Docket No. U-180525 - Vol. II

Rulemaking Re Existing Consumer Protection and Meter Rules

July 13, 2020



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com

WBENG Women's Business Enterprise

	Page 17		Page 19
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1	
	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 2	LACEY, WASHINGTON; JULY 13, 2020 9:30 A.M.
	RULEMAKING TO MODIFY EXISTING CONSUMER PROTECTION	3	000
		4	PROCEEDINGS
	AND METER RULES TO INCLUDE ADVANCED	5	
	METERING INFRASTRUCTURE	6 7	CHAIR DANNER: Let's begin, then. Good
		8	morning, everyone. This is Monday, July 13th, 2020, and this is a rulemaking adoption hearing in Docket U-180525
	DOCKET NO. U-180525	9	dealing with advanced metering infrastructure or AMI.
	TELEPHONIC ADOPTION HEARING, VOLUME II	10	I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the
	Pages 17-50	11	Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues, Commissioner
	1 ages 17-50	12	Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas.
		13	So this morning we are going to review the
	July 13, 2020 9:30 a.m.	14	latest and possibly final draft of the AMI proposed
		15	rules.
	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast	16	Why don't we start Judge Kopta, I will
	Lacey, Washington 98503	17	turn to you and ask you to give a status report on where
		18	we are this morning.
		19	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Chair Danner and Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. I'm
	REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358	20 21	
	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC	22	Greg Kopta, administrative law judge and the co-lead for Staff on this rulemaking, which began almost exactly two
	1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101	23	years ago. And the genesis was the Commission's
	(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia	24	approval of advanced meter infrastructure that is
	(800) 846-6989 National	25	provided or that can be provided by electric utilities.
	www.buellrealtime.com		p
	Page 18		Page 20
1	APPEARANCES	1	No gas utility has yet sought permission to provide
2	COMMISSIONERS:	2	advanced meter infrastructure.
3	DAVID DANNER, Chair ANN E. RENDAHL, Commissioner	3	We received the Commission received three
4	JAY BALASBAS, Commissioner	4	sets of comments. There was also a public comment
5		5	hearing, and we had two workshops, and then the
6 7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: GREGORY J. KOPTA	6	Commission issued the CR-102 proposing rules to or
8	GREGORY 3. ROLLA	7	changes to the rules in WAC 480-100, which are the
	COMMENTS OFFERED BY:	8	electric rules, and 480-90, which is the gas rules, to
9	SHAWN BONFIELD, Avista	9	address the issues that we have been discussing. And
10	KARA DURBIN, Puget Sound Energy	10	those primarily have had to do with disconnection of service, reconnection of service, and protection of
	NINA SUETAKE, Public Counsel	12	confidential information.
11	SIMON FFITCH, Energy Project MICHAEL MURRAY, Mission:data Coalition	13	And while AMI was the genesis for this
	IVIIOI IALL IVIONNAT, IVIISSIUTI.UATA CUAIILIUN	14	rulemaking, the Commission and our Staff certainly has
12		15	been looking at the rules as they exist. They're sort
12 13		1 1 .	of parallel rules in the gas and electric sections, and
13	* * * *	16	or paramer raise in the gas area electric economic, and
	* * * *	17	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote
13 14 15 16	* * * *		
13 14 15 16 17	* * * *	17 18 19	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any
13 14 15 16 17 18	* * * *	17 18 19 20	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	* * * *	17 18 19 20 21	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that the utility holds.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	* * * *	17 18 19 20 21 22	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that the utility holds. The Commission received comments on the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	* * * *	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that the utility holds. The Commission received comments on the CR-102 on June 22nd. Staff subsequently summarized
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	* * * *	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that the utility holds. The Commission received comments on the CR-102 on June 22nd. Staff subsequently summarized those comments in a matrix and included Staff's
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	* * * *	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	updating those as they apply not just to AMI or a remote disconnection and reconnection, but any kind of disconnection or reconnection as well as any confidential information or proprietary information that the utility holds. The Commission received comments on the CR-102 on June 22nd. Staff subsequently summarized

1 (Pages 17 to 20)

Page 21

the docket along with some suggested revisions to the proposed rules, which are in more of the nature of clarifications, so not anything that would preclude the Commission at this point from adopting the rules as proposed in the CR-102.

So with that, I am available for questions. I do want to thank the other members of the team, Bridget Feeser, my co-lead, Nash Callaghan, Amy Andrews, Ryan Grimes, Andrew Roberts, and Elizabeth O'Connell and for their hard work because we have put in a lot of time and effort, and I think that the rules as they are proposed are ones that the Commission ought to adopt.

I also note that there -- I'm sure that there are stakeholders that wish to comment. I know that Mr. ffitch has reached out to make sure that we know that he would like to comment. And since this is a virtual hearing, we don't have a sign-in sheet, so we'll have to make due, but I'm sure there are others besides Mr. ffitch who wish to speak.

I would ask, because Staff is keeping track of the folks that comment, if -- when you speak, you let us know how to spell your name if it's something that's at all unusual, then that will help us so that we get the information accurate.

So with that, I am prepared to answer any

So let me turn first to Avista. I think I saw Mr. Bonfield's phone number this morning. Are you there?

MR. BONFIELD: Good morning, Chair Danner and Commissioners. I am here. Shawn Bonfield with Avista Utilities.

Page 23

CHAIR DANNER: So --

MR. BONFIELD: Can you hear me okay? CHAIR DANNER: Yep, I can hear you fine.

Thank you.

MR. BONFIELD: Perfect. Thank you. Avista, we do have three concerns we address in our written comments filed in June. I'm going to focus specifically on section -- Subsection 6 of the draft rules where I think our main two concerns lie.

The first being the timeframe by which we can remotely disconnect the customer. As mentioned in the draft rules and in the comment matrix, it is 8:00 to noon currently, and Avista has advocated for a period a little bit longer than that, from 9:00 to 3:00 or beyond that noon timeframe. And we think that's important for a couple of reasons. The shorter the window for potential disconnection, the more we lump those calls back into our call center together. So if we can have a longer window to disconnect, we can stagger those with a

Page 22

questions that you all have.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Let me turn the my colleagues.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: This is Commission

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: This is Commissioner Rendahl. Not at this time.

COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: This is Commissioner Balasbas. I also do not have any questions at this time.

11 CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you. 12 With the -- nor do I.

So thank you for bringing up the issue of the sign-in sheet. This is a virtual hearing. We do not have the benefit of a sign-in sheet, and so I am going to basically -- I will start by calling on those who I expect to be on the line, but for anyone on the line who wishes to speak to the Commissioners or share their comments in our hearing this morning, I will get to you. I commit to that. So we have -- I'm looking at the number of participants on this call. It is a large number relatively, and -- but we will get to everybody who wishes to speak this morning.

So with that, why don't we just turn to the -- those who wish to share comments this morning.

Page 24 certain number on each hour, which helps stagger phone

calls coming into the call center, which gets customers connected to a live representative faster.

And also, I think the main part -- part there is when it comes to reconnecting, customers get reconnected within a matter of minutes once they satisfy the obligation for which they're being disconnected for. And so if a customer is disconnected in the early afternoon, they have every opportunity to still get reconnected. Whether that's in the normal course of business hours or beyond, there's always the opportunity for a customer to reach a live representative 24 hours a day to satisfy payment and to get turned back on.

So the world of, you know, the 8:00 to 5:00 or 7:00 to 7:00, it's quickly changing, especially with how customers communicate and engage with us. They do it on their time all throughout the day, so by limiting the number or the hours from 8:00 to 12:00, we -- we feel it's limiting the ability or really the need for when we can disconnect as customers have the option and the ability to call or, you know, make arrangements to satisfy those payments any time of the day and get reconnected any time of the day.

I'll -- I'll pause there unless you want me to address the second point just to make sure I allow

2 (Pages 21 to 24)

them.

Page 25

opportunity for feedback or question or comment.

CHAIR DANNER: Yeah, why don't you go ahead and -- and give us all your concerns and then we will turn to questions.

MR. BONFIELD: Okay, perfect. I'll do that.

The second one, and this may be most important to us, is Subsection 6(b), and this relates to the requirement to perform a field visit for those customers identified as low income or receive energy assistance in the prior two years. You know, I -- I understand the concern for this. I'm sure we'll hear more about this topic today, but it -- it -- you know, one of the benefits of, you know, AMI meters is the ability to remotely disconnect and reconnect. If we're having to send a field personnel to a home, yes, we can still remotely disconnect and reconnect, but you're now eliminating the benefit of not sending an employee to that home even for this small subset of customers.

And I had one of my analysts pull the data this morning because I think the data should really drive the decision on this one. And I looked at 2019.

In 2019, we had 11,501 touch points for residential customers for a disconnect visit or a disconnect warning activity where we visited a home and didn't actually make a disconnection. Out of those, we

Okay. I'm hearing none, so thank you very much. I think we've got the -- the gist of your arguments and -- and I appreciate your participation this morning.

All right. Let me now turn to Puget Sound Energy, are you on the line?

MS. DURBIN: Good morning. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, good morning.

Page 27

Page 28

MS. DURBIN: Sorry, this is Kara Durbin with Puget Sound Energy. Too many mute buttons this morning. Good morning, Chair Danner, Commissioners Balasbas and Rendahl. It's early, and I was on vacation last week, so I'm rusty.

Good morning. The -- we just want to make a couple of brief comments. We certainly appreciate the Commission's thoughtful approach to this rulemaking over the past couple of years. As Staff noted, there's been multiple opportunities for stakeholder review and comment on draft ruling as well as workshops.

I would just say at the outset having just listened to Shawn speak on behalf of Avista, we would --we would generally support Avista's comments both with respect to the disconnect timeframe and the field visits. We didn't emphasize those two points in our last set of written comments, but we certainly

Page 26

received 854 payments at the door. 164 of those being cash, 448 being noncash, so by credit card, debit card, check, or money order, and about 240 we -- it was unidentifiable in our system.

And so it's a very small subset that has made payments at the door, and I think what is important for those that are paying, you know, really in any form, is there's so many options to make payment today that are all free, whether that be cash at a pay station, a lobby, a dropbox, whether that be on the mobile app through our IBR system, live with the CSR, whether it's a checking -- a check, a checking account, a debit card, a credit card, they're all free. And so the need to visit these homes for those -- you know, a small subset that need to make a payment at the door, there are options available for all customers to do that.

So for that reason, we -- we would ask that this provision be struck from the rules and not be required of the utilities to perform that field visit. And with that, I'll -- I'll turn to any questions you have.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Bonfield?

appreciate the comments they made and -- and agree with

I just wanted to touch on a couple of lingering issues for -- for Puget Sound Energy based on our last set of comments and just note that we're generally comfortable with the rule language as it stands today with -- with the exception of a couple of lingering issues.

First, with respect to customer consent, we appreciate the revisions that have been made over the last couple of generations to acknowledge forms of written consent that may be paid electronically, and we would encourage the Commission to consider just broadening that definition of written consent slightly to incorporate key press consent, which in our view is an unambiguous way of locking consent.

So it's conveyed to the customer, you know, over the telephone with a prompt indicating to them to press the button on the telephone such as press 1 to consent. So we -- we -- we see more customer interactions in moving in that direction and just would -- would encourage you to consider that as an appropriate way of -- of securing consent.

And then the second point I'd like to make is -- is PSE remains concerned with the wording and

3 (Pages 25 to 28)

Page 29

expectations in proposed WAC 480-100-153. It's Subsection 19 that speaks directly to breach notification, and we've taken a look at the red lines that Staff provided last week, and based on our preliminary review of those clarifying edits, we just don't think that that really addresses our concerns.

We're still reviewing those changes and thinking -- thinking it through also based on what we provided in our written comments in June. So I can't really comment in a lot more detail this morning, so we'll -- we'll follow up very shortly, hopefully later this week, in writing with comments in the docket, but if we do continue to have concerns with this language.

So with that, I'm happy to take any questions, and thank you for your time.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Are there questions for Ms. Durbin?
Okay. I'm hearing none. I have -- have
one, and it basically has to do with the key press
consent. We have all been working in the virtual world,
and we know sometimes we press buttons on our phone or
computer when we don't mean to, and then we find
ourselves committed to something we didn't intend to
commit to. What kinds of safeguards do you have that

know, interacting with them in that way so that they can provide that knowing consent.

So that's something that we're happy to think through more and work on, but we're -- and we're committed to doing that.

CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.

Then the other question is, what kind of workaround -- if you had a press key consent and there were customers who weren't comfortable with it, how could they deal with you?

MS. DURBIN: That's a great question. I mean, I -- I think they could certainly ask to speak directly to a -- to a customer agent. I mean, we always have that option, so you're in the automated sort of mode, but you can also press a -- press a button to speak directly to one of our customer care advisers. So that would be one safeguard that I would note, and there may be others that I'm -- that I'm not aware of, but that's one avenue for sure that we would -- we consider needs -- needs to be there even as we move towards more of that sort of automation.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. And that's kind of a general question about automation is for -- you know, is for those who are uncomfortable with technology what -- what options do they have.

Page 30

would ensure that there's either a prompt that tells -that -- that asks, you know, am I sure that that's what
I want to do, you know, as opposed to having something
that actually does have the risk of -- of somebody
consenting to something that they did not intend to
consent to?

MS. DURBIN: Yeah, that's a great question, Chair Danner. I mean, I think our goal obviously is to have a process that is clear so that our customers feel like they're -- they're meaningfully answering the question when they're asked to consent. And I think, you know, on this draft ruling in what we're suggesting with key press consent is still -- is still a bit different from -- from -- from electronic like -- like an Internet sort of consent.

We could consider a process where -- you know, where there was a double consent, if you will. Like it's a press 1 consent and then you get asked again to confirm did you really mean to press 1. So I mean, there are some things that we could build in like that to make sure that that consent is meaningful and informed because that -- that's our hope and expectation. We don't want people to not know that they're consenting to something. We just also want to make it relatively easy for them when we're already, you

Page 32

Page 31

So -- okay. Any other questions for Ms. Durbin?

All right, then. Thank you very much.

MS. DURBIN: Thank you.

CHAIR DANNER: Let me turn to Public

Counsel.

MS. SUETAKE: Hello. Good morning.

CHAIR DANNER: Good morning.

MS. SUETAKE: I'm Nina Suetake. I wanted to thank the Commissioners and Judge Kopta, Staff, and the parties first for, as others have said, really careful

and thoughtful rulemaking process that we've all been

involved with. The successive iterations hassignificantly strengthened the customer protections

included in these rules, and Public Counsel supports most all of the protections provided in the draft rules.

Again, this is probably the most thoughtful rulemaking process I've been -- I've participated with so far, and

process I've been -- I've participated with so far, and I really appreciate it.

We do still have some concerns particularly regarding medical certificates and aggregate data privacy.

So first, with the medical certificates, currently the draft rules require premise visits for customers with medical conditions and prohibits

4 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 33

disconnects for customers only to the extent they obtain medical certificates. And each of those medical certificates only protects customers for 60 days unless reviewed. So there's two parts to this; there's the premise visit requirement and the prohibition against disconnection. In either case, there's no consideration for chronic conditions or serious health conditions that may last longer than 60 days, which may make it difficult to get back to a doctor.

So with -- regarding the premise visit, the draft rules currently do not apply the premise visit requirements uniformly for the two classes of vulnerable customers that are -- that are discussed. Currently as the utility's aware that a customer has received low income assistance in the prior two years, the utility is required to visit the customer's premise prior to disconnecting. The same two-year window does not currently apply to medically vulnerable customers.

I'm not sure. I thought previous iterations
I thought treated them separately, but it seems that now
a premise visit and a prohibition of disconnection have
sort of been lumped together by tying them both to the
medical certificate.

Public Counsel strongly supports the -- the premise visit protection for customers who have utilized

prohibition against this disconnection more than 60 days. But, again, we acknowledge that you can separate the two rules if you want to treat them differently, but still tied to the medical certificate in the first place.

Page 35

Page 36

Regarding field visits for customers of low income programs, which was raised by Avista and PSE, we strongly support a continued requirement for this premise visit for low income customers. Part of -- this was a huge reason that Public Counsel felt comfortable supporting the use of remote disconnection because medically vulnerable customers and economically vulnerable customers need the -- would benefit from this level of protection for this last-minute check on their circumstances, check on their -- give them another opportunity to pay in person by cash, through cash means. I have a significant concern by removing all premise visits completely for low in- -- customers who needed the help of low income program.

Regarding aggregate data, Public Counsel continues to have concerns about the lack of clarity regarding the level of safeguards that utilities must enact to ensure that aggregate data does not allow specific customer information to be identified. The rule currently states that utilities must have

Page 34

low income protection and has argued repeatedly for the same level of protections to be extended to medically vulnerable customers. The -- we definitely recommend draft rules require a premise visit prior to disconnecting any customer who has needed a medical certificate in the last two years.

Our arguably medically vulnerable customers have a higher risk involved with their disconnection of their service than all other customers, and we want to make sure that we don't inadvertently disconnect a customer because they haven't needed to recertify themselves because they have been -- they haven't had any economic burdens or constraints to them paying for their bills.

So we think that extending it back to two years, and I -- would be a significant level of protection that is necessary. Again, the rules can be addressed in two separate -- two separate ways of dealing with it. So you just say that as long as they've had a medical certificate within the last two years, we need to do a premise visit, and that requirement can be separate from the actual prohibition from this disconnection.

I mean, we strongly recommend that we extend the duration of the medical certificate itself and the

sufficient policies, procedures, and safeguards in place. It is not defined or explained what would make a policy sufficient. I understand that we don't want to bind companies to an inflexible standard, but we believe that it's important to at least have -- set a minimum floor of aggregate data anonymization.

Additionally, the current aggregate data rules allow the disclosure of aggregate data to, quote/unquote, facilitate voluntary efforts, but it doesn't actually clarify what that means. Does it mean efforts that a customer requests to voluntarily be -- to participate in or does this mean that the company unilaterally can decide to protect, participate in some effort and use customer data to do so. I think it would -- then that rule would benefit from a little bit of clarification what it means by voluntary effort.

If -- those are the extent of my concerns currently. I might have additional as raised by other parties, but I'm happy to field any questions that the Commissioner or ALJ might have.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Are there questions for Public Counsel?

Okay. I'm not hearing any, Ms. Suetake, but thank you very much, and we'll see how the morning goes

5 (Pages 33 to 36)

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

and if we need to get back to you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me also just mention right now, I think we have a court reporter who is taking down what is being said this morning. I just want to make clear that if -- if you have any problems with people speed talking or otherwise, just speak up and we will address that. We do also have a backup recording that Ryan is making of -- of our hearing this morning.

All right. Let me then turn to The Energy Project.

MR. FFITCH: Thank you. Good morning, Chairman Danner and Commissioners Rendahl and Balasbas and Judge Kopta as well. I want to echo the remarks of other speakers thanking the Commission for taking up this important topic. When new technology is introduced, the Commission certainly has a key role in fully -- fully evaluating the cost and risk of the -the new technology, whatever it may be. And --

CHAIR DANNER: Wait, Mr. ffitch, would you identify yourself for the court reporter?

MR. FFITCH: Yes, I apologize. Simon ffitch, spelled f-f-i-t-c-h, speaking on behalf of The Energy Protect.

And so just to sort of finish up that first point, I -- it is really important for the Commission to 1 the data that is in this record and the data that this

Commission has seen over the last few years actually

3 very strongly supports premise visits in two different

respects; one is, it's a very effective tool in

5 preventing disconnection; and secondly, it's an

effective tool in bringing revenue into the company and saving costs of the whole disconnection process as it related credit and collection costs of expense that the

company goes through.

9 10

The statements from Avista cited some data, some recent data, and I just want to comment that we actually have data in the record on -- on premise visits. We have the data from the 2013 era, which was much more comprehensive. We also have data from the initial round of comments in this case where the Commission asked questions of the company -- of the companies on this point. And Avista's response in that earlier set of comments was that 13 percent of their customers avoided disconnection by making payments at the premises.

So I think it's important to look at -- you know, if the Commission's thinking about this further, I think it's important to look at the more comprehensive data that is available on this point. I think it supports the draft rule, I think that anecdotal

Page 38

exercise a key role in evaluating the impact of new technology on consumers and, you know, the new technology often brings benefits but also cause some risks, and we appreciate the thorough job that the Commission has done with this rulemaking in looking at AMI impacts.

The proposed rules, as Public Counsel's noted, enhance consumer protection a number of important ways, particularly requiring premise visits for vulnerable customers, limiting hours of reconnect -excuse me, of remote disconnect, removing the limit on the number of medical certificates, and eliminating fees for -- for reconnect. And The Energy Project strongly supports those new improvements through the consumer protection rules.

And I wanted to respond to a couple of points that were made earlier, in particular by Avista, on the question of field visits. This has been an area of emphasis for The Energy Project throughout. We strongly support the proposed rule requiring premise visits for low income customers and for medical certificate customers, and I'll come back to medical certificate people in a minute.

We actually strongly advocated for even broader requirement premise visits, and that is because Page 40

Page 39

information that has come in at the hearing is -- is not as persuasive as the more in-depth information that's in the record on that point.

Also, with regard to the hours of disconnection, I think the rule strikes a good balance. While some folks may be operating on a 24/7 fully electronic basis, I think that the reality, especially for many low income customers and the ways that they need to get access to funds to pay bills or to go to pay stations or things of that nature, I don't think the 24/7 option is always available to some of those customers. So by limiting disconnection to the morning hours, it gives people more of an opportunity to try to take care of the bill the same day and get reconnected the same day. Just improves that opportunity.

And I think while the premise visit is going to be there for -- preserved for a number of customers. the reality is that premise visit is going away for many, many tens of thousands of customers. And so that's an additional reason why they need that additional grace period, if you will, in the afternoon after morning disconnect to try to take care of their business and get the -- the electricity reconnected.

So just to sum up, we support many of the enhanced protections that are in the Commission's rules.

6 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page 41

We do have some additional suggestions where we would like to respectfully request the Commission to take this opportunity to further strike from the rules before final adoption.

We addressed in our comments three areas; establishing a minimum arrearage before disconnection, secondly, clarifying that cash is an appropriate method of payment through a premise visit, and thirdly, we had some comments on the medical certificate rules.

And I won't repeat our written comments in detail on these points, but I would like to emphasize a couple of issues on medical certificates. First of all, I wanted to echo in support of Public Counsel's recommendation that premise visits be required for customers -- not just current active medical certificate customers, but customers who have recently had a medical certificate. This is erring on the side of safety rather than erring on the side of terminating service, and I think that's just a good policy place to be.

The original proposal was for medical certificates within the past two years to get a premise visit. The current rule has gone all the way down to you've got to have one right now otherwise you don't get a premise visit. We support something better than just the active present medical certificate. Two years --

And of course this is a maximum, so the medical provider can, you know, look at the individual customer and set a shorter period of time. And that's also important to keep in mind in understanding the rule and this recommendation.

So I'll stop there. Those are our areas of emphasis and concern, and I'm happy to answer any questions that the Commissioners or the judge might have.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Commissioners, do you have any questions for Mr. ffitch?

Okay. I'm hearing none. Thank you very much, Mr. ffitch. Appreciate your comments.

And let me now turn to the Mission:data Coalition, are you on the line?

MR. MURRAY: Yes, good morning. Can you hear me?

CHAIR DANNER: Yes, good morning.
MR. MURRAY: Good morning. This is Michael
Murray with the Mission:data Coalition. Thank you for
the opportunity to -- to speak, and -- and I just had
two points that I wanted to make. Again. I thank the --

the Commission for considering this -- this topic.

Page 42

within the past two years would be great. Our written comments recommend six months, perhaps a compromise between the Public Counsel position and the current rule, but we do think there ought to be some liberalization of that premise visit requirement.

There's also another important change that we would recommend for the medical certificate rule, and that is the length of time that protection -- maximum length of time for the protection. The existing rule, and that's not changed by the proposed rules, is that it's a 60-day maximum after which the customer has to renew the certificate. We just think that that is onerous and difficult for people with serious medical conditions. They have to go back to the medical provider perhaps repeatedly, perhaps facing challenges of cost, mobility, their own medical condition in order to renew the certificate every 60 days.

Washington is -- is substantially more restrictive on this issue than many other states. One good example is Oregon, which we cited in our comments, and Oregon has a six-month max for nonchronic conditions and 12-month for chronic conditions. So we have proposed in our -- in our written comments that Washington adopt kind of a middle ground, the maximum of six-month medical certificate time period.

Page 44

Page 43

Our primary concern has to do with Subsection 153 of the draft rules, and our concern is that if those are approved today, it will already be substantially out of date and that is because of the distributed intelligence, or DI, capabilities of advanced meters about which we have just recently learned. We understand that Avista is deploying these meters, and many other utilities across the U.S. are -- are doing the same.

And so our first concern was that the -- the detailed energy analysis that's capable of being executed on these -- these smart meters with distributed intelligence capabilities is not covered under the definition of customer information, and so therefore, it's not -- it's not protected. So customer information in the rule includes usage in billing information, but it wouldn't include information derived from algorithmic calculations of voltage current and power flows that occur on the meter.

So these electrical signatures should be analyzed to determine things like when residents watch television, what type of water heater they have, potentially things like what types of medical equipment they use. And Itron has -- the data manufacturer, has already announced a partnership with a desegregation

7 (Pages 41 to 44)

Page 45

software company that can pull out some of these insights about how customers are using their electricity use in their home. And so we're concerned that that was not covered under the definition of customer information.

1 2

And -- and so the second concern that we have is that -- is market power concerns. So if we think that failing to address distributed intelligence in the rules would in effect be a decision to expand the utilities' monopoly from the distribution of electricity into energy management. So there is information asymmetry that is information which the utility has about how customers are using energy would not be available to other energy management firms.

And so we're concerned that that would create a very tilted playing field where only the utility would be able to offer products and services that help save energy in the best way and that the market as a whole would have access to substantially inferior information about customer energy [inaudible] assurance.

And so, you know, efficiency and distributed energy resources are obviously critical to meeting the safe carbon goals, and our fear is that by not looking at the distributed intelligence capabilities of meters,

have the exclusive ability to decide which apps go on those meters, and that's not something that is addressed in the rules.

Page 47

Page 48

4 CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you. Thank 5 you.

Any other questions for Mr. Murray?

All right. Hearing none, thank you very much. I appreciate your comments this morning.

9 I think that is -- those are the folks who 10 have filed comments most recently. Let me ask, is 11 Northwest Natural Gas on the line?

MS. SIORES: Good morning, Chair Danner. This is Natasha Siores with Northwest Natural Gas. We are on the line and sort of been monitoring those -- the conversation and the additional comments that have come in since those June comments, and we don't have anything additional to -- to add to the record at this point in time.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

And PacifiCorp?

Okay. Hearing nothing, is there anyone else on the line who I -- I have not called on who wishes to speak? And in order to do this with some order, if there are a lot of you, let me start with those whose

Page 46

we're actually creating some additional problems that we think could be solved. And so that's why we'd asked in our comments to -- to postpone Section 153 from approval simply in order to gather some more information about these technical capabilities and how to address some of these concerns.

And I'll leave it there. Thank you very much.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Are there questions for Mr. Murray?

Okay. I -- I have one. I just -- so on the one hand, if the companies are gathering this intelligence and you're saying that they can't share it, how do they then share it with others in order to reduce their market power? We kind of have a conundrum. Are we just -- is the solution simply to ban these kinds of al- -- algorithmic analyses?

MR. MURRAY: No, I -- I think the capabilities that they offer can -- can provide a lot of benefits to customers. Our concern is that it's the utility and Itron, the meter manufacturer, that have the sole ability to determine what software and -- and what information is provided off of that meter. So it's a bit like an app store where Apple gets to decide which apps go on the iPhone and Itron and the utility would

last names begin with A through E? All right. No one is speaking up. How about F, G, H, I, J, K? All right.

L, M, N, O, P? Q, R, S, T? All right. U, V, W, X, Y,

All right, then. I am hearing nothing, so
I'm assuming that all of those -- everyone who has

wished to speak has spoken; is that correct? All right.
 That sounds like it's correct.
 Ms. Suetake, did you have anything you

wanted to add? You said you wanted some -- an opportunity to respond.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ SUETAKE: Oh, I just meant that if anybody else raised issues.

CHAIR DANNER: Okay.

MS. SUETAKE: I don't have any additional comments other than to say I support The Energy Project's comments and I'm open to other questions.

CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much, then.

It looks like we have then come to the end of our hearing today unless there's anything, Judge Kopta, you wanted to add or any comments from my colleagues.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: This is Commissioner Rendahl, and I just want to thank everyone for their

8 (Pages 45 to 48)

	Page 49	
1	comments and their attention to the various details in	
2	this rulemaking. It is very important that we get this	
3	right going forward. I also want to thank the Staff for	
4	all of their work on this over the last two years,	
5	addressing all of the comments and all the issues, so	
6	thanks very much.	
7	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you.	
8	So, again, Greg Kopta or Jay Balasbas,	
9	anything you want to add before we close?	
10	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: This is Commissioner	
11	Balasbas. I I don't have anything to add. I will	
12	just echo your comments, Commissioner Rendahl, as well	
13	as Chair Danner and also to all of the people who have	
14	commented both written and orally here at today's	
15	hearing.	
16	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you for	
17	that.	
18	All right. That takes us to the close,	
19	then. Thank you. It's been a very informative	
20	conversation this morning, and I appreciate everybody	
21	who has participated. So we will take this under	
22	advisement, and without further ado, we are adjourned.	
23	Thank you, everyone.	
24	(Adjourned at 10:16 a.m.)	
25		
	Page 50	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON	
5		
6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and	
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
10		
11	NDICO OF	
12	Jayle Gorlinghouse.	
13	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 335	
14	. s.y.o s.ig subs. ; - o.i. (
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
23 24		
23		

9 (Pages 49 to 50)

		l	I	
A	afternoon 24:9	approved 44:3	based 28:4 29:4,8	Callaghan 21:8
a.m 17:14 19:2	40:21	apps 46:25 47:1	basically 22:16	called 47:23
49:24	agent 31:13	area 38:18	29:20	calling 22:16
ability 24:19,21	aggregate 32:21	areas 41:5 43:6	basis 40:7	calls 23:23 24:2
25:14 46:22 47:1	35:20,23 36:6,7,8	arguably 34:7	began 19:22	capabilities 44:5,13
50:9	ago 19:23	argued 34:1	behalf 27:21 37:22	45:25 46:5,19
able 45:17	agree 28:1	arguments 27:3	believe 36:4	capable 44:11
access 40:9 45:19	ahead 25:2	arrangements	benefit 22:15 25:17	carbon 45:24
account 26:12	al- 46:17	24:21	35:13 36:15	card 26:2,2,12,13
accurate 21:24	algorithmic 44:17	arrearage 41:6	benefits 25:13 38:3	care 31:16 40:14,22
50:9	46:17	asked 30:11,18	46:20	careful 32:11
acknowledge 28:11	ALJ 36:20	39:16 46:2	best 45:18 50:9	case 33:6 39:15
35:2	allow 24:25 35:23	asks 30:2	better 41:24	cash 26:2,9 35:16
active 41:15,25	36:8	assistance 25:10	beyond 23:20 24:11	35:16 41:7
activity 25:24	AMI 19:9,14 20:13	33:15	bill 40:14	cause 38:3
actual 34:22	20:17 25:13 38:6	assuming 48:6	billing 44:16	CCR 17:20 50:13
add 47:17 48:10,22	Amy 21:8	assurance 45:21	bills 34:14 40:9	center 23:24 24:2
49:9,11	analyses 46:17	asymmetry 45:12	bind 36:4	certain 24:1
additional 36:18	analysis 44:11	attention 49:1	bit 23:20 30:13	certainly 20:14
40:20,21 41:1	analysts 25:19	automated 31:14	36:15 46:24	27:15,25 31:12
46:1 47:15,17	analyzed 44:21	automation 31:21	Bonfield 18:9 23:4	37:16
48:15	Andrew 21:9	31:23	23:5,8,11 25:5	certificate 33:23
Additionally 36:7	Andrews 21:8	available 21:6	26:25	34:6,20,25 35:4
address 20:9 23:12	anecdotal 39:25	26:16 39:24 40:11	Bonfield's 23:2	38:22,23 41:9,15
24:25 37:6 45:8	Ann 18:3 19:12	45:14	breach 29:2	41:17,25 42:7,12
46:5	announced 44:25	avenue 17:22 31:19	Bridget 21:8	42:17,25
addressed 34:18	anonymization	Avista 18:9 23:1,6	brief 27:15	certificates 32:21
41:5 47:2	36:6	23:11,19 27:21	bringing 22:13	32:23 33:2,3
addresses 29:6	answer 21:25 43:7	35:7 38:17 39:10	39:6	38:12 41:12,21
addressing 49:5	answering 30:10	44:7	brings 38:3	Certified 50:6
adjourned 49:22	anybody 48:13	Avista's 27:22	broadening 28:14	certify 50:8
49:24	apologize 37:21	39:17	broader 38:25	Chair 18:3 19:6,10
administrative	app 26:10 46:24	avoided 39:19	Buell 17:21	19:19 22:2,11
18:6 19:21	Apple 46:24	aware 31:18 33:14	build 30:20	23:4,7,9 25:2
ado 49:22	apply 20:17 33:11		burdens 34:13	26:22 27:8,11
adopt 21:12 42:24	33:18	B	business 24:11	29:16 30:8 31:6
adopting 21:4	appreciate 27:3,15	back 23:24 24:13	40:23	31:22 32:5,8
adoption 17:9 19:8	28:1,10 32:19	33:9 34:15 37:1	button 28:19 31:15	36:21 37:19 43:10
41:4	38:4 43:15 47:8	38:22 42:14	buttons 27:10	43:20 46:9 47:4
advanced 17:5 19:9	49:20	backup 37:7	29:22	47:12,19 48:14,18
19:24 20:2 44:6	approach 27:16	balance 40:5		49:7,13,16
advisement 49:22	appropriate 28:23	Balasbas 18:4	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}}$	Chairman 37:12
advisers 31:16	41:7	19:12,20 22:8,9	C 18:1 19:4 50:1,1	challenges 42:15
advocated 23:19	approval 19:24	27:11 37:12 49:8	calculations 44:18	change 42:6
38:24	46:3	49:10,11	call 22:21 23:24	changed 42:10
		ban 46:16	24:2,21	
	•	•	•	•

				l
changes 20:7 29:7	17:15 19:11 20:3	42:22	credit 26:2,13 39:8	Dave 19:10
changing 24:15	20:6,14,22 21:4	confidential 20:12	critical 45:23	DAVID 18:3
check 26:3,12	21:12 28:13 37:14	20:20	CSR 26:11	day 24:13,17,22,23
35:14,15	37:16,25 38:5	confirm 30:19	current 36:7 41:15	40:14,15
checking 26:12,12	39:2,16 41:2	connected 24:3	41:22 42:3 44:18	days 33:3,8 35:2
chronic 33:7 42:22	43:25	consent 28:9,12,14	currently 23:19	42:17
circumstances	Commission's	28:15,16,20,23	32:24 33:11,13,18	deal 31:10
35:15	19:23 27:16 39:22	29:21 30:6,11,13	35:25 36:18	dealing 19:9 34:19
cited 39:10 42:20	40:25	30:15,17,18,21	customer 23:17	debit 26:2,12
clarification 36:16	Commissioner 18:3	31:2,8	24:8,12 28:9,17	decide 36:13 46:24
clarifications 21:3	18:4 19:11,12,20	consenting 30:5,24	28:20 31:13,16	47:1
clarify 36:10	19:20 22:6,6,8,8	consider 28:13,22	32:14 33:14 34:5	decision 25:21 45:9
clarifying 29:5 41:7	36:20 48:24,24	30:16 31:19	34:11 35:24 36:11	defined 36:2
clarity 35:21	49:10,10,12	consideration 33:6	36:14 42:11 43:3	definitely 34:3
classes 33:12	Commissioners	considering 43:25	44:14,15 45:4,20	definition 28:14
clear 30:9 37:4	18:2 22:5,18 23:5	constraints 34:13	customer's 33:16	44:14 45:4
close 49:9,18	26:24 27:11 32:10	consumer 17:4 38:8	customers 24:2,5	deploying 44:7
co-lead 19:21 21:8	37:12 43:8,12	38:14	24:16,20 25:9,18	derived 44:17
Coalition 18:11	commit 22:20	consumers 38:2	25:23 26:16 30:9	desegregation
43:17,22	29:25	continue 29:13	31:9 32:25 33:1,3	44:25
colleagues 19:11	committed 29:24	continued 35:8	33:13,18,25 34:3	detail 29:10 41:11
22:4 48:23	31:5	continues 35:21	34:7,9 35:6,9,12	detailed 44:11
collection 39:8	communicate	conundrum 46:15	35:13,18 38:10,21	details 49:1
come 38:22 40:1	24:16	conversation 47:15	38:22 39:19 40:8	determine 44:21
47:15 48:20	companies 36:4	49:20	40:12,17,19 41:15	46:22
comes 24:5	39:17 46:12	conveyed 28:17	41:16,16 45:2,13	DI 44:5
comfortable 28:6	company 36:12	correct 48:7,8	46:20	different 30:14
31:9 35:10	39:6,9,16 45:1	cost 37:17 42:16	D	39:3
coming 24:2	completely 35:18	costs 39:7,8		differently 35:3
comment 20:4	comprehensive	Counsel 18:10 32:6	D 19:4	difficult 33:9 42:13
21:14,16,21 23:18	39:14,23	32:15 33:24 35:10	Danner 18:3 19:6	direction 28:21
25:1 27:19 29:10	compromise 42:2	35:20 36:23 42:3	19:10,19 22:2,11	directly 29:2 31:13
39:11	computer 29:23	Counsel's 38:7	23:4,7,9 25:2	31:16
commented 49:14	concern 25:11	41:13	26:22 27:8,11	disclosure 36:8
comments 18:8	35:17 43:7 44:1,2	COUNTY 50:4	29:16 30:8 31:6	disconnect 23:17
20:4,22,24 22:19	44:10 45:6 46:20	couple 23:22 27:15	31:22 32:5,8	23:25 24:20 25:14
22:25 23:13 27:15	concerned 28:25	27:17 28:3,7,11	36:21 37:12,19	25:16,23,24 27:23
27:22,25 28:1,5	45:3,15	38:16 41:12	43:10,20 46:9	34:10 38:11 40:22
29:9,12 39:15,18	concerns 23:12,15	course 24:10 43:1	47:4,12,19 48:14	disconnected 24:7
41:5,9,10 42:2,20	25:3 29:6,13	court 37:3,20	48:18 49:7,13,16	24:8
42:23 43:15 46:3	32:20 35:21 36:17	covered 44:13 45:4	data 25:19,20	disconnecting
47:8,10,15,16	45:7 46:6	CR-102 20:6,23	32:21 35:20,23	33:17 34:5
48:16,17,22 49:1	condition 42:16	21:5	36:6,7,8,14 39:1,1	disconnection
49:5,12	conditions 32:25	create 45:16	39:10,11,12,13,14	20:10,18,19 23:23
Commission 17:2	33:7,7 42:14,21	creating 46:1	39:24 44:24	25:25 33:6,21
			date 44:4	
	•	•	•	•

Page 53

				. Tage 55
34:8,23 35:1,11	20:16	expand 45:9	follow 29:11	GREGORY 18:7
39:5,7,19 40:5,12	electrical 44:20	expect 22:17	foregoing 50:8	Grimes 21:9
41:6	electricity 40:23	expectation 30:23	form 26:7	ground 42:24
disconnects 33:1	45:2,10	expectations 29:1	forms 28:11	Siouna 12.21
discussed 33:13	electronic 30:14	expense 39:8	forward 49:3	H
discussing 20:9	40:7	explained 36:2	Fourth 17:22	H 48:2
distributed 44:5,12	electronically 28:12	extend 34:24	free 26:9,13	hand 46:12
45:8,22,25	eliminating 25:17	extended 34:2	fully 37:17,17 40:6	happy 29:14 31:3
distribution 45:10	38:12	extended 34:25	funds 40:9	36:19 43:7
docket 17:8 19:8	Elizabeth 21:9	extending 54.15 extent 33:1 36:17	further 39:22 41:3	hard 21:10
21:1 29:12	emphasis 38:19	extent 33.1 30.17	49:22	health 33:7
doctor 33:9	43:7	F	77.22	hear 23:8,9 25:11
doing 31:5 44:9	emphasize 27:24	F 48:2 50:1	G	27:7 43:19
door 26:1,6,15	41:11	f-f-i-t-c-h 37:22	G 19:4 48:2	hearing 17:9 19:8
double 30:17	employee 25:17	facilitate 36:9	Garlinghouse	20:5 21:17 22:14
draft 19:14 23:14	enact 35:23	facing 42:15	17:20 50:6,13	22:19 27:1 29:19
23:18 27:19 30:12	encourage 28:13,22	failing 45:8	gas 20:1,8,16 47:11	36:24 37:8 40:1
32:16,24 33:11	energy 18:10,11	far 32:18	47:13	43:14 47:7,22
34:4 39:25 44:2	25:9 27:6,10 28:4	faster 24:3	gather 46:4	48:5,21 49:15
drive 25:21	37:9,23 38:13,19	fear 45:24	gathering 46:12	heater 44:22
dropbox 26:10	44:11 45:11,13,14	feedback 25:1	general 31:23	Hello 32:7
due 21:18	45:18,20,23 48:16	feel 24:19 30:9	generally 27:22	help 21:23 35:19
duration 34:25	engage 24:16	fees 38:12	28:6	45:18
Durbin 18:10 27:7	enhance 38:8	Feeser 21:8	generations 28:11	helps 24:1
27:9,9 29:18 30:7	enhanced 40:25	felt 35:10	genesis 19:23 20:13	higher 34:8
31:11 32:2,4	ensure 30:1 35:23	ffitch 18:11 21:15	gist 27:2	holds 20:21
	equipment 44:23	21:19 37:11,19,21	give 19:17 25:3	home 25:15,18,24
E	era 39:13	37:22 43:13,15	35:15	45:3
E 18:1,1,3 19:4,4	erring 41:17,18	field 25:8,15 26:19	gives 40:13	homes 26:14
48:1 50:1,1	especially 24:15	27:23 35:6 36:19	go 25:2 40:9 42:14	hope 30:22
earlier 38:17 39:18	40:7	38:18 45:16	46:25 47:1	hopefully 29:11
early 24:8 27:12	establishing 41:6	filed 20:25 23:13	goal 30:8	hour 24:1
easy 30:25	evaluating 37:17	47:10	goals 45:24	hours 24:11,12,18
echo 37:13 41:13	38:1	final 19:14 41:4	goes 36:25 39:9	38:10 40:4,13
49:12	everybody 22:22	find 29:23	going 19:13 22:16	huge 35:10
economic 34:13	49:20	fine 23:9	23:13 40:16,18	
economically 35:12	exactly 19:22	finish 37:24	49:3	
edits 29:5	example 42:20	firms 45:14	good 19:6 23:4 27:7	IBR 26:11
effect 45:9	exception 28:7	first 23:1,16 28:9	27:8,11,14 32:7,8	identified 25:9
effective 39:4,6	exclusive 47:1	32:11,23 35:4	37:11 40:5 41:19	35:24
efficiency 45:22	excuse 38:11	37:24 41:12 44:10	42:20 43:18,20,21	identify 37:20
effort 21:11 36:14	executed 44:12	floor 36:6	47:12	II 17:9
36:16	exercise 38:1	flows 44:18	grace 40:21	impact 38:1
efforts 36:9,11	exist 20:15	focus 23:13	great 30:7 31:11	impacts 38:6
either 30:1 33:6	existing 17:4 42:9	folks 21:21 40:6	42:1	important 23:21
electric 19:25 20:8		47:9	Greg 19:21 49:8	25:7 26:6 36:5
	l	l	I	I

Page 54

				<u> </u>
37:15,25 38:8	issued 20:6	L 48:3	lump 23:23	Michael 18:11
39:21,23 42:6	issues 20:9 28:4,8	Lacey 17:16 19:1	lumped 33:22	43:21
43:4 49:2	41:12 48:13 49:5	lack 35:21		middle 42:24
improvements	iterations 32:13	language 28:6	M	mind 43:4
38:14	33:19	29:13	M 48:3	minimum 36:5
improves 40:15	Itron 44:24 46:21	large 22:21	main 23:15 24:4	41:6
in- 35:18	46:25	last-minute 35:14	making 37:7 39:19	minute 38:23
in-depth 40:2		latest 19:14	management 45:11	minutes 24:6
inadvertently	J	law 18:6 19:21	45:14	Mission:data 18:11
34:10	J 18:7 48:2	learned 44:7	manufacturer	43:16,22
inaudible 45:20	Jay 18:4 19:12 49:8	leave 46:7	44:24 46:21	mobile 26:10
include 17:5 44:17	job 38:4	length 42:8,9	market 45:7,19	mobility 42:16
included 20:24	joined 19:11	Let's 19:6	46:15	mode 31:15
32:15	judge 18:6 19:16	level 34:2,16 35:14	matrix 20:24 23:18	MODIFY 17:4
includes 44:16	19:19,21 32:10	35:22	matter 24:6	Monday 19:7
income 25:9 33:15	37:13 43:8 48:21	liberalization 42:5	max 42:21	money 26:3
34:1 35:7,9,19	July 17:13 19:1,7	lie 23:15	maximum 42:8,11	monitoring 47:14
38:21 40:8	June 20:23 23:13	limit 38:11	42:24 43:1	monopoly 45:10
incorporate 28:15	29:9 47:16	limiting 24:17,19	mean 29:23 30:8,19	months 42:2
indicating 28:18		38:10 40:12	30:19 31:12,13	morning 19:7,13,18
individual 43:2	K K	line 22:17,18 27:6	34:24 36:10,12	22:19,23,25 23:2
inferior 45:20	K 48:2	43:17 47:11,14,23	meaningful 30:21	23:4 25:20 27:4,7
inflexible 36:4	Kara 18:10 27:9	lines 29:3	meaningfully 30:10	27:8,10,11,14
information 20:12	keep 43:4	lingering 28:4,8	means 35:17 36:10	29:10 32:7,8
20:20,20 21:24	keeping 21:20	listened 27:21	36:16	36:25 37:4,8,11
35:24 40:1,2	key 28:15 29:20	little 23:20 36:15	meant 48:12	40:12,22 43:18,20
44:14,15,16,17	30:13 31:8 37:16	live 24:3,12 26:11	medical 32:21,23	43:21 47:8,12
45:5,11,12,20	38:1	LLC 17:21	32:25 33:2,2,23	49:20
46:4,23	kind 20:18 31:7,22	lobby 26:10	34:5,20,25 35:4	move 31:20
informative 49:19	42:24 46:15	locking 28:16	38:12,21,22 41:9	moving 28:21
informed 30:22	kinds 29:25 46:16	long 34:19	41:12,15,16,20,25	multiple 27:18
infrastructure 17:6	know 21:14,16,22	longer 23:20,25	42:7,13,14,16,25	Murray 18:11
19:9,24 20:2	24:14,21 25:10,12	33:8	43:2 44:23	43:18,21,22 46:10
initial 39:15	25:13 26:7,14	look 29:3 39:21,23	medically 33:18	46:18 47:6
insights 45:2	28:17 29:22 30:2	43:2	34:2,7 35:12	mute 27:10
intelligence 44:5,13	30:3,12,17,23	looked 25:21	meeting 45:23	
45:8,25 46:13	31:1,24 38:2	looking 20:15	members 21:7	N
intend 29:24 30:5	39:22 43:2 45:22	22:20 38:5 45:24	mention 37:2	N 18:1 19:4 48:3
interacting 31:1	knowing 31:2	looks 48:20	mentioned 23:17	name 21:22
interactions 28:21	knowledge 50:9	Loop 17:16	meter 17:5 19:24	names 48:1
Internet 30:15	Kopta 18:7 19:16	lot 21:10 29:10	20:2 44:19 46:21	Nash 21:8
introduced 37:16	19:19,21 22:5	46:19 47:25	46:23	Natasha 47:13
involved 32:13 34:8	32:10 37:13 48:22	low 25:9 33:14 34:1	metering 17:6 19:9	National 17:24
iPhone 46:25	49:8	35:6,9,18,19	meters 25:13 44:6,8	Natural 47:11,13
issue 22:13 42:19		38:21 40:8	44:12 45:25 47:2	nature 21:2 40:10
			method 41:7	necessary 34:17
	1		1	1

need 24:19 26:13	27:18	38:23 40:13 42:13	30:19 31:8,15,15	provision 26:18
26:15 34:21 35:13	opportunity 24:9	49:13	preventing 39:5	PSE 28:25 35:7
37:1 40:9,20	24:11 25:1 35:16	percent 39:18	previous 33:19	public 18:10 20:4
needed 34:5,11	40:13,15 41:3	perfect 23:11 25:5	primarily 20:10	32:5,15 33:24
35:19	43:23 48:11	perform 25:8 26:19	primary 44:1	35:10,20 36:23
needs 31:20,20	opposed 30:3	period 23:19 40:21	prior 25:10 33:15	38:7 41:13 42:3
new 37:15,18 38:1	option 24:20 31:14	42:25 43:3	33:16 34:4	Puget 18:10 27:5
38:2,14	40:11	permission 20:1	privacy 32:22	27:10 28:4
Nina 18:10 32:9	options 26:8,16	person 35:16	probably 32:17	pull 25:19 45:1
noncash 26:2	31:25	personnel 25:15	problems 37:5 46:1	put 21:10
nonchronic 42:21	orally 49:14	persuasive 40:2	procedures 36:1	
noon 23:19,21	order 26:3 42:16	phone 23:2 24:1	process 30:9,16	Q
normal 24:10	46:4,14 47:24,24	29:22	32:12,18 39:7	question 25:1 30:7
Northwest 47:11	Oregon 42:20,21	place 35:5 36:2	products 45:17	30:11 31:7,11,23
47:13	original 41:20	41:19	program 35:19	38:18
note 21:13 28:5	ought 21:12 42:4	playing 45:16	programs 35:7	questions 21:6 22:1
31:17	outset 27:20	point 21:4 24:25	prohibition 33:5,21	22:5,9 25:4 26:20
noted 27:17 38:8		28:24 37:25 39:17	34:22 35:1	26:24 29:15,18
notification 29:3	P	39:24 40:3 47:17	prohibits 32:25	32:1 36:19,23
number 22:21,22	P 18:1,1 19:4 48:3	points 25:22 27:24	Project 18:11 37:10	39:16 43:8,12
23:2 24:1,18 38:8	PacifiCorp 47:21	38:17 41:11 43:24	38:13,19	46:10 47:6 48:17
38:12 40:17	Pages 17:10	policies 36:1	Project's 48:17	quickly 24:15
	paid 28:12	policy 36:3 41:19	prompt 28:18 30:1	quote/unquote
0	parallel 20:16	position 42:3	proposal 41:20	36:9
O 19:4 48:3	part 24:4,4 35:9	possibly 19:14	proposed 19:14	R
O'Connell 21:9	participants 22:21	postpone 46:3	21:2,5,12 29:1	R 18:1 19:4 48:3
000 19:3	participate 36:12	potential 23:23	38:7,20 42:10,23	50:1
obligation 24:7	36:13	potentially 44:23	proposing 20:6	raised 35:7 36:18
obtain 33:1	participated 32:18	power 44:18 45:7	proprietary 20:20	48:13
obviously 30:8	49:21	46:15	protect 36:13 37:23	reach 24:12
45:23	participation 27:3	preclude 21:3	protected 44:15	reached 21:15
occur 44:19	particular 38:17	preliminary 29:5	protection 17:4	reality 40:7,18
offer 45:17 46:19	particularly 32:20	premise 32:24 33:5	20:11 33:25 34:1	really 24:19 25:20
OFFERED 18:8	38:9	33:10,11,16,21,25	34:17 35:14 38:8	26:7 29:6,10
Oh 48:12	parties 32:11 36:19	34:4,21 35:9,18	38:15 42:8,9	30:19 32:11,19
okay 23:8 25:5 27:1	partnership 44:25	38:9,20,25 39:3	protections 32:14	37:25
29:19 32:1 36:24 43:14 46:11 47:22	parts 33:4	39:12 40:16,18	32:16 34:2 40:25	Realtime 17:21
43:14 46:11 47:22	pause 24:24	41:8,14,21,24	protects 33:3	reason 26:17 35:10
48:14 Olympia 17:23	pay 26:9 35:16 40:9 40:9	42:5	provide 20:1 31:2	40:20
once 24:6	paying 26:7 34:13	premises 39:20	46:19	reasons 23:22
once 24:0 onerous 42:13	paying 26:7 34:13 payment 24:13	prepared 21:25	provided 19:25,25	receive 25:9
ones 21:12	26:8,15 41:8	present 41:25	29:4,9 32:16	received 20:3,3,22
open 48:17	payments 24:22	preserved 40:17	46:23	26:1 33:14
operating 40:6	26:1,6 39:19	press 28:15,19,19	provider 42:15	recertify 34:11
opportunities	people 30:23 37:5	29:20,22 30:13,18	43:2	recommend 34:3
opportunities	people 50.25 57.5			

34:24 42:2,7	representative 24:3	40:5 41:22 42:4,7	service 20:11,11	speak 21:19,21
recommendation	24:12	42:9 43:4 44:16	34:9 41:18	22:18,23 27:21
41:14 43:5	request 41:2	rulemaking 17:4	services 45:17	31:12,16 37:6
recommended	requests 36:11	19:8,22 20:14	set 27:25 28:5 36:5	43:23 47:24 48:7
20:25	require 32:24 34:4	27:16 32:12,17	39:18 43:3	speakers 37:14
reconnect 25:14,16	required 26:19	38:5 49:2	sets 20:4	speaking 37:22
38:10,13	33:16 41:14	rules 17:5 19:15	share 22:18,25	48:2
reconnected 24:6	requirement 25:8	20:6,7,8,8,15,16	46:13,14	speaks 29:2
24:10,23 40:14,23	33:5 34:22 35:8	21:2,4,11 23:14	Shawn 18:9 23:5	specific 35:24
reconnecting 24:5	38:25 42:5	23:18 26:18 32:15	27:21	specifically 23:13
reconnection 20:11	requirements 33:12	32:16,24 33:11	sheet 21:17 22:14	speed 37:5
20:18,19	requiring 38:9,20	34:4,17 35:3 36:8	22:15	spell 21:22
record 39:1,12 40:3	residential 25:23	38:7,15 40:25	shorter 23:22 43:3	spelled 37:22
47:17	residents 44:21	41:3,9 42:10 44:2	Shorthand 50:6	spoken 48:7
recording 37:7	resources 45:23	45:9 47:3	shortly 29:11	Square 17:16
red 29:3	respect 27:23 28:9	ruling 27:19 30:12	side 41:17,18	Staff 19:22 20:14
reduce 46:14	respectfully 41:2	rusty 27:13	sign-in 21:17 22:14	20:23 21:20 27:17
regard 40:4	respects 39:4	Ryan 21:9 37:7	22:15	29:4 32:10 49:3
regarding 32:21	respond 38:16		signatures 44:20	Staff's 20:24
33:10 35:6,20,22	48:11	S	significant 34:16	stagger 23:25 24:1
related 39:8	response 20:25	S 18:1 19:4 48:3	35:17	stakeholder 27:18
relates 25:7	39:17	safe 45:24	significantly 32:14	stakeholders 21:14
relatively 22:22	restrictive 42:19	safeguard 31:17	Simon 18:11 37:21	standard 36:4
30:25	revenue 39:6	safeguards 29:25	simply 46:4,16	stands 28:7
remains 28:25	review 19:13 27:18	35:22 36:1	Siores 47:12,13	start 19:16 22:16
remarks 37:13	29:5	safety 41:17	six 42:2	47:25
remote 20:17 35:11	reviewed 33:4	satisfy 24:6,13,22	six-month 42:21,25	State 50:3,7
38:11	reviewing 29:7	save 45:18	skill 50:9	statements 39:10
remotely 23:17	revisions 21:1	saving 39:7	slightly 28:14	states 35:25 42:19
25:14,16	28:10	saw 23:1	small 25:18 26:5,14	station 26:9
removing 35:17	right 22:2,11 26:22	saying 46:13	smart 44:12	stations 40:10
38:11	27:5 29:16 31:22	Seattle 17:22,23	software 45:1 46:22	
Rendahl 18:3 19:12	32:3 36:21 37:2,9	second 24:25 25:6	sole 46:22	stop 43:6
19:20 22:6,7	41:23 43:10 46:9	28:24 45:6	solution 46:16	store 46:24
27:12 37:12 48:24	47:4,7,19 48:1,2,3	secondly 39:5 41:7	solved 46:2	strengthened 32:14
48:25 49:12	48:5,7,18 49:3,7	section 23:14 46:3	somebody 30:4	strike 41:3
renew 42:12,17	49:16,18	sections 20:16	Sorry 27:9	strikes 40:5
repeat 41:10	risk 30:4 34:8	securing 28:23	sort 20:15 30:15	strongly 33:24
repeatedly 34:1	37:17	see 28:20 36:25	31:14,21 33:22	34:24 35:8 38:13
42:15	risks 38:4	seen 39:2	37:24 47:14	38:20,24 39:3
report 19:17	Roberts 21:9	send 25:15	sought 20:1	struck 26:18
REPORTED 17:20	role 37:16 38:1	sending 25:17	Sound 18:10 27:5	Subsection 23:14
reporter 37:3,20	round 39:15	separate 34:18,18 34:22 35:2	27:10 28:4	25:7 29:2 44:2
50:7	rule 28:6 35:25		sounds 48:8	subsequently 20:23
Reporting 17:21	36:15 38:20 39:25	separately 33:20 serious 33:7 42:13	Southeast 17:16	subset 25:18 26:5
		scrious 33./ 42.13		
L				

26:14	terminating 41:18	today's 49:14	updating 20:17	34:9 35:3 36:3
substantially 42:18	thank 19:19 21:7	tool 39:4,6	usage 44:16	37:4,13 39:11
44:4 45:19	22:2,11,13 23:10	topic 25:12 37:15	use 35:11 36:14	48:25 49:3,9
successive 32:13	23:11 26:22 27:1	43:25	44:24 45:3	wanted 28:3 32:9
Suetake 18:10 32:7	29:15,16 31:6	touch 25:22 28:3	utilities 17:2,15	38:16 41:13 43:24
32:9,9 36:24 48:9	32:3,4,10 36:21	track 21:20	19:25 23:6 26:19	48:10,10,22
48:12,15	36:25 37:11 43:10	transcript 50:8	35:22,25 44:8	warning 25:24
sufficient 36:1,3	43:14,22,24 46:7	Transportation	utilities' 45:10	Washington 17:1
suggested 21:1	47:4,4,7,19 48:18	17:2,15	utility 20:1,21	17:15,16,22 19:1
suggesting 30:12	48:25 49:3,7,16	treat 35:3	33:15 45:12,17	42:18,24 50:3,7
suggestions 41:1	49:19,23	treated 33:20	46:21,25	watch 44:21
Suite 17:22	thanking 37:14	true 50:8	utility's 33:14	water 44:22
sum 40:24	thanks 49:6	try 40:13,22	utilized 33:25	way 28:16,23 31:1
summarized 20:23	things 30:20 40:10	turn 19:17 22:4,24		41:22 45:18
support 27:22 35:8	44:21,23	23:1 25:4 26:20	V	ways 34:18 38:9
38:20 40:24 41:13	think 21:11 23:1,15	27:5 32:5 37:9	V 48:3	40:8
41:24 48:16	23:21 24:4 25:20	43:16	vacation 27:12	we'll 21:17 25:11
supporting 35:11	26:6 27:2 29:6	turned 24:13	various 49:1	29:11,11 36:25
supports 32:15	30:8,11 31:4,12	two 19:22 20:5	view 28:15	we're 25:14 28:5
33:24 38:14 39:3	34:15 36:14 37:2	23:15 25:10 27:24	virtual 21:17 22:14	29:7 30:12,25
39:25	39:21,23,24,25	33:4,12,15 34:6	29:21	31:3,4,4 45:3,15
sure 21:13,15,18	40:5,7,10,16	34:15,18,18,20	visit 25:8,23 26:14	46:1
24:25 25:11 30:2	41:19 42:4,12	35:3 39:3 41:21	26:19 33:5,10,11	we've 27:2 29:3
30:21 31:19 33:19	45:8 46:2,18 47:9	41:25 42:1 43:24	33:16,21,25 34:4	32:12
34:10	thinking 29:8,8	49:4	34:21 35:9 40:16	week 27:12 29:4,12
system 26:4,11	39:22	two-year 33:17	40:18 41:8,22,24	weren't 31:9
	thirdly 41:8	tying 33:22	42:5	window 23:22,25
T	thorough 38:4	type 44:22	visited 25:24	33:17
T 48:3 50:1,1	thought 33:19,20	types 44:23	visits 27:24 32:24	wish 21:14,19
take 29:14 40:14,22	thoughtful 27:16		35:6,18 38:9,18	22:25
41:2 49:21	32:12,17	U	38:21,25 39:3,13	wished 48:7
taken 29:3	thousands 40:19	U 48:3	41:14	wishes 22:18,23
takes 49:18	three 20:3 23:12	U-180525 17:8 19:8	voltage 44:18	47:23
talking 37:5	41:5	U.S 44:8	VOLUME 17:9	Woodland 17:16
Tayler 17:20 50:6	THURSTON 50:4	unambiguous	voluntarily 36:11	wording 28:25
50:13	tied 35:4	28:16	voluntary 36:9,16	work 21:10 31:4
team 21:7	tilted 45:16	uncomfortable	vulnerable 33:12	49:4
technical 46:5	time 21:10 22:7,10	31:24	33:18 34:3,7	workaround 31:8
technology 31:24	24:17,22,23 29:15	understand 25:11	35:12,13 38:10	working 29:21
37:15,18 38:2,3	42:8,9,25 43:3	36:3 44:7	***	workshops 20:5
telephone 28:18,19	47:18	understanding	W	27:19
TELEPHONIC	timeframe 23:16,21	43:4	W 48:3	world 24:14 29:21
17:9	27:23	unidentifiable 26:4	WAC 20:7 29:1	wouldn't 44:17
television 44:22	today 25:12 26:8	uniformly 33:12	Wait 37:19	writing 29:12
tells 30:1	28:7 44:3 48:21	unilaterally 36:13	want 21:7 24:24	written 23:12 27:25
tens 40:19		unusual 21:23	27:14 30:3,23,24	
	I	l	I	I

Page 58

				Page 58
20.12.14.20.0	240.26.2			l
28:12,14 29:9	240 26:3			
41:10 42:1,23	287-9066 17:23			
49:14	3			
www.buellrealti	3:00 23:20			
17:25	3358 17:20 50:13			
X	360 17:23			
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 48:3	300 17.23			
A 40.3	4			
Y	448 26:2			
Y 48:3	480-100 20:7			
Yeah 25:2 30:7	480-100-153 29:1			
years 19:23 25:10	480-90 20:8			
27:17 33:15 34:6				
34:16,21 39:2	5			
41:21,25 42:1	5:00 24:14			
49:4	534-9066 17:23			
Yep 23:9	6			
<u>Z</u>	623:14			
Z 48:4	6(b) 25:7			
0	60 33:3,8 35:1			
	42:17			
1	60-day 42:11 621 17:16			
1 28:19 30:18,19	021 17.10			
10:16 49:24	7			
11,501 25:22	7:00 24:15,15			
12-month 42:22				
12:00 24:18	8			
13 17:13 19:1 39:18	8:00 23:18 24:14,18			
1325 17:22	800 17:24			
13th 19:7	846-6989 17:24			
153 44:2 46:3	854 26:1			
164 26:1	9			
17-50 17:10				
1840 17:22	9:00 23:20			
19 29:2	9:30 17:14 19:2			
	98101 17:22			
2	98503 17:16			
2013 39:13				
2019 25:21,22				
2020 17:13 19:1,7				
206 17:23				
22nd 20:23				
24 24:12				
24/7 40:6,11				
	1	1	1	1