need to resubmit those items.
22	an acceptable time frame for PSP.	22	JUDGE HOWARD: Well, the Commission's rule
23	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay, great. Thank you.	23	about taking notice of of facts or other issues is
24	MR. BLOCK: It's acceptable to TOTE as well.	24	fairly broad. But if there's any disagreement about it,
25	And we would have been discussing, you know, we think	25	I think it would be if there's any potential for
	Page 58		Page 60
1	it's likely that the narrow issue that TOTE has with PSP	1	disagreement about this, I think it might be safest to
2	can be addressed by motion in advance of the hearing.	2	have the witness sponsor the exhibit and then we can
3	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great.	3	address it in in our kind of normal manner of
4	In that case, let's go off the record. We	4	handling evidence, and that might keep things clear.
5	are off the record.	5	Would that sound agreeable to you, having
6	(A break was taken from	6	witness sponsor this?
7	11:04 a.m. to 11:17 a.m.)	7	MS. DELAPPE: We can absolutely do that. We
8	JUDGE HOWARD: Let's be back on the record.	8	just wanted to know whether that was what the Commission
9	We are returning from our recess for the parties to	9	preferred.
10	discuss the schedule for this case. And as I	10	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. Great.
11	understand, the parties have reached an agreement for	11	MS. DELAPPE: And we did have one other
12	the remaining deadlines in the case.	12	question if there's nothing else on that one.
13	Ms. DeLappe, would you mind reading the	13	Order 3 that Your Honor issued included
14	parties' proposed dates for the remaining deadlines?	14	instructions for PSP to refile three documents that had
15	MS. DELAPPE: Absolutely. Response	15	been incorrectly filed as work papers and that those
16	testimony would be due February 10th; rebuttal and	16 17	were to be refiled as exhibits to testimony of PSP
17 18	cross-answering testimony due March 10th; deadline to	18	witnesses by September 9th. I wrote to Mr. Haglund for a status update
19	issue discovery requests, data requests is March 22nd;	19	on that on October 10th, but we still haven't seen the
20	deadline to file cross-examination exhibits, witness lists, errata sheets, and to email the cross-examination	20	required filing. And just for your reference, those are
20	time estimates, March 29th; and for the post hearing	20	paragraph Nos. 46 through 49 of Order 3.
22	deadlines, we have briefs on April 28th and reply briefs	22	Given the failure to timely refile, PMSA
23	May 12th; and final order to be issued on July 10th.	23	would request that the Commission strike those three
24	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Great. Thank	24	documents and also request that the Commission strike
25	you.	25	the testimony that corresponds to them. Thank you.
			,

2 (Pages 57 to 60)

Docket No. TP-220513 - Vol. II - 11/1/2022

	Page 61		Page 63
1		1	So I I don't believe that what
1 2	JUDGE HOWARD: Thank you.	1 2	
3	Would PSP like to respond? MR. HAGLUND: Yes. It was my understanding	3	Mr. Haglund is referring to complies with that, but I'm not fully sure what he is referring to, Your Honor.
4	that those were that that we did redesignate	4	JUDGE HOWARD: Yes, I I am looking back
- 5	yesterday those as being sponsored by particular	5	at Order 3, and I'm seeing that in paragraph 49, the
6	witnesses. I would double check the order, and if	6	Commission decided that these work papers at issue did
7	that's not the case, we will get it done in the next	7	not meet the definition of work paper and required
8	day. But I thought that we addressed that with the	8	refiling of them within 14 days as exhibits to the
9	filing yesterday.	9	testimony of the respective witnesses.
10	JUDGE HOWARD: Just a moment. I'm just	10	I'm not going to hold up our discussion at
11	going to glance at the	11	this moment to to search through the docket. I'm
12	MR. HAGLUND: Which paragraph did you refer	12	going to decline to strike anything at this time. I
13	to?	13	would ask that PSP within seven days of our conference
14	JUDGE HOWARD: docket. I believe PMSA is	14	today file a letter to the docket confirming that these
15	referring to paragraphs 46 through 48 of Order 3 and	15	work papers were filed as exhibits and and pointing
16	MS. DELAPPE: It's through 49.	16	to where in the docket we can find them. And if
17	JUDGE HOWARD: Okay.	17	there's if there's any remaining issues after that, I
18	MR. HAGLUND: Yes, I believe we did exactly	18	will revisit the issue, if needed.
19	what was requested. The automatic adjuster was	19	But for the moment, I'm going to decline to
20	redesignated for Captain Carlson, financial data for Ken	20	strike any anything or or and I'm not going to
21	Erickson was redesignated with him as the sponsor. So I	21	suggest anyone has failed to comply at this point
22	believe we have complied.	22	without being able to review this further.
23	MS. DELAPPE: So in yesterday's filing, we	23	MR. HAGLUND: Your Honor, I would just also
24	received documents, exhibits for Exhibit WTB. And	24	mention that the Order 4 asked that we describe the
25	the information was that these were in compliance with	25	basis for any changes to testimony and the relative
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing	1	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover
2	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday.	2	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation
2 3	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this	2 3	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having
2 3 4	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order	2 3 4	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in
2 3 4 5	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work	2 3 4 5	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter.
2 3 4 5 6	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and	2 3 4 5 6	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do
2 3 4 5 6 7	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in	2 3 4 5 6	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to where things were recharacterized or redesignated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to address what we I'm sorry. I'm going to review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to where things were recharacterized or redesignated. And I would also note that the instructions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to address what we I'm sorry. I'm going to review I'm going to glance back at Order 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to where things were recharacterized or redesignated. And I would also note that the instructions in Order 3 were quite different from the ones in Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to address what we I'm sorry. I'm going to review I'm going to glance back at Order 3. I see. So we are not not the cover
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to where things were recharacterized or redesignated. And I would also note that the instructions in Order 3 were quite different from the ones in Order 4. They weren't I don't believe the Order 4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to address what we I'm sorry. I'm going to review I'm going to glance back at Order 3. I see. So we are not not the cover letter is not only designating witnesses, but also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Order 4. I'm wondering if we somehow missed a filing that was made yesterday. MR. HAGLUND: Well, and I guess this reflects a slight discrepancy between Order 3 and Order 4 in that Order 4 specifically referenced those work paper documents as necessitating a witness sponsor, and that's what we did. I thought that also complied with Order 3 in that we designated who the witness was but did not refile the document that's already in in the record. But if the Court wishes us to do that, we could do that today. But we attempted in good faith to comply with the need for those work papers to be sponsored by a witness. JUDGE HOWARD: Would PMSA like to give any response to that? MS. DELAPPE: Your Honor, I am I do not see where which documents Mr. Haglund is referring to where things were recharacterized or redesignated. And I would also note that the instructions in Order 3 were quite different from the ones in Order 4. They weren't I don't believe the Order 4 addressed the work papers, and that the Order 3 said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to testimony or exhibits in the accompanying cover letter, and the specific references to the designation of those three previously filed work papers as having as being sponsored by specific witnesses was covered in the cover letter. So exactly what would you like us to do within the next seven days just so I can be clear? JUDGE HOWARD: I see. Am I on mute? Okay. No, I am looking at your at PSP's work paper filed on October 31st. And I am seeing that it is identifying the sponsoring witnesses for these work papers. These appear to be the same work papers at issue in Order 3. Just a moment. So for if that's the I feel like some some confusion may have arisen. It does seem that this is more than 14 days after Order 3. I'm not going to strike anything or or take any particular action at this time, but I am seeing that the cover letter addresses this issue. And this appears to address what we I'm sorry. I'm going to review I'm going to glance back at Order 3. I see. So we are not not the cover letter is not only designating witnesses, but also indicating which exhibits these work papers can be found

3 (Pages 61 to 64)

	Page 65	
1	I would if PMSA has any further concerns	
2	with this issue, I would encourage PMSA to review this	
3	cover letter and to compare the work papers and the	
4	exhibits referenced in the cover letter. But I believe	
5	that addresses the issue for now.	
6	Are there any further questions or issues we	
7	should discuss before we go off the record?	
8	MR. HAGLUND: Not for PMSA or not for	
9	PSP, Your Honor.	
10	MR. BLOCK: Nothing from TOTE.	
11	MR. BEATTIE: Nothing from the Staff.	
12	MS. DELAPPE: Nothing else from PMSA. Thank	
13	you.	
14	JUDGE HOWARD: All right. Thank you all.	
15	In that event, we are adjourned.	
16	(Adjourned at 11:31 a.m.)	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 66	
1		
1 2	CERTIFICATE	
∠ 3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON	
5		
6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and	
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
	Jaylin growtinghouse	
1 9	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
17	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18	Jacober Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19	Jacober Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20 21	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20 21 22	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20 21	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20 21 22 23	Jacober Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jacober Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	

4 (Pages 65 to 66)

raye 01	Page	67
---------	------	----

				Faye 07
	54.17.00	55.14.05.56.17.04	dor: 61.9	a :4h an 57, 1
A	54:17,22	55:14,25 56:17,24	day 61:8	either 57:1
a.m 53:16 55:2	B	59:2,4 60:8,23,24	days 63:8,13 64:7	email 58:20
58:7,7 65:16	back 58:8 63:4	63:6	64:15	encourage 65:2
ability 66:9	64:20	Commission's	deadline 58:17,19	Erickson 61:21
able 63:22	based 59:2	59:17,22	deadlines 56:19	errata 58:20
absolutely 58:15		Commissioners	57:2,8 58:12,14	estimates 58:21
60:7	basis 63:25	55:15	58:22 59:7	event 65:15
acceptable 57:22	Beattie 54:6 55:24	compare 65:3	deal 59:14	evidence 59:15,18
57:24	55:24 65:11	Complainant 53:5	decided 63:6	60:4
accompanying	beginning 55:18	compliance 61:25	decline 63:12,19	evidentiary 56:20
64:1	behalf 55:25	complied 61:22	definition 63:7	exactly 61:18 64:6
accurate 66:9	believe 57:21 61:14	62:8	DeLappe 54:16	exhibit 60:2 61:24
action 64:17	61:18,22 62:22	complies 63:2	56:4,5 57:12	exhibits 58:19
address 59:8 60:3	63:1 65:4	comply 62:12	58:13,15 59:10,11	60:16 61:24 63:8
64:19	best 66:9	63:21 64:24	60:7,11 61:16,23	63:15 64:1,23
addressed 58:2	Block 54:21 56:8,9	concerns 57:14	62:17 65:12	65:4
61:8 62:23	57:11,24 65:10	65:1	describe 63:24	
addresses 64:18	blocks@lanepow	condition 56:18	designated 62:9	F
65:5	54:24	conference 53:11	designating 64:22	F 66:1
adjourned 65:15	Box 54:7	55:8,17 59:7	designation 64:2	facts 59:23
65:16	break 58:6	63:13	different 62:21	failed 63:21
adjuster 61:19	briefs 58:22,22	confirm 59:1	disagreement	failure 60:22
administrative	broad 59:24	confirming 63:14	59:24 60:1	fairly 59:24
53:13 54:2 55:14	Broadway 54:12	confusion 64:14	discovery 58:18	faith 62:12
advance 58:2	Buell 53:21	continued 56:19	discrepancy 62:4	favor 57:3
agreeable 57:8	C	convened 59:6	discuss 56:15 57:6	February 58:16
60:5		corresponds 60:25	57:8 58:10 59:7	feel 64:14
agreement 58:11	C 54:1 55:4 66:1,1	COUNTY 66:4	65:7	Fifth 54:22
Angela 54:21 56:10	calendars 57:16	couple 59:11	discussing 57:25	file 58:19 63:14
appear 56:24 57:20	Captain 61:20	Court 62:11	discussion 59:5	64:25
64:12	captioned 55:8	cover 64:1,5,18,21	63:10	filed 55:11 59:15
appearance 55:23	Carlson 61:20	65:3,4	docket 53:4 55:8	60:15 63:15 64:3
appearances 55:18	case 55:8,11,15	covered 64:4	56:17 61:14 63:11	64:9
appearing 56:9	56:16,19 57:2	cross-answering	63:14,16	filing 60:20 61:9,23
appears 64:18	58:4,10,12 59:16	58:17	document 62:10	62:1
appreciate 59:4	59:19,19 61:7	cross-examination	documents 60:14	final 58:23
April 56:23,23	CCR 53:20 66:16	58:19,20	60:24 61:24 62:6	financial 61:20
57:15 58:22	Certified 66:6	current 59:2	62:18	find 63:16
arisen 64:14	certify 66:8		doing 57:3	first 59:14
asked 63:24	changes 63:25	D	double 61:6	foregoing 66:8
Association 56:3	check 57:16 61:6	D 55:4	due 58:16,17	forward 56:16
attempted 62:12	cited 62:25	data 58:18 59:15	·	Foster 54:21 56:10
Attorney 54:6	clear 60:4 64:7	61:20	E	56:13
55:24	colleague 56:10	date 57:3,5,15	E 54:1,1 55:4,4	found 64:23
automatic 61:19	Commission 53:2,4	dates 56:23 57:1	66:1,1	Fourth 53:22 54:17
Avenue 53:22	53:17 54:5 55:9	58:14 59:1	efforts 59:4	Fox 54:16
117 CHUC JJ.22				

Page 68

				1 ago 00
frame 57:22	60:10 61:1,10,14	L	name 55:13	paragraphs 61:15
fully 63:3	61:17 62:15 63:4	Lacey 53:18 55:1	narrow 58:1	part 59:15
further 63:22 65:1	64:8 65:14	Lane 54:22 56:9,11	National 53:24	particular 55:16
65:6		law 53:13 54:2	necessitating 62:6	61:5 64:17
	<u> </u>	55:14	need 57:16 59:21	parties 57:4,5,8
G	identifying 64:10	leaning 57:3	62:13	58:9,11 59:16
G 55:4	II 53:11	leave 56:17	needed 57:20 63:18	parties' 58:14 59:4
Garlinghouse	include 56:20	let's 55:6,18 58:4,8	new 56:22	PC 54:22
53:20 66:6,16	included 60:13	letter 63:14 64:2,5	normal 60:3	person 57:20
general 55:11	including 57:2	64:18,22 65:3,4	Nos 60:21	Pilots 53:7 54:10
General's 54:6	incorrectly 60:15	letting 57:5	note 62:20	55:10,12,21
55:25	indicating 64:23	list 57:1	notice 59:23	PMSA 54:15 56:3,5
give 62:15	information 61:25	lists 58:20	November 53:15	59:11 60:22 61:14
Given 60:22	instructions 60:14	LLC 53:21	55:1	62:15 65:1,2,8,12
glance 61:11 64:20	62:20	LLP 54:11,16		PO 54:7
go 57:5 58:4 59:9	introduce 56:10	looking 63:4 64:9	0	point 57:18 63:21
65:7	issue 58:1,18 63:6	looks 57:19	O 55:4	pointing 63:15
going 56:16 57:7	63:18 64:12,18	Loop 53:18	000 55:3	Portland 54:12
61:11 63:10,12,19	65:2,5		October 60:19	post 58:21
63:20 64:16,19,20	issued 58:23 60:13	M	64:10	potential 59:25
good 55:6 56:4,8,14	issues 59:6,23	manner 60:3	Office 54:6 55:25	Powell 54:22 56:9
62:12	63:17 65:6	March 58:17,18,21	okay 57:13,19,23	56:11
granted 56:17	items 59:21	matter 56:11	60:10 61:17 64:8	preference 59:17
great 57:13,23 58:3	J	meet 63:7	Olympia 53:23	preferred 60:9
58:24 59:14 60:10		mention 63:24	54:7	prehearing 53:11
guess 62:3	joining 55:16	Merchant 56:2	ones 62:21	55:7,16 59:7
H	judge 53:13 54:2	mhaglund@hk-l	option 57:21	preside 55:15
	55:6,14,22 56:1,6	54:13	order 56:16 58:23	previous 59:20
Haglund 54:11,11	56:8,12,14 57:13	Michael 53:13 54:3	60:13,21 61:6,15	previously 64:3
55:20,20 57:10,18 60:18 61:3,12,18	57:23 58:3,8,24 59:22 60:10 61:1	54:11 55:13	62:1,4,4,5,8,21,21	problem 57:20
, ,	61:10,14,17 62:15	Michelle 54:16	62:22,23 63:5,24	proposed 57:1,4
62:3,18 63:2,23		56:4 59:10	64:12,15,20,24	58:14
65:8	63:4 64:8 65:14 Julian 54:6 55:24	Mike 55:20	Oregon 54:12	proposing 56:22
handling 60:4 hear 56:2,7		mind 58:13	P	providing 57:4
hearing 56:21,22	julian.beattie@a 54:8	missed 62:1	P 54:1,1 55:4	PSP 55:12,19 57:10
57:3,4,15 58:2,21		moment 57:14	Pacific 56:2	57:19,22 58:1
	July 58:23	61:10 63:11,19		60:14,16 61:2
hold 63:10 Honor 57:18 59:10	K	64:13	Pages 53:12 paper 62:6 63:7	63:13 65:9
60:13 62:17 63:3	keep 60:4	morning 55:7 56:4	64:9	PSP's 56:17 64:9
63:23 65:9	Kelley 54:11	56:8,13,14		Puget 53:7 54:10
hoping 59:12	Ken 61:20	motion 56:17 58:2	papers 60:15 62:13 62:23,24,25 63:6	55:10,11,21
Howard 53:13 54:3	kind 60:3	moving 56:20	63:15 64:3,11,12	putting 59:18
55:6,13,22 56:1,6	know 57:19,25	mute 64:8	64:23,25 65:3	0
56:12,14 57:13,23	59:17 60:8		paragraph 60:21	$\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{Q}}$
58:3,8,24 59:22	knowledge 66:9	$\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}^{2}}$	61:12 63:5	question 60:12
50.5,0,24 57.22		N 54:1 55:4	01.12 03.3	questions 59:11
	l	I	l	

				Page 69
65:6	nonreconting 55.20	alight 62.1	think 57:25 59:25	weren't 62:22
	representing 55:20	slight 62:4 sorry 64:19	60:1	wishes 62:11
quite 62:21	request 60:23,24	sound 53:7 54:10		witness 57:19
R	requested 61:19		thought 61:8 62:8	
R 54:1 55:4 66:1	requests 58:18,18	55:10,12,21 57:8	three 60:14,23	58:19 60:2,6 62:6
rate 55:11 59:16,19	required 60:20	60:5	62:24 64:3	62:9,14
59:19	63:7	Southeast 53:18	THURSTON 66:4	witnesses 60:17
reached 58:11	requirement 64:24	specific 59:18 64:2	time 57:22 58:21	61:6 63:9 64:4,11
read 57:1	resolved 59:12	64:4	63:12 64:17	64:22
reading 58:13	respective 63:9	specifically 62:5,24	timely 60:22	wondering 62:1
Realtime 53:21	respond 61:2	sponsor 60:2,6	today 55:7 56:15	Woodland 53:18
rebuttal 58:16	Respondent 53:9	61:21 62:6	59:8 62:12 63:14	work 56:24 59:1,3
received 61:24	response 58:15	sponsored 61:5	TOTE 54:20 56:7,9	59:3 60:15 62:5
	62:16	62:13 64:4	57:24 58:1 65:10	62:13,23,24,25
recess 58:9	resubmit 59:21	sponsoring 64:11	TP-220513 53:4	63:6,7,15 64:3,9
recharacterized 62:19	returning 58:9	Square 53:18	55:8	64:11,12,23,25
	review 63:22 64:19	Staff 54:5 55:23,25	transcript 66:8	65:3
recognize 57:15	65:2	65:11	Transportation	working 56:11
reconvene 57:6	revisit 63:18	start 55:18	53:2,4,17 55:9	wrote 60:18
record 55:6 56:18	right 56:12 58:3,24	State 66:3,7	true 66:8	WTB 61:24
57:5,7 58:4,5,8	65:14	status 60:18	two 56:25	www.buellrealti
59:9,15,19,21	Rothschild 54:16	Steve 54:21 56:8	U	53:25
62:10 65:7	rule 59:22	strike 60:23,24		X
redesignate 61:4	<u> </u>	63:12,20 64:16	understand 58:11	A
redesignated 61:20		subject 56:18	understanding	<u> </u>
61:21 62:19	S 54:1 55:4	suggest 63:21	59:3 61:3	
refer 61:12	safest 60:1	Suite 53:22 54:17	update 60:18	yesterday 61:5,9 62:2
reference 60:20	schedule 56:15	54:22	Utilities 53:2,4,17	• = • =
referenced 62:5	58:10	supplement 56:18	55:9	yesterday's 61:23
65:4	search 63:11	sure 63:3	V	
references 59:20	seasalt@foxroths	SW 54:12	versus 55:10	
64:2	54:18		VIRTUAL 53:11	0
referring 61:15	Seattle 53:22,23	<u> </u>		04 56:16
62:18 63:2,3	54:17,23	T 66:1,1	virtually 57:21	
refile 60:14,22	second 55:7	take 64:16	VOLUME 53:11	1
62:10	see 62:18 64:8,21	taken 58:6	vs 53:6	1 53:15 55:1
refiled 60:16 62:25	seeing 63:5 64:10	Tayler 53:20 66:6	W	1001 54:17
refiling 63:8	64:17	66:16	wanted 59:16 60:8	10th 58:16,17,23
reflects 62:4	seen 60:19	testimony 58:16,17	Washington 53:1,4	60:19
relative 63:25	September 60:17	60:16,25 63:9,25	53:17,18,22 54:7	11:00 53:16 55:2
remaining 56:19	seven 63:13 64:7	64:1	54:17,23 55:1,9	11:04 58:7
57:2 58:12,14	sheets 58:20	Thank 55:22 56:1,6	66:3,7	11:17 58:7
63:17	Shipping 56:3	56:12 57:13,23	ways 57:1	11:31 65:16
reply 58:22	Shorthand 66:6	58:24 60:25 61:1	ways 57.1 we're 55:7 56:15	12th 58:23
REPORTED 53:20	simply 57:4	65:12,14	59:12	1325 53:22
Reporter 66:7	six 56:19	things 57:16 60:4	weeks 56:20	14 63:8 64:15
Reporting 53:21	skill 66:9	62:19,24	WCCR5 JU.20	1420 54:22
	l	l	l	

Page	70

			•
1840 53:22	8		
	800 53:24		
2	846-6989 53:24		
2022 53:15 55:1			
2023 56:23	9		
206 53:23 54:18,23	97201 54:12		
2177 54:12	98101 53:22		
223-7000 54:23	98111 54:23		
225-0777 54:13	98154 54:17		
22nd 58:18	98503 53:18		
287-9066 53:23 28th 58:22	98504 54:7		
29th 58:22	9th 60:17		
29th 30.21			
3			
3 60:13,21 61:15			
62:4,8,21,23 63:5			
64:12,15,20			
3's 64:24			
31st 64:10			
3358 53:20 66:16			
360 53:23 54:8			
389-1668 54:18			
4			
4 62:1,5,5,22,22 63:24			
40117 54:7			
4200 54:22			
4400 54:17			
46 60:21 61:15			
48 61:15			
49 60:21 61:16 63:5			
5			
503 54:13			
53-66 53:12			
534-9066 53:23			
586-6749 54:8			
5th 56:23 57:15			
6			
621 53:18			
6th 56:23 57:15			
7			
			l