# Free, Susan

Frank Mossburg <frank.mossburg@bateswhite.com>

**Sent:** Friday, August 16, 2024 1:59 PM **To:** Spector, Kimo; Neelesh Pandey

Cc: Marshall, Anne

**Subject:** RE: Powerflex leasing issue

### **CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL**

Phishing? Click the PhishAlarm "Report Phish" button.

Ok – if the Ownership is not really on the table at least the PPA looks good from a scoring standpoint. Seems reasonable to pursue.

#### -Frank

## **Frank Mossburg**

Direct: 202.652.2194 | Mobile: 202.746.4046

ATTENTION: This electronic message may contain PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL information and is intended for the use of the specific individual(s) and/or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, any unauthorized use, reliance, dissemination, or copying of this email, or the information contained in it or attached to it, is strictly PROHIBITED. If you have received this email in error, please delete it and notify the sender immediately.

From: Spector, Kimo < Kimo. Spector@pse.com>

Sent: Friday, August 16, 2024 4:52 PM

To: Frank Mossburg <frank.mossburg@bateswhite.com>; Neelesh Pandey <neelesh.pandey@bateswhite.com>

Cc: Marshall, Anne <Anne.Marshall@pse.com>

Subject: Powerflex leasing issue

#### Hi Frank and Neelesh,

Circling back to the Powerflex issue I mentioned, below is the scoring comparison. While purchasing the projects is the more cost effective scenario, we cannot settle on a lease rate that will work for both our real-estate group and the site owner. Our preference would be to move to a PPA.

Project	Ownership Struct	SCT
Lynden	Buyout	
	PPA	
Algona	Buyout	
	PPA	

Regards,

Kimo