

Re: Performing my autopsy of your case data files...

Richard Lauckhart <lauckjr@hotmail.com>

Tue 9/27/2022 12:15 PM

To: Ciarabellini, Jeremy - Transmission <Jeremy.Ciarabellini@pse.com>; Imamovic, Stephanie - Transmission <Stephanie.Imamovic@pse.com>; Green, Brandon - Transmission <Brandon.Green@pse.com>; Gard, Sylvia - Transmission <Sylvia.Gard@pse.com>; Ewry, Eleanor - Transmission <Eleanor.Ewry@pse.com>; Nedrud, Jens - Transmission <jens.nedrud@pse.com>

Cc: Norm Hansen <hansennp@aol.com>; Warren Halvorsen <whalvrsn1@frontier.com>; Neil, Chelsey - TRANSMISSION <Chelsey.Neil@pse.com>

Jeremy-

I have done more research on the files you sent. Additional findings are:

1) Your Base Case (Case 1) has overloads in it without any contingencies. That is because Case 1 also has (a) 1500 MW of NW Washington generation turned off in a Heavy winter conditions, (2) 1,500 MW moving to Canada, and (c) the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project in service. There are all three fatal flaws. Overloads appear on the existing 115 KV lines in and out of Lakeside. There should be no overloads in a (N-0) case.

2) Your Case 9 then takes out two elements of the grid on N-1-1. These elements appear to be (a) one 230 KV line section from Maple Valley to Klahanie and (b) the 500 KV line from Maple Valley to Echo Lake.

If you disagree with these findings, please explain what I have wrong.

Richard Lauckhart
916-769-6704