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JUDGE HUGHES: Good afternoon.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

DAVID STROBLE: Hello.
ESTHER NEAL: Good afternoon.
JUDGE HUGHES: The time is 1:30. This is Docket TS-230613. The time set for the hearing, for hearing the commission's complaint, was 1:30 today against Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC, which I may refer to as the company through the course of this hearing.

Before we (inaudible) the proposed cancellation of the company's commercial ferry certificate of the alleged violation of WAC 480-51-150 (1) (d).

My name is Bijan Hughes, and I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

Okay. Let us start by taking short appearances. Staff?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Josephine Strauss with the Washington State
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1 Attorney General's Office representing commission 2 staff.

I have with me today two staff witnesses, Esther Neal and Rachel Stark.

JUDGE HUGHES: Very good.
And the company?
DAVID STROBLE: Good afternoon, your
Honor. David Stroble representing the company.
JUDGE HUGHES: And just to confirm for
the record, the company is?
DAVID STROBLE: Formerly Lake Union Ferry
Company, LLC, which is part of the issue that we'll be
talking about today because it was administratively
terminated, which was a wholly owned subsidiary of my
holding company, Eden Valley Investments, LLC.
JUDGE HUGHES: Very good. Thank you.
DAVID STROBLE: Which was also the original permit holder.

JUDGE HUGHES: All right. We've got a storied history, it looks like.

DAVID STROBLE: Yes.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. So any motions
before we jump into testimony?
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: No, your Honor. JUDGE HUGHES: Great. And let's see.

1 For the record, I want to confirm the company's aware 2 of its right to have counsel present at this

DAVID STROBLE: Yes. Aware of the right, and comfortable proceeding.

JUDGE HUGHES: Very good.
Okay. Let us progress to the merits.
Staff, I can swear in the first witness and they may testify.

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Yes, your Honor.
Staff's first witness is Esther Neal.
JUDGE HUGHES: All right. There you are. Can you please raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give today will be the truth, the whole truth and knowing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you.
Please proceed, Counselor.

ESTHER NEAL, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRAUSS:
Q Good morning -- or good afternoon, I guess. Good afternoon, Ms. Neal. Would you please state your name and spell your last for the record.

A Good afternoon. My name is Esther Neal, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}$.

Q And what is your current occupation?
A I'm a Regulatory Analyst 2 for the Utilities and Transportation Commission.

Q And how long have you been in that position?
A Since December of 2022.
Q And what are your responsibilities within that position?

A My duties include reviewing and analyzing financial and operational data reported by commission-regulated companies.

Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role as an analyst?

A In my career as a Washington state employee, I've gained over 19 years of experience working with regulated entities, reviewing and analyzing policies, laws, rules and regulations, and as well as over eight years of accounting experience.

Q Thank you. I want to turn to the current

1 matter. Are you familiar with Lake Union Ferry
2 Company, LLC?

3
4

A Yes.
Q Can you please tell us how you're familiar with this company?

A Lake Union Ferry Company is regulated by the commission.

Q Okay. And what do you know about this company?

A I reviewed their annual reports. And I know that this company has not filed -- has not reported any intrastate operating revenue for the past few years.

Q Okay. And why were you looking at this company's annual report?

A As part of my duties, I was reviewing their financial and operational data reported for the previous reporting year.

Q And what did this annual report tell you?
A It just showed that the company had no operating revenue.

Q Okay. And was this the first report that the company had submitted that showed no operating revenue?

A No.
Q How many annual reports have shown no operating revenue?
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    1 notice of intent to cancel after this?
```

A Three additional times.
Q And why was that?
A The second and third attempt, the notice was sent to the company's previous e-mail address in error.

And the fourth attempt was successful.
Q Okay. And when was the last time you sent this notice?

A That was on January 2 of 2024.
Q And did anyone respond to that notice?
A Yes. David Stroble of Lake Union Ferry Company did respond.

Q Okay. And do you know, did you review that response?

A Yes, I did.
Q And do you remember what it said?
A David's response included reasons why the company had not initiated service, and a request to extend their certification with the commission.

Q Okay. And what did you do after receiving this response?

A I reached out to industry staff to verify the company had indeed not initiated service.

Q And what did you learn from industry staff?
A I learned that the company did not initiate service or had not initiated service, and as well as
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1 they had not followed some of the requirements for the 2 certification.

3 Q Okay. Did you look at any other resources 4 regarding your investigation of this company?

Q And is this a true and accurate copy of the business information readout of Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC from the Washington Secretary of State website?

A Yes, it is.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to offer proposed Exhibit EN-1 into evidence.

I apologize, your Honor. You're still muted.

JUDGE HUGHES: Sorry. The three screens.
Any objection from the company in
admitting this piece of evidence?
DAVID STROBLE: No objection.
JUDGE HUGHES: All right. It is entered as EN-1.
(Exhibit EN-1 admitted into evidence)
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Thank you.
Q (By Attorney Strauss) Ms. Neal, when was Lake Union Ferry Company dissolved?

A On August 3 of 2023.
Q Okay. And do you know why the company was dissolved?

A Yes.
Q Why was the company dissolved?
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1

A The company was dissolved for not filing an annual report last year that was required by the Secretary of State's office.

Q Okay. And how do you know that this was the reason for dissolution?

A The reason for the dissolution was provided on the Secretary of State's website.

Q Okay. And do you know if, prior to the company being dissolved in August 2023, if the certificate that was granted to Lake Union Ferry Company was transferred to any other company?

A No, not to my knowledge.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Okay. Ms. Neal, that is all my questions for you this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE HUGHES: Mr. Stroble, do you have any questions for this witness?

DAVID STROBLE: No, no questions.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thanks.

QUESTIONS BY THE JUDGE
JUDGE HUGHES: So while I have you, I'll ask one of mine instead of waiting to the end. Scrolling.

I believe you said that the company has

```
not initiated service?
    You're muted if you answered.
    THE WITNESS: Sorry.
    JUDGE HUGHES: No worries.
    THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor.
    JUDGE HUGHES: And can you -- what WAC
    citation was in the NOIC?
    THE WITNESS: I don't have that handy
    right here. But I can pull that up.
    JUDGE HUGHES: I'll wait.
    THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
    It's WAC 480-51-150.
    JUDGE HUGHES: And that's Subsection
    (d)?
    THE WITNESS:Sorry. Just a minute.
    How did I just close that?
    That is correct.
    JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. It's my
        understanding that that subsection calls for the filing
        of annual reports after service has been initiated.
    THE WITNESS: That's correct. That's my
        understanding as well, your Honor.
    JUDGE HUGHES: But service was never
        initiated?
            THE WITNESS: That is correct.
```

Page 15

1
2
3
4

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. So is the regulation in the NOIC applicable?

THE WITNESS: No. Not as filed.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Then I will wait
for the next witness, then. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, staff
would like to call Rachel Stark.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Can you please
raise your right hand.
Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE HUGHES: Good. Thank you. Please proceed.

RACHEL STARK, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRAUSS:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Stark. Could you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?

A Rachel Stark, S-T-A-R-K.
Q And Ms. Stark, what is your current occupation?

A Regulatory Analyst 3.
Q And how long have you been in that position?
A Since March 2023.
Q And what are your responsibilities in that position?

A I review and analyze company filings for changes to any company tariffs or rules in compliance with commission rules and laws.

I'm also the backup for any annual report questions that may arise.

Q Okay. And have you received any training or education that relates to your role as an analyst?

A Yes.
Q And what is that training or education?
A I received training from senior staff members and trainers and our supervisor in our division, as well as I attended the National Association of Regulatory Commissioners week-long rates school.

Q I want to turn to the current matter at issue. Are you familiar with Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC?

A Yes.

```
Page 17
```

1

```
Q And how are you familiar with that company?
A Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC is a company that the commission has regulatory authority over.
Q And do you know if this company has a commission-granted certificate to operate ferry service?
A Yes.
Q When was the certificate granted?
A May 17, 2018.
Q Okay. I'm going to share my screen as soon as I can find my mouse. There it is.
Ms. Stark, I'm showing you a document that has been previously marked as proposed Exhibit RS-1. Are you familiar with this document?
A Yes.
Q And what is RS-1?
A This is the certificate that was granted to Eden Valley Investments, LLC, d/b/a Lake Union Ferry Company on May 17, 2018.
Q Okay. Do you have any changes you would like to make to this exhibit?
A No.
Q Is this a true and accurate copy of the certificate granted by the commission to the best of your knowledge?
```

A Yes.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, at this
time I'd like to offer proposed Exhibit RS-1 into evidence.

JUDGE HUGHES: Any objection?
DAVID STROBLE: None.
JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you. Okay. RS-1 is admitted.
(Exhibit RS-1 admitted into evidence)
Q (By Attorney Strauss) Ms. Stark, according to RS-1, who was the original grantee of the certificate?

A Eden Valley Investments, LLC, d/b/a Lake Union Ferry Company.

Q Okay. And was this certificate ever transferred?

A Yes.
Q And have you seen the application
transferring the certificate to Lake Union Ferry Company?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I'm now showing you proposed Exhibit
RS 2.
Ms. Stark, what is proposed Exhibit RS-2?
A This is the application for the ferry company
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1 to transfer their certificate from Eden Valley
2 Investments, LLC, d/b/a Lake Union Ferry Company, to
3 Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC.
Q Okay. And do you have any changes you would like to make to this exhibit?

A No.
Q Okay. And Ms. Stark, to the best of your knowledge, is this a true and accurate copy of the application to transfer the certificate?

A Yes.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, at this
time I'd like to offer RS-2 into evidence.
JUDGE HUGHES: Any objection?
DAVID STROBLE: No objection.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. RS-2 is
admitted. Please proceed.
(Exhibit RS-2 admitted into evidence)
Q (By Attorney Strauss) Ms. Stark, was this application to transfer the certificate granted?

A Yes.
Q And have you seen the order transferring that certificate?

A Yes, I have.
Q Okay. And I'm now showing you what has been previously marked as proposed RS-3.

Is this the order that was granting the authorization of transfer of that certificate?

A Yes.
Q And do you have any changes you would like to make to this exhibit?

A No.
Q And to the best of your knowledge, is this a true and accurate copy of that order transferring the certificate?

A Yes.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: And your Honor, at this time I'd like to offer RS-3 into evidence. JUDGE HUGHES: Any objection? DAVID STROBLE: No objection. JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. RS-3 is entered into evidence. Please proceed.
(Exhibit RS-3 entered into evidence)
Q (By Attorney Strauss) Ms. Stark, going back to Exhibit RS-2, I'm going to scroll down to page 6, eventually.

Who was the principal of Eden Valley Investment, LLC?

A David Stroble.
Q And who is the principal of Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC?

```
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```
A David Stroble.
Q Okay. Ms. Stark, is a certificate holder required to file progress reports prior to initiating service?
A Yes.
Q And did Eden Valley Investment ever file a progress report while it held the certificate?
A No.
Q Did Lake Union Ferry Company, LLC ever file a progress report?
A No.
Q And did staff ever follow up with Lake Union Ferry Company about the lack of progress report?
A Yes.
Q When did staff follow up with the company?
A May 25, 2021.
Q And can you describe that conversation?
A Yes. It was an e-mail that went to the company from -- excuse me; let me get to it -- from a staff member, Scott Sevall, asking the company if there's any updates, that the company was last told by commission staff that they're working on agreements with Seattle to lock in access points for the company stops, and if they were pursuing contracts to be able to build their boats, and if the company put things on
```

1 hold.

2

Q Okay. And then since 2021, has Lake Union filed any other progress reports?

A No. But they did respond to that e-mail.
Q Okay. And what was their response to that e-mail?

A They responded on the same day.
Mr. Stroble responded. He indicated that the company did have some challenging points for the year due to trying to get those permits with the City of Seattle, as well with the Muckleshoot Tribe opposition to be able to get their permits. The opposition stopped the company from being able to work on anything until the Muckleshoot oppositions were -- concerns were resolved.

The company also had indicated that COVID -and the Muckleshoot Tribe shut down during COVID for 12 months, and that the company was unable to get any responses from the tribe to be able to move forward due to the shutdown.

The company also stated that they have been in contact with the tribe in the past two months from that May 25 date, and that they were working on a broad resolution.

The company also stated that they had
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1 expected a resolution in a month or so, and this would
2 allow the company to move forward with their city
3 permits, which would then take an additional 6 to 12
4 months.

Q Ms. Stark, are you familiar with WAC 480-51-120?

A Yes.
Q What is your understanding of that provision?
A The rules are that -- the rule states that failure for a company to initiate service, they need to file for an extension of time to initiate service and provide progress reports.

Paragraph (1) (a) within WAC 481 -- excuse me;
17 480-51-120 states if the certificate holder has not yet
18 initiated any or all of the portion of their route or
19 routes that are granted in their certificate, that
20 during the first five years after obtaining that
21 certificate, the certificate holder may petition the
22 commission to extend the certificate on a 12-month
23 basis for up to three years.
Additionally, WAC 480-51-120(4)(a)(i) states that the petition for an extension of time to initiate

1 service, a certificate holder must file a petition with
2 the commission seeking an extension of time to initiate 3 service no later than 90 days prior to the date upon 4 which that five-year period following the granting of 5 the certificate expires.

Q And Ms. Stark, when was the certificate at issue here granted?

A May 17, 2018.
Q And when did the five-year time frame to initiate service expire?

A May 17, 2023.
Q Did Lake Union initiate service prior to May 2023?

A No.
Q Did Mr. Stroble or any representative of Lake Union Ferry Company apply for extension of the certificate within the appropriate time frame under the WAC?

A No.
Q Ms. Stark, I now want to turn your attention to WAC 480-51-150(1)(a) -- or (1)(b); apologies. Are you familiar with that subsection?

A Let me get to it so $I$ can familiarize myself.
Q Take your time.
A $\quad 1(\mathrm{~b})$. Okay. Yes.
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1

Q And what is that subsection?
A It's a failure for a certificate -- or to initiate service by the conclusion of the fifth year after the certificate holder, to initiate service by the conclusion of the fifth year after the certificate has been granted.

Q And is that regarding cancellation?
A Yes.
Q Do you know the basis for staff's issuance of the notice of intent to cancel on January 2, 2024?

A Yes.
Q And do you know Lake Union Ferry Company's response to that notice?

A Yes.
Q Have you spoken to Mr. Stroble about the company's failure to initiate service?

A Yes.
Q And when did you speak to him?
A I spoke to him twice. The first time was on February 14 on the phone stating that the notice of intent to cancel by the commission that was initiated by Esther Neal was due to the company's failure to initiate service, and also that their annual reports were reporting zero operating -- excuse me; zero intrastate operating revenue for more than five years.

Q Okay. And what did you learn from that conversation with Mr. Stroble?

A Mr. Stroble and I talked about, again, what he had responded to in the e-mail from Scott Sevall; that the Muckleshoot Tribe were dragging their feet and that COVID had shut down the tribe so he was not receiving any responses from the tribe, which stopped him being able to do anything.

He indicated that the tribe and the company had come to an agreement prior to shutting down due to COVID-19, but that there was no signed agreement between the tribe and himself.

He also indicated that when the tribe came back from being shut down, that there was a new person in that position, and the new person had indicated that they were denying any agreement and would not sign any agreement. Mr. Stroble did indicate to me that that wasn't just their company, but any companies. So it was kind of a broad no to everyone to be able to run on Lake Union.

He did indicate that he was also looking at what his ridership would be at this point because before COVID -- the ridership had changed after COVID, and he didn't understand -- he didn't fully have a comprehension of how many customers or riders that he
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1 would have due to now COVID, and he would need to do 2 that. He also said that he -- part of his permits that were stopping him was that he would need to rebuild the floats or the docks that his boats would come to to be able to load and unload his passengers, and that he thinks now at this point, that he wouldn't need to rebuild anything. So he believes that the permit process would be easier for his company to receive at this point, because the current docks or floats there on the water, on Lake Union, would suffice with his boats getting his customers on and off; and that at this point he would really need to do some land permits with various City of Seattle customers. What that entails I don't know. I would let Mr. Stroble be able to be able to expand on that, but that also that he feels that the City of Seattle would be easier to work with with just getting the land permits.

He did indicate -- I asked him if he would send me the document for the timeline, because I told him I did take some notes, but $I$ would rather have it in his writing.

And he said he would do that for me, and also he would give, you know, a better timeline, that he would do that.

And then I spoke to him on the 15th. We kind of talked over things again, same type of things. He did say -- he didn't send me the e-mail yet because he was thinking about things more. He started doing it, but he was thinking about what he should do at this point.

I did confirm that the Secretary of State's office shows that his company was administratively dissolved, and if he was aware of that.

And he said yes, he was aware of that because that was an intentional action by Lake Union Ferry Company because his company wasn't doing business under Lake Union Ferry Company, but instead he was doing things under his investment company, Eden Valley Investments, LLC. So he didn't send me an e-mail.

But I also discussed with him the parameters of WAC 480-51-120. He also indicated during that conversation that he now understands the rules, under the WAC rules, that he should have provided progress reports and had not.

Q Thank you.
And so outside of the certificate from the commission, does -- is it your understanding, does Mr. Stroble have any other permits he needs to operate?

A Muckleshoot Tribe, you mean? The Muckleshoot
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1 Tribe and City of Seattle.
2 Q Is there --

3

A He said that he had to do individual land use permits for people that he would utilize that area in the city. But he may be able to explain that better than I could.

Q And based on your understanding, does he have any of those other permits?

A No.
Q Ms. Stark, does staff have a recommendation on if this request for extension of the certificate should be granted?

A Yes.
Q And what is staff's recommendation?
A Staff would recommend that we deny the extension of this certificate because the company does not have a signed agreement with the Muckleshoot Tribe, nor do they have an anticipated agreement date.

The company also does not have any permits with the City of Seattle and has no anticipation of dates of when they would obtain those.

The company also does not have any permits for individual land use, and no anticipation date for when they'll be able to obtain those.

And also the company states that they need to

1 do a new ridership analysis. And they have not provided it. They have not provided an anticipated date of when they would complete that.

Q Okay. And does staff have a recommendation for cancellation under WAC had 80-51-150(1)(b)?

A Yes. The company has failed to -- yeah. We would recommend cancellation of their certificate because the company has not initiated service, nor have they provided any progress reports of financials or when they anticipate beginning service.

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Stark. That's all my questions for you this afternoon. JUDGE HUGHES: Mr. Stroble, do you have any questions for this witness?

DAVID STROBLE: No questions. Thank you. And thank you, Ms. Stark, for your summary of our conversations without my e-mail notes. THE WITNESS: Quite all right. JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Then I suppose I do have a question or some questions.

QUESTIONS BY THE JUDGE JUDGE HUGHES: Who does staff consider to be the certificate holder right now?

THE WITNESS: Lake Union Ferry Company,
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1 LLC.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Are you familiar with the definition of a person under the regulations?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. If you would direct me, I could --

JUDGE HUGHES: Sure. WAC 480-51-020(10).
THE WITNESS: 480 what?
JUDGE HUGHES: 51-020.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
And it's specifically which paragraph?
JUDGE HUGHES: 10.
THE WITNESS: 10. Thank you.
The term person means any natural persons or persons by the entity legally capable of taking action. I would say yes, I'm familiar with that. I would say it's --

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. My question is, is a dissolved company capable of taking any legal action?

So does staff consider Lake Union Ferry Company to be a person?

THE WITNESS: I would say yes under the
definition. Because they're not able to do anything.
JUDGE HUGHES: But the -- okay.
THE WITNESS: No person -- any natural

1 persons of any -- oh, no. Lake Union Ferry -- excuse 2 me, your Honor. No. I would say a company is not a 3 person.

JUDGE HUGHES: It does say take any
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1 action. But there are -- you'd acknowledge there's 2 actions they can take?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Correct, your Honor.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Okay. So staff doesn't think there's a need for an exemption to include -- change the definition of person?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: No, your Honor. Not at this point.

JUDGE HUGHES: Shoot. Sorry. I was way too excited to ask that question. So thank you for indulging me.

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: -- your Honor. JUDGE HUGHES: Very good. I asked that. On the five years, let me ask Ms. Strauss again. In this construction where the certificate holder has been a single entity, it seems like is how the case has been presented, that the five years started at the beginning.

So my question is, is that because he transferred it to another company he controls, or would the reasoning be if he had transferred it to a third party, would staff have reset the five-year clock or kept the original, is --

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Sorry, your Honor. I apologize.

Your Honor, so staff used the five-year clock as starting when the certificate is first granted.

This five-year clock does not restart upon transfer. And the reasoning behind that is if the clock resets every time a certificate is transferred, that five-year clock would never run out. It could be perpetually extended for each transfer. And they would never need to apply for a transfer, but rather could just continually transfer the certificate between LLC's every time the clock got near to running out or at this point in time during that five years.

So staff's view is that that five-year time really begins when the certificate is granted, and does not reset upon transfer.

JUDGE HUGHES: Would a company be able to perpetually move it?

Would they not need to seek permission to transfer it?

Wouldn't that be an opportunity to say no?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, it could be an opportunity to say no.

However, in certain situations, it could very easily explain why the transfer would be needed,
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1 and at least in the short term, be used as a way to get 2 around the five-year regulation.

17 Let's see. A. Here we are. Yes, every six months. 18 Yes, your Honor.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Then back to Ms.
Stark. So I believe -- how many reports did the company fail to make that it was required to make? THE WITNESS: I would say with the rule -- so the company, in -- let's see. They didn't file any extension or any progress reports.
(Overlapping speech)
THE WITNESS: -- WAC 480-51-120. So the
certificate holder, if they don't initiate service within the first five years, they should file progress reports yearly.

JUDGE HUGHES: I'm seeing every six
months, Subsection (a)?
THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. And so it would be fair to say that the company has missed at least ten reports?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. That is all I have.
Any other witnesses?
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, staff has

1 no other witnesses at this time. JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you, witnesses, for coming.

Mr. Stroble, would Lake Union Ferry Company like to present any evidence or testimony? And you can proceed by testifying in narrative form if that's what you'd like.

DAVID STROBLE, witness herein, having been duly sworn on oath at the conclusion of testimony, testified as follows: NARRATIVE TESTIMONY BY DAVID STROBLE DAVID STROBLE: I don't have any evidence. I thought I would make more of a statement testimony rather than a defense.

It's clear, given staff's presentation today, the -- you know, between the termination of Lake Union Ferry Company and that turn of events essentially forfeiting my permit, which was an unfortunate oversight on my part, as well as deficient progress reports and five years of no revenue, I don't have much of a leg to stand on, other than to say I feel as though over the last five years, I've become a subject matter expert in starting a ferry on Lake Union and
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1 that body of water.

1 UTC when we first applied.

I do recognize the deficiencies on my part with the UTC, and will accept should the ruling be
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1 to cancel the permit.

And so I'll let it lay at that. And just my final word being to thank staff and their diligence and patience and communication with me when mine did not meet theirs. So thank you very much.

JUDGE HUGHES: Thank you, sir.
Well, you know what? I forgot to swear you in. Okay. Can you raise your right hand?

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
JUDGE HUGHES: And do you affirm all the things you just said were true?

THE WITNESS: I do affirm that all the things $I$ just said were true.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you. That's my bad.

THE WITNESS: No worries.
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I got to ask my person question. So that's exciting.

If -- I guess more of a question for staff. If Mr. Stroble produced five years' worth of progress reports detailing his efforts for the past five years, would that be something staff could work

1 with, or the desire is to cancel?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Your Honor, I would have to confer with staff briefly to -JUDGE HUGHES: Sure. ATTORNEY STRAUSS: -- actually talk about that. If we can do a short five-minute recess, I can give staff a call and ask if that is something they are interested in.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. I guess I'd ask Mr. Stroble, have you been maintaining records?

Could you create these progress reports if given the opportunity to?

DAVID STROBLE: I could. It would take me a few days, but $I$ could certainly do that.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. So why don't we take a five-minute recess just on the off chance there's a way we can resolve this without a couple more rounds of things.

Okay. Let us meet back at 2:20. Let us go off the record.
(Recess.)
JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. Ms. Strauss, did you have a chance to confer with your client?

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Yes, your Honor.
So staff has some concerns. You know,
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1 staff is always very willing to work with companies.

I guess the concern here is that Lake Union Ferry Company is a dissolved company. Mr. Stroble would need to figure out how to transfer that certificate.

But more importantly, staff is really concerned by the other roadblocks in Lake Union Ferry Company, Eden Valley Investment Company, whichever company ends up being the company in this situation. You know, the lack of permits from other required entities, potentially this ridership survey, staff would really need some sort of definitive date of when these extra road barriers are coming down to really be okay with not supporting cancellation.

At this point, given the uncertainties, staff still favors cancellation.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. All right. It seemed like the progress reports would give staff some of the information needed to --

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: So based on staff's current understanding --

JUDGE HUGHES: -- evaluate that. But very good.

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: I apologize, your
Honor. I think the audio is cutting out. I may have

1 missed the last bit that you said.

ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Oh, okay.
JUDGE HUGHES: Please continue.
ATTORNEY STRAUSS: I was just going to say, you know, in staff's current conversations with the company, it doesn't sound like there's any dates definitive that would be revealed in a progress report, if any, of the existing information there is now. And because of that, staff is uncertain about supporting an extension.

If there was some sort of definitive date of when those other permits would be, you know, obtained, staff would be willing to reconsider.

But at this point, just given the lack of certainty, staff has some concerns about that.

JUDGE HUGHES: Okay. That's totally fair.

Okay. Does anyone else have anything else to add, or is there any other matters that we would need to address?

Okay. Hearing nothing, I will take all of this under advisement, and I will issue an order

```
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    1 shortly. So thank everyone for coming here today, and
    2 we are adjourned. We can go off the record.
    3 ATTORNEY STRAUSS: Thank you, your Honor.
```

4

5 your time.
6 (Proceeding concluded at 2:24 p.m.)
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