

**EXHIBIT NO. ___(DEM-3C)
DOCKET NO. UE-08___
PCA 6 COMPLIANCE
WITNESS: DAVID E. MILLS**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**In the Matter of the Petition of
PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,
For Approval of its March 2008 Power Cost
Adjustment Mechanism Report**

Docket No. UE-08___

**SECOND EXHIBIT (CONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVID E. MILLS
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

**REDACTED
VERSION**

MARCH 31, 2008

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

**SECOND EXHIBIT (CONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID E. MILLS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	HEDGING PLAN	2
III.	OCTOBER 2004	4
IV.	JULY 2005	4
V.	NOVEMBER 2005	4
VI.	DECEMBER 2005 – APRIL 2007	8
VII.	FUNDAMENTALS AND MARKET PRICES AFFECTING APRIL 2007	9
VIII.	APRIL 2007 – WITHIN MONTH OVERVIEW	12

1 **PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

2 **ILLUSTRATION OF PSE'S PORTFOLIO AND**
3 **RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES FOR PCA PERIOD 6**
4 **POWER SUPPLY FOR THE SINGLE MONTH APRIL 2007**

5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 The purpose of this exhibit is to illustrate the manner in which Puget Sound Energy
7 ("PSE" or "the Company") manages its electric portfolio, including risk management
8 activities, by describing how PSE managed power supply and costs for a single month
9 during PCA period 6: April 2007. Power and Gas Supply Operations Staff ("Staff") follow
10 the Energy Management Committee ("EMC") approved programmatic hedging plan to
11 guide them in the specific time periods and quantities of energy to hedge. In October 2007,
12 the Company extended the term of the power hedging strategy from ■ to ■ months and
13 augmented the active position management period from the first ■ months to the first ■
14 months. The prior strategy of an ■-month term and a ■-month active position
15 management period was utilized for this PCA period 6 as well as for the specific month
16 analyzed here, April 2007. This programmatic hedging plan is designed to reduce the
17 Company's net power portfolio exposure starting ■ months in advance of delivery, subject
18 to minimum and maximum exposure reduction, based upon a fundamental view. Staff can
19 make recommendations to hedge further out in time, departing from this plan, but
20 execution of such hedges are subject to EMC approval. Under this plan, the majority of the
21 hedging strategies and transactions have been executed at least ■ months prior to delivery,
22 leaving primarily only balancing transactions needed to respond to changes in market heat

1 spot price.) See Exhibit No. ____ (DEM-5C).

2 The “maximum” monthly hedge is calculated by dividing the total net exposure by
3 the remaining months prior to the time when the position falls into the ■-month purview.
4 The “minimum” monthly hedge is calculated by dividing the total net exposure (plus or
5 minus the Director’s limit authority) by the remaining months prior to the time when the
6 position falls into the 6-month purview. If such a month’s position already falls within the
7 Director’s limit authority, there is no monthly hedge requirement. (The Director has
8 exposure authority up to the CFO level (\$■); exposure above the CFO level requires
9 notification to the EMC.)

10 Margin at Risk measures risk reduction as a result of incremental hedging. As
11 PSE’s hedging strategy evolved, the MaR concept was added to the evaluation process in
12 May 2004 for hedge strategies ■ to ■ months out to measure risk reduction for various
13 alternatives. MaR analysis shows how much risk reduction is gained by month and by
14 strategy – providing an additional tool to determine which commodity is the best choice
15 and for which month given a credit constrained environment. The MaR calculation shows
16 the amount of portfolio risk removed for each hedging dollar spent when 25 MW power or
17 5,000-mmbtu/day gas is purchased.

18 The remainder of this report will illustrate the systems and tools used by Staff and
19 their application for PCA Period 6 by describing actual hedging strategy decisions and their
20 execution undertaken by PSE. Detailed explanation is provided in section V for one
21 specific month – November 2005, with respect to power supply for delivery in April 2007.
22 For all subsequent months, please reference section VI and VII which provides a summary

1 of December 2005 – April 2007, and reviews the analysis and fundamental views relied
2 upon by Staff to make hedging decisions for April 2007. *See* Exhibit No. ____ (DEM-4C)
3 through Exhibit No. ____ (DEM-14C) for additional detail supporting this narrative.

4 **III. OCTOBER 2004**

5 In October 2004, Staff entered into two long-term, fixed gas supply agreements to
6 supply fuel for its gas-fired generating fleet for the period November 2005 through June
7 2008, as described in more detail in the Company’s 2005 Power Cost Only Rate Case,
8 Docket No. UE-050870. These contracts effectively replaced the 1993 CanWest contract
9 that CanWest prematurely terminated in October 2005.

10 **IV. JULY 2005**

11 PSE employs the KW3000 risk system, a production cost model, to calculate
12 portfolio risks. The April 2007 position was first calculated in the KW3000 risk system
13 (“position report”) July 19, 2005. At that time, the April 2007 total net exposure was
14 ■■■■ with a peak power short of ■ MW, off peak power short of ■ MW, and ■-mmbtu/day
15 natural gas short. Pursuant to the EMC approved hedging strategy tenor at that time, Staff
16 did not recommend any specific action to be taken until November 2005, when the month
17 of delivery was within the ■ month purview.

18 **V. NOVEMBER 2005**

19 In November 2005, April 2007 rolled into Staff’s ■ month purview, with ■ months
20 remaining until delivery. At the beginning of November, the position report indicated the

1 April 2007 net exposure was [REDACTED] with a [REDACTED] MW peak power short, [REDACTED] MW off-peak
2 power short and [REDACTED]-mmbtu/day natural gas short. See Exhibit No. ___(DEM-6C). In this
3 example, the current portfolio position indicates that [REDACTED] MW peak power to be purchased at
4 the current market price, results in a peak power exposure of [REDACTED]. This exposure
5 combined with the [REDACTED] natural gas exposure and [REDACTED] off-peak power exposure totals
6 a net exposure of [REDACTED].

7 With [REDACTED] months remaining before April 2007 falls into Staff's [REDACTED] purview, the
8 maximum reduction in exposure to be accomplished by Staff is approximately [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] and the minimum reduction is approximately [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED].

11 Looking at delivery month April 2007, PSE's MaR analysis indicates the greatest
12 exposure reduction would be purchasing [REDACTED]. See Exhibit No. ___(DEM-7C). For
13 example, if 25 MW peak power was purchased for April 2007, it would reduce risk by
14 [REDACTED] for every dollar spent, compared to [REDACTED] with the purchase of 5,000-mmbtu/day gas
15 for power. Based on this analysis, greater risk reduction would be gained from the
16 purchase of [REDACTED].

17 On-peak market heat rates (the product of the power price divided by the gas price)
18 for April 2007 were anticipated to be in the [REDACTED] plus range. At that heat rate, the
19 Company's [REDACTED] combustion turbines ("CT") would be, on a
20 probabilistic basis, "out of the money". See Exhibit No. ___(DEM-8C).

21 In November 2005, as part of the Rolling [REDACTED]-Month Hedging Plan, Staff reviewed

1 market fundamentals and came up with a hedging strategy for April 2007. Staff noted that
2 two months after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita struck the Gulf of Mexico, significant
3 production still remained off-line, though prices had fallen to pre-Rita levels and
4 approaching pre-Katrina levels. Staff noted the off-line volumes represent approximately
5 5-10% of the overall domestic energy market, and as we head into the high demand winter
6 season, this situation could represent energy shortage risks to the U.S. natural gas market.
7 However, record amounts of natural gas continue to be injected into storage – mainly a
8 function of above normal temperatures on the East Coast, industrial demand destruction,
9 and conservation, making the market feel more comfortable about winter supply adequacy.
10 In addition, Pacific Northwest heat rates continue to weaken. Staff also noted the start of
11 the 2006 water year for the Pacific Northwest looked positive. (October 2005 marked the
12 start of a new water year. Water year 2006 is defined as October 2005 to September 2006.)
13 Precipitation for September and October 2005 was more than 150% above average, while
14 snow pack is slightly above normal. Because the Northwest River Forecast Center
15 (“NWRFC”) does not start predicting stream flow conditions until late December, the
16 Company has contracted with a private vendor to model and generate forecasts for stream
17 flow, snowmelt, and runoff in the Pacific Northwest. On November 20, 2005, the
18 consultant released their first forecast of the 2006 water year predicting January-July runoff
19 at ██████ of normal at Grand Coulee. As a result of lower natural gas prices and uncertain
20 hydro conditions for water year 2007, Staff recommended to hedge at ██████ levels –
21 noting that ██████ hedge levels still represent only a small fraction of the overall short
22 position in the rolling █-month period.

23 On November 7, 2005, Staff purchased █ MW Mid-C ██████ power for the period

1 April 2007 through June 2007 for [REDACTED]. See Exhibit No. ___(DEM-9C).

2 An overview of PSE's hedging activities for April 2007 can be found in Exhibit
3 No. ___(DEM-10C). The hedges are charted by transaction date and transaction price for
4 peak (also referred to as "heavy load" which represents hours ending 0700 through 2200),
5 off peak (also referred to as "light load" which represents hours ending 2300 and 2400, and
6 hours ending 0100 through 0600), and gas for power. The charts show the mid-mark (the
7 average between the bid and the ask price) and the price at which the hedge was executed
8 relative to the market price movement for April 2007. It may appear for some hedges, the
9 transaction price is above the April 2007 mid-mark. This is a result of purchasing a hedge
10 as a quarterly strip, also referred to as "Q2" which includes the months of April, May, and
11 June. Because the price of power is valued differently for the individual months, the price
12 for Q2 power was higher than that of the price of April power. Oftentimes, the forward
13 power market – especially for delivery beyond six months from execution – does not trade
14 as monthly strips until the delivery date approaches 4-6 months, only quarterly.

15 By the beginning of December 2005, the net exposure for April 2007 went from
16 [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] as a result of the increase in gas prices over the previous month. See
17 Exhibit No. ___(DEM-6C). The position report showed a [REDACTED] MW peak power short, [REDACTED]
18 MW off peak power short, and [REDACTED]-mmbtu/day natural gas short.

19 Fundamental variables affecting supply for Q2-2007 include: gas prices (another
20 active hurricane season could cause significant gas supply losses and production
21 disruptions), weather, and precipitation (recall October 1, 2006 marks the start of the new
22 water year).

1 **VI. DECEMBER 2005 – APRIL 2007**

2 During the months December 2005 through October 2006, Staff managed the April
3 2007 spot market exposure similar to the previous month – pursuant to the rolling [REDACTED]-
4 month hedging strategy – with an eye towards market conditions and fundamentals, water
5 supply, and weather.

6 A record 27 named storms formed during the 2005 season; 14 of those formed into
7 hurricanes; 7 of those classified as major hurricanes (Category 3 or higher); and 4 of those
8 reached Category 5 status – breaking the old record of two Category 5 hurricanes set in
9 1960 and 1961 (NCDC). In addition, the 2005 hurricane season was the most destructive –
10 largely due to Hurricane Katrina, with damage estimates exceeding \$100 billion. By the
11 end of November 2005, 38% of all Gulf of Mexico crude oil production remained off-line
12 (564,000 barrels per day) and 30% of all Gulf of Mexico natural gas production remained
13 off-line (3 Bcf/day); cumulative hurricane related losses totaled 93 million barrels of crude
14 oil, and 492 Bcf of natural gas. The West continued to have record storage inventories, but
15 overall US winter supply concerns continued to create fear, uncertainty and a high price
16 environment. As a result of the active hurricane season, natural gas prices were very
17 volatile; Sumas April 2007 natural gas prices hit \$8.84/mmbtu in December 2005 – up
18 over \$2/mmbtu since Hurricane Katrina hit. See Exhibit No. ___(DEM-11).

19 In November 2006, April 2007 rolled into Staff's [REDACTED] purview, allowing Staff to
20 more actively manage the position by responding to short-term market fundamentals. Staff
21 continued to closely monitor the hydro situation as the new water year was beginning to
22 unfold. Staff continued to hedge by purchasing [REDACTED] until heat rates began to fall in

1 March, making our position [REDACTED] as the Company's CT's, on a
2 probalistic basis, [REDACTED]. On March 1, 2007, the
3 Northwest River Forecast Center ("NWRFC") issued their Early Bird forecast, increasing
4 the runoff forecast to 106% of normal at Grand Coulee for the January-July period (up 4%
5 from the previous forecast in February 2007). Responding to the changes in market heat
6 rates, above normal temperatures, no hurricane impact on supply, and forecasted robust
7 stream flows from spring run off – Staff determined to sell just under [REDACTED]-
8 mmbtu/day April 2007 physical natural gas during the month of March 2007, as market
9 heat rates began to decline.

10 **VII. FUNDAMENTALS AND MARKET PRICES**
11 **AFFECTING APRIL 2007**

12 From November 2005 to April 2007, forward prices for power and natural gas were
13 volatile, as a result of the changing market, hydro, and weather conditions. As a result of
14 the volatile prices, market heat rates fluctuated over the [REDACTED]; peak heat rates ranged
15 from as low as [REDACTED] to as high as [REDACTED] while off-peak ranged from as low as [REDACTED] to as
16 high as [REDACTED]. Pursuant to the rolling [REDACTED]-month hedge strategy, Staff ratably reduced the
17 net exposure of its power portfolio. Heading into delivery month April 2007, the position
18 was relatively flat as a result of the hedges Staff had transacted. Beginning April 1, 2007,
19 Staff managed the position on a daily basis.

20 Monthly spot market exposure for April 2007 over the period November 2005
21 through March 2007 can be found in the exposure charts in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-6C).
22 These charts illustrate peak power, off-peak power, and gas for power exposure as they

1 evolve over the ■-month period and contains our monthly hedging strategy for the rolling
2 ■-month period. (Note that the rolling ■-month hedging strategy can vary for a specific
3 month during that period if Staff's fundamental view warrants accelerating or decelerating
4 that hedging strategy. For example, in September 2006, the hedging strategy for the rolling
5 ■-month period was to hedge to ■ exposure reduction limits, except for Q3 and Q4-
6 2007, Staff recommended to hedge to ■ exposure reduction limits for Q3 and Q4-
7 2007 as prices continued to decline throughout September 2006, and therefore, Staff
8 determined the downside price targets had been achieved with such a price move. Staff
9 noted that bullish market factors still existed beyond the near term including: another
10 month of hurricane season remained; no clear winter weather pattern had emerged; gas
11 fired power generation on the rise and was expected to displace some of the coal units in
12 the West; and the economy growing steadily at about 3%, therefore demand will continue
13 to increase while large amounts of new supplies are limited.

14 Monthly MaR analysis for April 2007 can be found in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-7C).

15 As stated previously, MaR analysis shows how much risk reduction is gained by month and
16 by strategy – providing Staff with an additional tool to evaluate which commodity to hedge
17 given a credit constrained environment.

18 Daily heat rate trends for April 2007 can be found in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-8C).

19 Heat rates fluctuate daily depending on the power and gas prices, and are part of the
20 dispatch logic used to determine which CT's are "in the money".

21 Daily commodity prices for April 2007 can be found in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-11).

22 This chart illustrates peak power, off-peak power, and gas for power prices as they evolved

1 over the 18-month period.

2 The NWRFC issued its first official water supply forecast of the 2007 water year on
3 December 21, 2006. Thousands of Acre Feet (“KAF”) for the January-July period at
4 Grand Coulee was projected at 66,700 KAF. The 30-year average (1971-2000), also
5 referred to as “normal” for the January-July period at Grand Coulee is 62,900 KAF. Thus,
6 NWRFC predicted January-July runoff at 106% of normal at Grand Coulee (66,700
7 KAF/62,900 KAF). All subsequent forecasts for the 2007 water year can be found in
8 Exhibit No. ___(DEM-12). Also found in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-12) are the monthly
9 runoff volumes at Grand Coulee for water years 2005, 2006, 2007, and October through
10 February for water year 2008.

11 Staff’s monthly Fundamental Summaries and Energy Market Executive Reports can
12 be found in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-13C) and Exhibit No. ___(DEM-14C). The monthly
13 Fundamental Summaries by Staff offer an overview of the power and natural gas markets,
14 weather, oil, and hydro as they relate to the rolling ■-month hedging strategy. The Energy
15 Market Executive Reports provide an overview on such topics as Western and North
16 American markets, regulatory affairs, infrastructure, global energy trends, and other related
17 energy topics. The Fundamental Summaries start the last week of November 2005, and the
18 Energy Market Executive Reports were first initiated in October 2005.

19 The above referenced tools, forecasts, and fundamental views were used to manage
20 the monthly spot market exposure for delivery month April 2007. April 2007 hedges were
21 executed in accordance with the rolling ■-month hedging strategy and the hedges are
22 shown for both power and gas for power in Exhibit No. ___(DEM-9C).

1 **VIII. APRIL 2007 – WITHIN MONTH OVERVIEW**

2 Spot prices increased in April from March as the call on gas-fired generation rose
3 as heat rates strengthened, lower hydro production, increased availability of southbound
4 transmission, and a brief unplanned outage at the Columbia Generating Station.

5 Despite the many challenges Staff faced while hedging for the period April 2007
6 (including unknown hydro conditions and weather, significant hurricane damage and
7 production losses), Staff succeeded in executing transactions at competitive market prices.
8 From November 2005 to April 2007, Staff purchased [REDACTED] MW peak power at an average
9 price of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] MW off peak power at an average price of [REDACTED]. From October
10 2004 to April 2007, Staff purchased [REDACTED]-mmbtu natural gas at an average price of
11 [REDACTED]/mmbtu. Two metrics are considered when evaluating hedge results. The first is the
12 comparison of the weighted price of the forward hedges versus the mid-market average
13 over the life of a specific hedge strategy. This metric indicates PSE Staff reduced power
14 costs by roughly [REDACTED], through ratable, well-timed hedge execution. The second
15 metric is the comparison of the weighted price of forward hedges versus the latest mark,
16 the latter a proxy for the spot price. Using this metric, PSE Staff reduced power costs by
17 about [REDACTED] through the use of the programmatic hedge strategy, as opposed to leaving
18 the open commodity exposure to the spot market. *See* Exhibit No. ___(DEM-10C).