Exh. WTB-04T Witness: Weldon T. Burton, CPA

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, Complainant,

Docket TP-220513

v.

PUGET SOUND PILOTS,

Respondent.

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND PILOTS

OCTOBER 31, 2022

Haglund Kelley, LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, OR 97201 Tel: (503) 225-0777 / Fax: (503) 225-1257

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY1
II.	RESTATING ADJUSTMENTS
III.	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

EXHIBIT LIST		
Exhibit No.	Description	Page
		Referenced
WTB-05	PSP 2021 Pro Forma Statement of Operations	Throughout
WTB-06	Inventory of Accounting Workpapers	20
WTB-07	220513-PSP-WP-2023 Revenue Calculation worksheet-07-	Previously filed
	14-22.xlsx	

1	I. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
2	
3	
4	Q. Please state your name.
5	A. My name is Weldon Burton.
6	
7	Q. Are you the same Weldon Burton who filed testimony in this docket with the
8	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("UTC") on behalf of the Puget
9	Sound pilots ("PSP") on June 29, 2022?
10	A. Yes.
11	
12	
13	Q. What is the scope and purpose of your testimony?
14	A. In this testimony, I provide a PSP 2021 pro forma statement of operations that presents
15	the relevant financial information in a regulatory accounting format, which is displayed in
16 17	Exhibit WTB-05. This exhibit also contains proposed restating and pro forma adjustments. In
18	examining the financial projections prepared by PSP office manager Magen Brooks, Exhibits
19	MB-04 and MB-05, I discovered a number of inaccuracies or omitted financial information
20	which I anticipate UTC staff will wish to examine. Therefore, the purpose of this testimony is to
21	correct those inaccuracies and to provide a higher level of financial detail in the spreadsheets that
22	are contained in Exhibit WTB-05. As a result, PSP has asked me to serve as its principal
23	accounting witness in this rate proceeding in connection with the PSP 2021, 2022 and 2023 pro
24	forma statements of operations.
25	1
26	

1

2

Q. Please describe the pro forma statement of operations.

The pro forma statement of operations, Exhibit WTB-05, represents the calendar year A. 3 4 2021 results as shown in the Special Purpose Financial Statements referenced in Jessica J. 5 Norris's testimony and Exhibit JJN-02 and contains all proposed restating and pro forma 6 adjustments (Results of Operations). The per books numbers are linked to the 12-month profit 7 and loss statement, which has been included in the workbook, on the tab directly preceding the 8 Results of Operation tab. Some expense accounts have been combined for simplicity.¹ Each of 9 the adjustments on the Results of Operations tab are linked to the Restating Entries tab or the Pro 10 Forma Entries tab. Supporting calculations for the restating and pro forma adjustments can be 11 12 found on the worksheets that follow the blue "support" tab in the workbook. Supporting 13 workbooks containing more detailed support for PSPs proposed adjustments can be provided. 14 For brevity, I've taken liberties with acronyms and terminology. To my knowledge, all 15 parties to this case are familiar with them. If not, all are explained in the inaugural pilotage rate 16 case.² 17 The projected expenses shown in the pro forma statement of operations reflect an 18 increase of approximately 22% in expenses for PSP. These cost increases will be further 19 20 explained with the restating and pro forma entries, and in my testimony. 21

- ___
- 22
- 23

 ¹ The expense categories that have been combined for simplicity are computer, dues, employee health and welfare, employee pension, depreciation, insurance, pilot pension, postage & printing, pilot boat fuel, rents, repairs and maintenance, salaries, subscriptions, supplies, payroll taxes, property taxes, use taxes, telephone and communications, and conferences and travel.
 ² Docket TP-190976.

- 1
- 2

Q. Has revenue been reconciled as required by WAC 480-07-525(4)(i)?

Yes. The test period revenue has been reconciled to within five percent of rates and A. 3 charges and this schedule is contained on the revenue reconciliation worksheet. 4

5

6

7 A. 8

Q. Are you proposing an adjustment to revenue?

Yes. As all parties are aware, pilotage rate setting is unique to other UTC-regulated industries.³ When requesting an increase to their revenue requirement, the pilots are requesting 9 that the commission authorize an increase to the current PSP tariff to reflect rates that will cover 10 their increased expenses and to support an increase to the total distributable net income. 11 12 Therefore, adjustments were made to pilotage fees earned and to boat fees earned and are 13 explained with pro forma adjustments P-23 and P-24. 14 **RESTATING ADJUSTMENTS** 15 16 0. Please provide an overview of the restating adjustments made for this case. 17 A. Fifteen restating adjustments have been proposed for this general rate case. Adjustments 18 have been made to expenses and depreciation to accurately reflect regulatory depreciation. 19 Expenses disallowed for regulatory purposes have been removed. And where appropriate, PSP is 20 proposing that certain identifiable commission-specific expenses be amortized over three 21 years. All restating adjustments are presented on the Restating Entries worksheet and where 22 23 appropriate, supporting worksheets are included and linked to this tab.

- 24
- 25
- 26

³ Docket TP-190976, Exh WTB-1T-11-19-19

- 1
- Q. Has PSP's depreciation been adjusted for regulatory purposes? 2 Yes. PSP's book depreciation has been restated to reflect regulatory lives and values. PSP A. 3 4 has followed its regulatory capitalization and repair policy for these adjustments. Therefore, 5 expensed vessel costs, which should have been capitalized for regulatory purposes have been 6 identified and depreciated. 7 Also, in the previous general rate case, the commission ordered that the Pilot Boat Juan 8 de Fuca be revalued and depreciated based on its remaining useful life, which is reflected in this 9 depreciation schedule.⁴ 10 11 12 In addition to using the remaining useful life for the Pilot Boat Juan de Fuca 0. 13 are there other adjustments, made in this case, which resulted from the inaugural 14 pilotage case? 15 Yes, there are several other adjustments being made in this case that resulted from the A. 16 recommendations and directives from the final order of the previous rate case. For instance, the 17 final order required legal and consulting expenses incurred, as a result of the inaugural case, be 18 amortized over two separate periods.⁵ 19 20 Other expenses incurred, as a direct result of the final order, include re-evaluating pilot 21 pension options,⁶ changing the accounting used for pilot callback days and the funding of those 22 callbacks,⁷ and expenses incurred while evaluating efficiency improvements⁸ within the PSP 23 24 ⁴ TP-190976, Order 09, Pg 124, ¶478. ⁵ TP-190976, Order 09, Pg 124, ¶473 and ¶475. 25 ⁶ See PSP witness testimony McNeil, Wood, and Tabler. ⁷ See PSP witness testimony Norris and Carlson. 26

 ⁸ See PSP witness testimony Carlson.
 ⁸ Supplemental TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

organization. The resulting expense adjustments are supported in Exhibit WTB-05 and explained in my testimony.

3

Q. Please discuss the amortization of the legal and consulting expenses explained ⁵ above.

A. In the inaugural PSP general rate case, the commission ordered legal and consulting
expenses to be amortized over two distinct periods. For half of these expenses, the intermediate
legal and consulting expenses, PSP is required to amortize those costs over three years. And for
the other half of these expenses, the foundational legal and consulting expenses, PSP is required
to amortize those costs over seven years.

In compliance with Order 09, PSP's restating adjustment 02 represents the amortization of the attorney fees from the previous case. The total adjustment amount is \$187,058, which includes \$130,941 for the intermediate legal expenses and \$56,118 for the foundational legal expenses. In addition, PSP's restating adjustment 03 represents the amortization of its consulting fees from the previous case. The total adjustment amount is \$56,089, which includes \$39,263 for the intermediate consulting expenses and \$16,827 for the foundational consulting expenses.

19

20 Q. Please describe your adjustments to the 2021 legal expenses.

A. Two restating adjustments have been made to 2021 legal expenses. A restating
adjustment (R-04) was made to remove a double payment to a vendor during the test period. A
restating adjustment (R-05) was made to identify and remove commission-specific expenses
resulting from the previous case, in the amount of \$122,016. PSP does not believe these

expenses will be recurring, and acknowledging that these costs will provide ongoing benefits, 1 PSP proposes this amount be amortized over three years.⁹ Calculations to support this adjustment 2 are on the legal expense worksheet. 3 4 5 **Q**. Please discuss your adjustments to the 2021 consulting expenses. 6 A. Consulting expenses have been adjusted similar to legal expenses. A restating 7 adjustment (R-06) was made to identify and remove commission-specific expenses resulting 8 from the previous case that are not expected to reoccur. And again, we propose that this amount 9 be amortized over three years.¹⁰ 10 11 12 Now please address your adjustments to the 2021 computer expenses. 0. 13 A. As a result of the recommendation in the final order that PSP retain an outside consultant 14 to study its organizational efficiency,¹¹ PSP engaged Coe & Company to make computer 15 programing modifications to PSP's pilot dispatch software to monitor the effects of changes to 16 PSP's dispatch rules for the purpose of assessing the impact of these rule changes on the 17 efficiency of PSP's pilotage system. This computer programming work was performed by Coe 18 & Company at a cost of \$57,211.25. These expenses, totaling \$57,211.25, were recorded by PSP 19 20 in the computer expense account and for regulatory purposes, were treated like legal and 21 consulting expenses. These identified expenses are being removed from the computer expense 22 account (R-07) and PSP proposes that these expenses also be amortized over three years.¹² 23 24 ⁹ See pro forma adjustment P-01. 25

- ¹⁰ See pro forma adjustment P-02.
- ¹¹ Order 09, Pg 34, ¶109.
 ¹² See pro forma adjustment P-03.
 SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

- 1 2

Please describe the adjustment to equipment leases. Q.

4			
3	A. This account represents the expense related to portable pilot units (PPUs), which is an		
4	established reasonable and necessary expense for PSP. ¹³ With the expiration of the PPU lease		
5	from the previous case, ¹⁴ PSP has entered into another lease for updated PPUs. Adjustment R-11		
6	modifies the annual lease amount to reflect the current lease terms.		
7			
8	Q. Please explain the adjustment made to account 52050-009 – Miscellaneous – Other.		
9 10	A. PSP received a Payroll Protection Program loan during the pandemic to		
11	keep employees paid and working while revenues were at a historic low. This tax-free federal		
12	loan was received in May 2020, which is outside the test period, and was forgiven in 2021.		
13	Because this program was enacted to provide businesses tax-free monies under provisions of		
14	Internal Revenue Service Revenue Ruling 2021-2 and Washington State Substitute House Bill		
15	1095 to keep American workers employed during this global pandemic, this adjustment is being		
16 17	made to properly account for these funds.		
17			
19	Q. Have you proposed any restating adjustments to revenue?		
20	A. Yes. Two restating adjustments have been proposed for revenue: one to remove 2021		
21	cruise ship revenue; and one for a regulatory liability. ¹⁵		
22			
23			
24			
25	¹³ TP-190976, Order 09, Pg 120, ¶438 and Pg 125, ¶480.		
26	¹⁴ The PPU lease in the previous case was represented by the account "office equipment lease."		

bus case was represented by ٩u лр ¹⁵ TP-190976, Order 13.
 SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA Exh. WTB-04T'

- 1
- Q. Please explain the adjustment that removes 2021 cruise ship revenue. 2 As a result of the COVID 19 pandemic, cruise ships largely ceased operations A. 3 4 and cruise ship revenue dropped to virtually zero in 2020. While cruise ships 5 have returned to a normal, pre-pandemic schedule, 2021 experienced an extremely low number 6 of cruise ships in Seattle which generated a fraction of the revenue PSP would usually earn 7 during cruise season. 8 Therefore, to accurately reflect revenues that would normally be generated at PSP during 9 cruise ship season, a restating adjustment was made to remove the reduced cruise revenues from 10 2021 and a pro forma adjustment was made to include more representative (normal) cruise ship 11 12 season revenue. This pro forma adjustment will be discussed in the pro forma section below. 13 14 Q. Now, please explain the adjustment made for the regulatory liability. 15 As ordered by the commission,¹⁶ PSP has deferred incremental differences between the A. 16 revenues collected from Tote Maritime Alaska, LLC, for the vessels Midnight Sun and North 17 Star under PSP's current tariff, and the amounts PSP would have collected from the company for 18 these two vessels had tonnage charges been assessed based on GRT. This amount has been 19 20 removed from pilotage revenue with proposed adjustment R-13. 21 22 23 24 25 26 ¹⁶ TP-190976, Order 13.

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

2	Q. Please give an overview of the pro forma adjustments made for this case.		
3	A. Twenty-five pro forma adjustments have been proposed for known and measurable		
4	changes in this general rate case. These adjustments have been made to accurately reflect		
5 6	changes in employees, the number of licensed pilots, expenses, and revenues. There are pro		
0 7	forma adjustments to capture the amortization of certain identifiable expenses that are more		
8	appropriately amortized over a proposed three-year period. All pro forma adjustments are		
9	presented on the Pro Forma Entries worksheet tab, and where appropriate, supporting worksheets		
10	are included and linked to this tab.		
11			
12	Q. Please explain the pro forma adjustment made to legal expenses.		
13	Q. I lease explain the pro-forma aujustment made to legal expenses.		
14	A. Certain identifiable commission-specific expenses that have been incurred as a result of		
15	the previous case have been removed from the general legal expenses to better represent a		
16	normal year's expense. Because we expect these costs to be non-recurring, and for the benefits to		
17	serve PSP and its ratepayers going forward, PSP proposes that these expenses		
18	be amortized over three years. Calculations supporting these adjustments are provided in the		
19	legal expense worksheet.		
20			
21	Q. Are the pro forma adjustments for consulting and computer expenses also being		
22			
23	made in a similar manner?		
24	A. Yes. Commission-specific expenses that resulted from the previous case, have been		
25	identified and removed from general test year consulting and computer expenses. These		
26	expenses were incurred to comply with the final order. As with legal expenses, PSP expects SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA Exh. WTB-04T Page 9		

1	these costs to be non-recurring, and that the benefits will be paid forward. Therefore, PSP is		
2	proposing that these expenses also be amortized over three years.		
3			
4	Q. Please discuss how pilot medical insurance was addressed in Order 09.		
5	A. It is my understanding that the commission ordered PSP to begin transitioning pilot		
6	medical insurance expenses directly to the pilots. And at the end of year two, or January 25,		
7	2023, the commission expects PSP pilots to fully fund their medical insurance expenses		
8 9	from the compensation received through their DNI. ¹⁷ PSP respectfully requests that the		
9 10	commission reconsider this directive based on the new evidence presented in this case by several		
11	PSP witnesses.		
12	Witness David Lough provides a table of Pilot Group Income & Benefits in Exhibit DL-		
13	06 which indicates that nine of 12 Pilot Groups shown provide Medical Insurance with		
14	the cost being included in the tariff.		
15	Witness Chief Mate Alysia Johnson describes "a generous health insurance program		
16	through the union which is funded entirely by the company except for nominal co-pays."		
17	I have prepared, filed, and completed numerous rate filings for companies regulated by		
18 19	the commission over the past 35 years. All of these entities have provided medical insurance		
20	coverage for working owners/operators which was paid by the company and included in the rate		
21	calculation.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	¹⁷ TP-190976, Order 09, Pg 76, ¶254.		

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

1	Q. If the commissio	n reconsiders the pilot medical issue, what will the adjustment be?
2	A. The proposed pro	forma adjustment for pilot medical is \$240,778. Pilot medical
3	insurance is calculated ba	ased on the number of licensed pilots and the premiums required by the
4	insurance provider. Prem	iums for medical insurance increase by approximately 3%
5	each renewal year. And y	while PSP expects three pilots to retire and nine pilots to be eligible for
6	licensure between mid-20	022 and early 2023, PSP has only used the number of BPC-
7	authorized pilots (56) for	this adjustment. Calculations for this adjustment, with the new
8	premium amounts, for 56 pilots are presented on the pilot medical worksheet and total	
9 10	\$1,885,345. It is important to acknowledge here that the Board of Pilotage Commissioners has	
11	not authorized more than 56 pilots and that number establishes the upper limits of our	
12	adjustments for calculations that are derived from the variable number of pilots.	
13		
14	Q. It seems that the	medical insurance expense amount is directly tied to the number of
14 15	Q. It seems that the	
15 16	Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots?	medical insurance expense amount is directly tied to the number of re other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number
15 16 17	Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots?	e other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number
15 16 17 18	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendence 	e other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and
15 16 17 18 19	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above 	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and e, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC-
15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above authorized number of pilots? 	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and e, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above authorized number of pilots? 	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and e, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC-
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above authorized number of pilots? Q. Have you made and the second second	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and e, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC-
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above authorized number of pilot Q. Have you made a A. Yes. Pilot license 	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number ses like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and b, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC- tots, or 56 pilots.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendues. As discussed above authorized number of pilot Q. Have you made a A. Yes. Pilot license 	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number sees like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and e, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC- ots, or 56 pilots.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. It seems that the licensed pilots. Are then of licensed pilots? A. Yes. Other expendences dues. As discussed above authorized number of pilot Q. Have you made and the second second	the other expenses in the case that are also tied directly to the number sees like these would be license fees and insurance, memberships and be, all adjustments related to the number of licensed pilots use the BPC- tots, or 56 pilots.

1	services ¹⁸ . Therefore, an adjustment has been made to reflect the change in the number of pilots.
2	Here again, PSP has used the number of BPC-authorized pilots for this
3	adjustment. Calculations supporting this adjustment can be found on the license fees tab.
4	
5	Q. Please discuss your adjustment to membership dues.
6	A. Membership dues, also based on the number of pilots, have been adjusted to reflect a
7	change in the number of pilots. Upon becoming members of PSP, pilots join two organizations.
} •	PSP by-laws require member pilots to join the International Organization of Masters, Mates, and
)	Pilots ¹⁹ . The American Pilots' Association is another organization in which all licensed
l	pilots are members. PSP witness Clay Diamond discusses the benefits of APA membership.
2	Calculations supporting these adjustments are provided on the dues worksheet.
3	
1	O New places amplein your adjustment to training costs
_	Q. Now please explain your adjustment to training costs.
	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19
)	
)	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19
5 7 8	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an
5 7 3 9	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an increase in training expenses be allowed for the next three years, so that the new pilots, as well as
5 7 8 9 0	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an increase in training expenses be allowed for the next three years, so that the new pilots, as well as the entirety of the pilot corps, may attend and "catch up" on necessary training. Training
5 7 3 9 1 2	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an increase in training expenses be allowed for the next three years, so that the new pilots, as well as the entirety of the pilot corps, may attend and "catch up" on necessary training. Training expenses and support for the proposed adjustment have been detailed in the training expense
5 7 3 9 1 2 3	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an increase in training expenses be allowed for the next three years, so that the new pilots, as well as the entirety of the pilot corps, may attend and "catch up" on necessary training. Training expenses and support for the proposed adjustment have been detailed in the training expense
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	A. Training is required by all pilots regardless of license level. But due to the COVID-19 pandemic, necessary and vital training has not occurred. PSP respectfully requests that an increase in training expenses be allowed for the next three years, so that the new pilots, as well as the entirety of the pilot corps, may attend and "catch up" on necessary training. Training expenses and support for the proposed adjustment have been detailed in the training expense

1

Q.

Have you proposed an adjustment to the pilot pension expense?

A. Yes. I have proposed an adjustment to the current pilot "pay-as-you-go" pension
program. Because PSP's current pension is a "pay-as-you-go" pension plan, current
pilot earnings fund the pension for all retired pilots. The pension expense changes when there is
a change in the number of retired pilots. A pro forma adjustment was made to pilot pension
expense to reflect the death of two retired pilots, and the addition of three retired pilots for the
rate year 2023. Calculations supporting this adjustment are provided on the pilot pension tab.

9

10

Q. Please discuss the adjustment made to conferences and travel.

A. There are two annual and two biennial conferences that PSP attends. The pro forma
 adjustment P-07, includes four conferences to which PSP will send staff and member pilots.
 Because the biennial conferences occur every other year, only half of the associated expense is
 being included for this adjustment.

- 15
- 16

17

Q. Can you please explain the insurance adjustment?

A. Certainly. The insurance expense represents several insurance policies that span different
annual periods. PSP's insurance agent estimated that premiums for these policies would increase
between 15% and 20%. The pro forma is conservative, using a 15% increase to annual
insurance expenses in P-19. Calculations supporting this adjustment are provided on the
insurance tab.

1

Q.

Please explain your fuel adjustment.

A. PSP purchased almost 100,000 gallons of diesel during the test year. This amount is
expected to increase as vessel traffic rebounds to pre-pandemic levels. To adjust fuel, I
multiplied the total test year gallons of fuel by the most recent diesel price paid by PSP (from
June 2022) of \$5.86 per gallon.

- 6
- 7 8

Q.

Let's now turn to the pro forma adjustments made for employee salaries.

A. PSP experienced high employee turnover,²⁰ as did many companies around the world,
 during the COVID-19 pandemic. PSP leadership has worked diligently to maintain its
 dedicated staff and to fill all vacancies quickly and with the best-qualified candidates.

12 In their effort to keep their current support staff, PSP leadership made several changes in 13 the Seattle office with regard to pay rates and employee positions. Higher base-pay rates have 14 been negotiated with IBU for Seattle personnel, and an MOU has been signed to memorialize 15 these changes going forward. With regard to positions, PSP's long-time clerk employee is being 16 promoted to the open accountant position. The newly vacant clerk position is being filled soon 17 with a new employee. And a fourth dispatcher has been hired to reduce overtime hours 18 previously incurred as shown in the test period. Overall, dispatcher salary expense was decreased 19 20 by \$28,000 with the hiring of the fourth dispatcher. 21 The payroll support worksheet²¹ within Exhibit WTB-05, details these changes, and the

- 22
- expense changes resulting from the proposed adjustments. 23
- 24

 ²⁰ PSP lost two accountants, their executive director, and a boatman. PSP has hired an office
 manager, an executive director, a deckhand, and a dispatcher.

²¹ Exhibit WTB-05 Payroll tab. SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

Q. Are both IBU and non-IBU employees' salary adjustments presented on this worksheet?

A. Yes. Non-IBU positions are the executive director and the office manager which are
shown at the bottom of the payroll table.²²

- 5
- 6 7

Q. Please discuss the adjustments made to employee benefits.

A. Medical and pension benefit adjustments, for both IBU and non-IBU employees,
are presented on the benefits worksheet.²³ The medical expense adjustment, of \$56,446, is P-10.
The pro forma adjustment for employee pension expense is P-11, in the amount of \$14,584. And

11 for non-IBU employees, the 401(k)pension adjustment is P-12, in the amount of \$18,000.

12

13

³ Q. Please discuss the Employee 401(k) account.

A. A new executive director and an office manager have been hired by PSP since the last
rate case. Because these are management positions, the IBU contract terms do not apply. As a
pension option, PSP has offered a 401(k) for these two employees. Calculations supporting the
adjustment to this account are provided in the benefits worksheet.

19

20 Q. Please explain the rate case expense adjustment.

A. Rate case costs, including lengthy preparation of testimony and exhibits, discovery, and
 hearings, are being amortized over a three-year period, and include legal expenses, consulting
 fees, expert witness fees and UTC fees, totaling \$594,472. As authorized by the legislature, PSP

 ²² Exhibit WTB-05, Payroll tab, Rows 24-26.
 ²³ Exhibit WTB-05, Benefits tab, Rows 24-26, Columns S-T.
 SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

has included 370,000 for fees paid to the UTC²⁴ for this rate case.

- 1 2
- 3

Q. Please now discuss the transportation expense charge.

4 A. Because the transportation expense charge seemed to create some confusion in the 5 inaugural case, I would like to explain how the PSP transportation charge, to the ratepayer, 6 works. First, the transportation charge is meant to generate revenue to cover the expense of the 7 entire transportation system. This transportation revenue is needed to cover the expense of 8 repositioning (repo's) pilots to and from Port Angeles even though there is not a revenue job that 9 can be attributed to the repo, as well as the expense of transportation to and from revenue-10 generating assignments. Not all pilot assignments include a transportation charge. For example, 11 12 when a pilotage job is assigned to a pilot, and subsequently canceled within two hours, no 13 transportation charge occurs. Cancellations, as described above are the only occurrences where a 14 revenue assignment does not receive a transportation charge. Therefore, it's important to 15 understand that the number of assignments may not correlate to the number of transportation 16 charges incurred.25 17

18

19 Q. Now, please explain the adjustment made to transportation expense.

A. With the first UTC pilotage tariff, containing the authorized transportation expense
 charge of \$168.20, going into effect on January 25, 2021, the per books amounts, did not support
 the actual transportation charges invoiced during a full 12-month period. To compensate for this,
 and to reflect a full year more accurately, we used January 2022 invoices as a proxy for January

²⁴ See Mike Young email imbedded in the Rate Case Exp tab..

 ²⁵ The references here to cancellations are in no way related to the cancellation charge in the tariff.
 SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA
 Exh. WTB-04

2021. The calculations to support this adjustment can be found on the transportation worksheet.

2

1

3

Q. Can you please discuss the adjustments for DEI-related expenses?

A. PSP leadership has gone to great lengths to establish its DEI pilot program recognizing
that while PSP has been an active participant in the BPC's diversity, equity, and inclusion
program, modest results have been achieved to date. Therefore, in early 2022, PSP adopted a
formal diversity, equity, and inclusion policy,²⁶ and began collecting information on costs that
will be incurred to launch this program, including training, conferences, outreach, sponsorships,
and scholarship opportunities.

PSP has conferred with a local DEI expert who has expressed interest in assisting PSP leadership with this endeavor. PSP believes that having a DEI expert on retainer, at this juncture, would be beneficial to the success of this program. In addition, this approach will better accommodate the 24/7 nature of PSP operations and the multitude of schedules to be considered at PSP, compared to trying to coordinate a single training class multiple times.

And while sponsorship expenses aren't usually allowed recovery in rates, these sponsorships enable PSP to send attendees to conferences at no charge. The efforts around the pilot DEI program are discussed in testimony of PSP witnesses Carlson and Costanzo.

Because this is a pilot program, supplying historical cost information, to help justify
 future expenses, would be impossible. Therefore, cost information that has been obtained to
 further this DEI endeavor is being provided in the DEI worksheet of Exhibit WTB-05.

23 24

Q. Please explain the first pro forma adjustment made to pilotage revenue.

- A. As discussed above, cruise ship revenue fell to zero during 2020 and barely
- 26



1	rebou	nded in 2021. To accurately represent "normal" revenue that would usually be received	
2	during	g a normal cruise ship season, a restating adjustment was made to remove the lower, less-	
3	repres	entative revenue amount from 2021, and a pro forma adjustment was made to include the	
4	higher	, more-representative revenue from a more normal cruise ship season. This information	
5	can be	e found in the cruise revenue worksheets of Exhibit WTB-05.	
6			
7	Q.	Please discuss the other adjustment made to pilotage revenue.	
8 9	A.	PSP has requested a total revenue requirement of \$48,010,208, as reflected in the	
10	suppo	rting workpapers. ²⁷ This adjustment reflects a requested increase to TDNI ²⁸ of \$12,456,386	
11	for this case, and is supported by the entirety of PSP's general rate case request and in my		
12	testimony and exhibits.		
13			
14	Q.	Now, please explain the adjustment to pilot boat fees earned.	
15	A.	An adjustment was made to pilot boat fees earned to reflect the anticipated increase in	
16	revent	ue from the proposed tariff rates.	
17			
18 19	0	Finally, please discuss the training and SILA surcharges.	
20	X۰ A.	PSP is required to collect two pass-through surcharges and remit those monies to the	
21			
22	BPC. The training surcharge and the SILA surcharge are presented in the tariff under Item 380 –		
23	Special Surcharges. The training surcharge enables newly licensed pilots to forego other		
24	emplo	yment during their training program to allow them to devote themselves full-time to	
25			
26	Calcu	ibit WTB-05, 2023 PF Revenue tab, cell AJ 7768 & 220513-PSP-WP-2023 Revenue lation worksheet-07-14-22, 2023 rate year Pro-Forma, cell AJ 7768. al Distributable Net Income.	

completing their training program.²⁹ This surcharge is currently \$19 per trainee per vessel
 movement.

The SILA surcharge, set to expire on June 30, 2023,³⁰ represents a self-insurance
 premium which is added to each pilotage assignment on all vessels requiring pilotage. This
 surcharge is currently \$16 per vessel movement.

Q. Are the amounts received from these surcharges included in revenue in this pro
 forma?

9 A. No.

10

8

11 Q. Please explain why these surcharges are not included in revenue for this pro forma.

A. Because these two surcharges are strictly pass-through charges, PSP doesn't account for
 these funds in their revenue account. PSP is required to collect these surcharges and remit them
 entirely to the BPC every month. Therefore, these surcharges have been excluded from all
 revenue requirement calculations.

16 17

18

Q. What adjustments have been made for these two surcharges?

- 19 A. There have been no adjustments proposed for these two surcharges.
- 20

21

Q. Please explain.

- A. Both surcharges are mandated by rule and decided by the BPC. PSP is simply the
 steward for collecting these monies and remitting them to the BPC.
- 24
- 25

^{26 &}lt;sup>29</sup> WAC 363-116-078(10). ³⁰ WAC 363-116-301(2). SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF WELDON T. BURTON, CPA

1	Q.	For reasons of clarity, did you prepare an inventory of accounting workpapers filed
2		with your original and supplemental testimony?
3	A.	Yes. That inventory is Exh. WTB-06.
4	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental written testimony regarding the PSP
5	Stater	nent of Operations?
6 7	A.	Yes, thank you.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		