P	ROPOSED RULE M	IAKING	CR-102 (June 2004) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making	
Agency: Washington U	tilities and Transportation Commis	sion		
Expedited Rule Maki	ent of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>06</u> ngProposed notice was filed as Inder RCW 34.05.310(4).		; or Supplemental Notice	
Title of rule and other i	dentifying information: Chapter 48	80-93 WAC Gas Co	mpanies – Safety, Docket PG-061027	
Hearing location(s): WUTC Hearing Room 2	06		ritten comments to:	
2 nd Floor, Chandler Plaz 1300 S. Evergreen Park Olympia, WA 98504-72	a Building Drive S.W.	Address: e-mail		
Date: <u>July 11, 2007</u> Time: _	<u>1:30 p.m.</u>	Assistand	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact	
(Note: This is NOT the	te of intended adoption: July 11, 2007		<u>Young</u> by <u>July 9, 2007</u>	
Since the rules in Chapte	al and its anticipated effects, incluer 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, b	uding any changes	e 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have	
Since the rules in Chapte identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188,	al and its anticipated effects, incluer 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, besues that suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, W	uding any changes became effective Jun on review certain rul ions, changes, and c VAC 480-93-100, W ew rule (WAC 480-9	in existing rules: e 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 48(larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-01 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline	
Since the rules in Chapte identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188,	al and its anticipated effects, incluent er 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, b sues that suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, W and WAC 480-93-200 and add a ne Damage Prevention requirements in	uding any changes became effective Jun on review certain rul ions, changes, and c VAC 480-93-100, W ew rule (WAC 480-9	in existing rules: e 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-01 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with E Reasons supporting pr	al and its anticipated effects, incluer 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, be sues that suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, We and WAC 480-93-200 and add a ne Damage Prevention requirements in Coposal:	uding any changes became effective Jun on review certain rul ions, changes, and c VAC 480-93-100, W ww rule (WAC 480-9 Chapter 19.122 RCV	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-01 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline <i>W</i> .	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with D Reasons supporting pr The proposed changes w compliance with federal	al and its anticipated effects, incluent er 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, be sues that suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, We and WAC 480-93-200 and add a ne Damage Prevention requirements in Poposal: vill provide clarity in some areas of rules. adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW	uding any changes became effective Jun on review certain rul ions, changes, and c VAC 480-93-100, W w rule (WAC 480-9 Chapter 19.122 RCV the rules, eliminate n	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-013 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline <i>W</i> .	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with D Reasons supporting pr The proposed changes w compliance with federal Statutory authority for	al and its anticipated effects, incluer 480-93, Gas Companies-Safety, besues that suggest that the commission would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, Wand WAC 480-93-200 and add a neo Damage Prevention requirements in Poposal: vill provide clarity in some areas of rules. adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW 81.88.060 use of a:	uding any changes became effective Jun on review certain rul ions, changes, and c VAC 480-93-100, W w rule (WAC 480-9 Chapter 19.122 RCV the rules, eliminate n	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-013 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline <i>W</i> .	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with D Reasons supporting pr The proposed changes w compliance with federal Statutory authority for 81.01.010 and RCW Is rule necessary becau Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:	al and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and the suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, Wand WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and ward a	the rules, eliminate r	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-013 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline W. trequirements that are no longer necessary and provide the providence of the state o	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with D Reasons supporting pr The proposed changes w compliance with federal Statutory authority for 81.01.010 and RCW Is rule necessary becau Federal Law? Federal Court Decision?	al and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and the suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, Wand WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and ward a	the rules, eliminate r	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-012 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline W. requirements that are no longer necessary and provid- teing implemented: N/A CODE REVISER USE ONLY Filed with the Code Reviser's Office May 2, 2007, 10:00 a.m.	
Since the rules in Chapter identified a variety of iss 93 WAC. This rulemaki WAC 480-93-015, WAC 180, WAC 480-93-188, operators comply with E Reasons supporting pr The proposed changes w compliance with federal Statutory authority for 81.01.010 and RCW Is rule necessary becar Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: DATE May 2, 2007 NAME (type or print)	al and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and its anticipated effects, incluent and the suggest that the commission ing would consider possible correct C 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-018, Wand WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and WAC 480-93-200 and add a net and ward a	the rules, eliminate r	in existing rules: the 2, 2005, stakeholders and agency staff have es relating to gas pipeline operations in Chapter 480 larifications to WAC 480-93-005, WAC 480-93-013 AC 480-93-124, WAC 480-93-170, WAC 480-93- 3-250, Damage prevention) ensuring that pipeline W. requirements that are no longer necessary and provide the pipeline operation of the pipeline operation of the pipeline w. requirements that are no longer necessary and provide the pipeline operation of the pipeline operation of the pipeline w. requirements that are no longer necessary and provide the pipeline operation of the pipeline o	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None.				
Name of proponent: (person or organization)	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	Private Public Governmental		
Name of agency personnel responsible for:				
Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting Sondra Walsh	WUTC - 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1286		
ImplementationCarole J. Washburn	WUTC - 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174		
EnforcementCarole J. Washburn	WUTC - 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174		
Has a small business economic impact stat	tement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?			
Yes. Attach copy of small business eco	nomic impact statement.			
A copy of the statement may be ob Name: Address:	tained by contacting:			
phone() fax () e-mail	_			
⊠ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.				
The proposed rules will not result in or impose an increase in costs. Because there will not be any increase in costs resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).				
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?				
	is may be obtained by contacting:			
phone () fax () e-mail No: Please explain: The Commission is legislative rule as referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5)	- s not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed i	rule is not a significant		