Docket No. UG-200994 - Vol. I

WUTC v. Northwest Natural Gas Company dba NW Natural

January 19, 2021



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	DOCKET	NO.	UG-200994
Complainant,)			
v.)			
NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY, D/B/A NW NATURAL,))			
Respondent.)			

VIDEOCONFERENCE PRECONFERENCE HEARING BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES SAMANTHA DOYLE AND RAYNE PEARSON

Volume I

Pages 1 - 18
January 19, 2021
1:34 P.M.

REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR, #2121

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	(All parties appeared via videoconference)	
3		
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES:	
5	SAMANTHA DOYLE	
6	RAYNE PEARSON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
7	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1160	
8	samantha.doyle@utc.wa.gov rayne.pearson@utc.wa.gov	
9	raylie.pearsolleucc.wa.gov	
10		
11	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	
12	NASH I. CALLAGHAN OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY	
13	General Utilities and Transportation Division P.O. Box 40128	
14	Olympia, Washington 98504-0128 (360) 664-1187	
15	nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov	
16		
17	FOR NORTHWEST NATURAL GAS COMPANY:	
18	JOCELYN PEASE LISA RACKNER	
19	MCDOWELL, RACKNER & GIBSON PC 419 SW 11th Avenue	
20	Suite 400 Portland, Oregon 97205	
21	(503) 595-3925 jocelyn@mrg-law.com	
22	lisa@mrg-law.com	
23		
24		
25		

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES	
2	(Continued)	
3		
4	FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:	
5	LISA W. GAFKEN	
6	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	
7	Public Counsel Unit 800 Fifth Avenue	
8	Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188	
9	(206) 464-6595 lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov	
10		
11	Also Present: Eric W. Nelson, NW Natural	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4 1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; JANUARY 19, 2021 2. 1:34 P.M. 3 -000-4 5 JUDGE DOYLE: All right. Good afternoon. My name is Samantha Doyle. I'm co-presiding with 6 Administrative Law Judge Rayne Pearson over today's preconference hearing -- or prehearing conference. 8 9 Pardon me. Both of us will be presiding with the 10 11 Commissioners during the evidentiary hearing. I will do 12 appearances today, address motions for intervention and discovery. Judge Pearson will address the procedural 13 schedule, and I will close this conference regarding 14 15 filing requirements. 16 This is Docket UG-200994, which is captioned 17 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. 18 Northwest Natural Gass Company, doing business as 19 Northwest Natural. Today is Tuesday, January 19th, and the time 20 is approximately 1:35 p.m. 21 22 On December 18th, 2020, Northwest Natural 23 filed a general rate case and requested a standard 24 protective order. 25 On January 7th, 2020, the Commission issued

- 1 orders one and two suspending the proposed tariff
- 2 revision and granting the standard protective order,
- 3 respectively.
- 4 This hearing conference is going to be a
- 5 chance for the parties to discuss a procedural schedule
- 6 and any other housekeeping matters.
- 7 After today's conference, we will enter an
- 8 order setting out the procedural schedule and setting
- 9 the dates for the evidentiary hearing.
- 10 Let's start by taking short appearances.
- 11 And again, for the company?
- 12 MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor. This is
- 13 Jocelyn Pease with McDowell, Rackner & Gibson PC for
- 14 Northwest Natural. And --
- 15 JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. And --
- MS. PEASE: My apologies. Would you like
- 17 the appearances for other -- other representatives for
- 18 the company as well?
- JUDGE DOYLE: Yes, please.
- 20 MS. PEASE: Also with us is Lisa Rackner
- 21 with McDowell, Rackner & Gibson PC, and Eric Nelson with
- 22 Northwest Natural.
- JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. And for Commission
- 24 Staff.
- MR. CALLAGHAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

- 1 Can you hear me?
- JUDGE DOYLE: Yes, we can hear you. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you. This is Nash
- 5 Callaghan, Assistant Attorney General for Commission
- 6 Staff.
- 7 JUDGE DOYLE: And Public Counsel?
- 8 MS. GAFKEN: Hi. This is Lisa Gafken,
- 9 Assistant Attorney General appearing on behalf of Public
- 10 Counsel.
- 11 JUDGE DOYLE: And a representative for The
- 12 Energy Project.
- MR. FFITCH: Good afternoon, again, Your
- 14 Honor, and Judge Pearson. This is Simon Ffitch
- 15 appearing as counsel for The Energy Project.
- JUDGE DOYLE: And Alliance of Western Energy
- 17 Consumers.
- 18 MR. STOKES: Good afternoon. This is Chad
- 19 Stokes with the Cable Huston law firm appearing for the
- 20 Alliance of Western Energy Consumers. Also with me on
- 21 the case will be Tommy Brooks, also with Cable Huston.
- JUDGE DOYLE: Thank you. And Northwest
- 23 Energy Council.
- 24 MR. FFITCH: Your Honor, this is Simon
- 25 Ffitch again. I have been in communication with the

- 1 Northwest Energy Coalition and have indicated to them
- 2 that you were inquiring about their participation. And
- 3 they are just confirming that matter right now. I -- I
- 4 will say that when I reviewed the interventions in the
- 5 case, I don't believe I saw a petition from the
- 6 Northwest Energy Collision, but I might have missed it.
- 7 In any event, there are -- I've advised them
- 8 of your interest.
- 9 JUDGE DOYLE: And --
- 10 MR. FFITCH: So we may get more information.
- JUDGE DOYLE: Okay. Thank you. And, you
- 12 know, that might have been my mistake. So let's move
- 13 on.
- Is there any other party that wishes to
- 15 enter an appearance?
- 16 All right. Hearing nothing, let's move on
- 17 and address the petitions to intervene.
- 18 So The Energy Project and AWAC have filed
- 19 petitions to intervene in advance. I'll have to check
- 20 on Northwest Energy Council.
- 21 Do we have anyone on the call who wishes to
- 22 seek oral intervention?
- Okay. And hearing nothing, does any party
- 24 object to the petitions to intervene filed by The Energy
- 25 Project or AWEC?

- 1 All right. And hearing none, those
- 2 petitions are granted, and we'll move on to discovery.
- 3 The discovery rules were made available to
- 4 the parties in order one. During the proceedings of the
- 5 Commission, parties often issue a first data request
- 6 asking that any subsequent data request and responses
- 7 are shared by every other party. It would make it
- 8 easier on the parties if I include this requirement in
- 9 the prehearing conference order.
- 10 Is there any objection to my including that
- 11 requirement?
- 12 MS. GAFKEN: No objection from Public
- 13 Counsel and we would support that.
- MS. PEASE: No objection from the company,
- 15 either.
- MR. FFITCH: No objection --
- 17 MR. CALLAHAN: -- from me as well.
- 18 JUDGE DOYLE: Great. Discovery will
- 19 otherwise be conducted according to the Commission's
- 20 procedural rules. And Judge Pearson will now go over
- 21 the procedural schedule.
- 22 JUDGE PEARSON: Good afternoon. Thank you
- 23 to the parties for working together in advance to submit
- 24 the proposed procedural schedule.
- We find what was submitted mostly acceptable

- 1 with a couple of adjustments. First, and -- Ms. Pease,
- 2 actually, you addressed this in your subsequent e-mail
- 3 this morning. Northwest Natural does request
- 4 November 1st, 2021, effective date. But from the
- 5 Commission's perspective, that's going to be difficult
- 6 to meet if this case is fully litigated. And because we
- 7 must operate as if that will be the outcome, the
- 8 Commission will be setting a deadline to issue a final
- 9 order that's in line with affecting a November 18th,
- 10 2021, effective date, which is, of course, the statutory
- 11 deadline by which the Commission must enter its final
- 12 order.
- Of course, if the case settles or even
- 14 partially settles, there may be an opportunity to revise
- 15 the procedural schedule to allow for the November 1st
- 16 effective date, but at this juncture we are going to
- 17 proceed as though that's not possible.
- 18 So, first and foremost, we need five to six
- 19 weeks between the date that rebuttal and cross-answer
- 20 testimony -- cross-answering testimony is filed and the
- 21 date of the hearing so that policy staff, as well as ALD
- 22 and the commissioners, have adequate time to prepare for
- 23 the hearing in light of our competing workload demands.
- So all of the dates up until the July 16,
- 25 2021 date for filing rebuttal testimony are acceptable,

- 1 but the hearing will need to be later than August 2nd.
- 2 So working back from the November 18th suspension date,
- 3 we're looking at a hearing date of Monday, August 23,
- 4 2021, which would provide an adequate hearing
- 5 preparation time between the July 16th rebuttal and
- 6 cross-answering testimony deadline and the hearing date.
- With that hearing date in mind, we would
- 8 need all briefing concluded no later than September 24,
- 9 2021. So the parties would either need to turn their
- 10 briefs around more quickly or file only one round of
- 11 post-hearing briefs. But we will, of course, leave that
- 12 to the parties to decide. So with these caveats and
- 13 directions in mind, I assume that the parties would like
- 14 to take a brief recess to discuss.
- MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, this is Nash
- 16 Callaghan. The Staff has no objection to a brief
- 17 recess.
- 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what we will do is
- 19 that Judge Doyle and I will place ourselves on hold. So
- 20 on our end, we will not be privy to the parties'
- 21 conversations.
- 22 And then, Mr. Callaghan, if you could
- 23 message us through the Teams app and let us know when
- 24 the parties are done, then we can rejoin at that point
- 25 in time.

- 1 MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Does that work for you?
- Okay. Then we are in recess, and Judge
- 4 Doyle and I will place ourselves on hold.
- 5 (A break was taken from 1:43 p.m. to 2:51 p.m.)
- 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Let's go ahead and be back
- on the record. And during the break, the parties were
- 8 discussing a procedural schedule.
- 9 Is there an attorney who would like to speak
- 10 on behalf of all of the parties to let me know what
- 11 you've decided with respect to the procedural schedule?
- 12 MS. PEASE: This is Jocelyn Pease for the
- 13 company. I could do that, Your Honor. And if it would
- 14 be helpful, we have an updated proposal that I could
- 15 also e-mail to you and to counsel for all the parties.
- 16 JUDGE PEARSON: That would be great.
- 17 MS. PEASE: Okay. I can push "send on that
- 18 right now, and then we can -- we can read that into the
- 19 record if that's helpful. I think the other issue that
- 20 I would preview for -- for Northwest Natural and I think
- 21 also for -- for AWEC, we were still confirming the
- 22 availability of our witnesses for the new hearing date,
- 23 August 23rd and 24th. And so that is sort of a --
- 24 something that we'd offer as a proposed schedule that is
- 25 still subject to confirming on our end.

- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I have the new
- 2 proposed schedule in front of me, and it looks to be
- 3 very similar to the previous schedule; however, the
- 4 dates from July 16th have been adjusted forward.
- 5 MS. PEASE: Yes. Those have all shifted.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
- 7 MS. PEASE: Would it be helpful to read
- 8 those into the record, or is that --
- JUDGE PEARSON: That's fine. I can just go
- 10 ahead and do that since I have it in front of me now.
- 11 So we would be looking at a discovery
- 12 deadline of August 5th; Northwest Natural files joint
- 13 issues matrix Friday, August 13th; exhibit list,
- 14 cross-examination exhibits, witness list, time
- 15 estimates, and exhibit errata would be due on
- 16 August 16th; the evidentiary hearing set for Monday,
- 17 August 23rd and Tuesday, August 24th. Initial post
- 18 hearing briefs due Friday, September 24th and
- 19 simultaneous reply briefs due Friday, October 8th.
- 20 And those dates are all fine for the
- 21 Commission.
- I did want to say that during the break I
- 23 checked the Commissioners' schedules, and it looks like
- 24 Commissioner Balasbas may have -- I don't yet know if
- it's nonnegotiable, but he has a hard stop time at

- 1 3 p.m. on the 23rd. So if we didn't finish, we would
- 2 just pick up on the 24th and continue as needed.
- 3 So, Ms. Pease, it sounds like you just need
- 4 to confirm with a couple of more individuals about
- 5 whether they are available?
- 6 MS. PEASE: Yes. That's right.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
- MS. PEASE: We've been able to get in touch
- 9 with most of the witnesses but not all of them.
- 10 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And otherwise,
- 11 everything looks good from the parties' perspectives?
- 12 MR. STOKES: Your Honor, this is Chad Stokes
- 13 for AWEC. We had the same issues. So I would just ask
- 14 for 24 hours to confirm with our witness, and I can
- 15 confirm by e-mail tomorrow.
- 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds --
- 17 MR. STOKES: If that works?
- JUDGE PEARSON: -- good. And what I can say
- 19 is that the Commission schedule, believe it or not, is
- 20 very tight even as far out as the week of August 23rd.
- 21 Those were hard dates to pin down. And so what we can
- 22 do is, you know, work with -- if some witnesses have
- 23 availability on the 23rd and some on the 24th, we can
- 24 take them out of order, whatever we need to do to make
- 25 sure that we can accommodate to the best of our ability

- 1 between those two dates.
- 2 And there may be other dates that week where
- 3 there's an afternoon available. So if it comes down to
- 4 that and the parties need to propose that, we can work
- 5 with it within the dates of that week, even if it gets a
- 6 little unconventional.
- 7 All right. So moving on. We just want to
- 8 remind the parties that documents should be filed online
- 9 through the electronic filing link on the Commission's
- 10 web page, which, of course, everyone is very familiar
- 11 with now that we're working in a virtual environment.
- 12 And again, exhibits will be filed only
- 13 electronically and all documents will be served
- 14 electronically. The Commission will serve the parties
- 15 electronically. The parties will serve each other
- 16 electronically.
- 17 If you have any corrections or updates to
- 18 the Master Service List in this docket, please just
- 19 e-mail myself and Judge Doyle.
- 20 And we are waiving, also going forward, any
- 21 paper filing requirements in this docket. We can do
- 22 everything electronically.
- Is there anything else we need to address
- 24 today?
- MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I

- 1 did have one last thing from staff. So in the Cascade
- 2 GRC, we had proposed to the parties a method that was
- 3 hoping to streamline the data request process, make it
- 4 easier for everyone. And I've asked the parties if they
- 5 would agree to something similar in this case.
- I believe I've heard a positive response
- 7 from all the parties, and it's essentially that we would
- 8 agree on categories for the data requests, organize the
- 9 data requests by those categories, and then include them
- 10 in the e-mail and the cover letters for data requests
- 11 and responses. And the -- the language that we used for
- 12 that is in Order 3, page 2, paragraph 9 in 200568.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Great.
- MR. CALLAGHAN: And I circulated that, a
- 15 copy of that language to the parties.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds good.
- 17 Is there anything else that we need to
- 18 discuss while we're here today?
- MS. GAFKEN: Yes. There's one more thing
- 20 that I wanted to raise, Your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
- MS. GAFKEN: So I just wanted to bring up
- 23 the idea of the public comment hearing, and that is
- 24 already included in the schedule. But I wanted to
- 25 discuss it just a bit.

- 1 You know, I think the timing is useful after
- 2 our first round of response testimony. It's just useful
- 3 to have that be done before the public comment hearing.
- 4 But, of course, schedules are such that it has to happen
- 5 before it, then -- then that's what happens.
- I think there's a trickier question when it
- 7 comes to whether it's virtual or in person. I think
- 8 it's really quite uncertain whether we will be in person
- 9 by the summer or even the fall. Fingers crossed that we
- 10 are, but we don't necessarily know. So I would urge
- 11 flexibility there.
- 12 Of course, if we are able to do public
- 13 comment hearing in person, I would recommend that it be
- 14 conducted within Northwest Naturals service territory.
- 15 But I would also recommend that we keep a virtual
- 16 participation opportunity. I think that has provided
- 17 some benefit to -- to folks trying to participate.
- 18 I think that's everything that I wanted to
- 19 talk about there, to be sure that I raised.
- 20 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And duly noted while
- 21 that, of course, remains to be seen. So we will play
- 22 that by ear.
- MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Anything else before
- 25 we adjourn today?

```
Page 17
 1
                 Okay. Hearing nothing, we will issue an
 2
     order shortly. I will, of course, wait to hear from the
     parties on the proposed hearing dates. But subsequent
 3
     to that, we will issue an order memorializing everything
 4
     that we talked about here today.
 5
 6
                 And thank you all for appearing. And we are
 7
     adjourned.
 8
 9
10
                      (Hearing adjourned at 2:59 p.m.)
11
12
13
                             -000-
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 18
 1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
 4
     STATE OF WASHINGTON
                          ) ss.
 5
     COUNTY OF KITSAP
 6
           I, CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, a Certified Court
     Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
 8
 9
     certify that the foregoing transcript of the
     videoconference pretrial conference on JANUARY 19 2021,
10
     is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill
11
12
     and ability.
13
           IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14
     and seal this 27th day of January, 2021.
15
16
                       Cuphel maly Le
17
18
                     CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR #2121
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```