BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILTIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Application of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

for (1) Approval of the Proposed Sale of PSE's Share of the Centralia Facilities, and (2) Authorization to Amortize Gain Over a Five-Year Period.

In the Matter of Application of

AVISTA CORPORATION

for Authority to Sell Its Interest In the Coal-Fired Centralia Power Plant.

In the Matter of Application of

PACIFICORP

for an Order Approving the Sale of its Interest in (1) The Centralia Steam Electric Generating Plant, (2) The Ratebased Portion of the Centralia Coal Mine, and (3) Related Facilities; For a Determination of the Amount of and the Proper Ratemaking Treatment of the Gain Associated with the Sale; and for an EWG Determination.

DOCKET NO. UE-991409

DOCKET NO. UE-991255

DOCKET NO. UE-991262

EXHIBIT OF GORDON HOWINS

WUTC DOCKET NO. UE - 991255 EXHIBIT NO. 801 ADMIT W/D REJECTE

Exhibit No. 801 (GPH-2)

SUMMARY OF ELECTRIC UTILITY ENTIRE OPERATIONS IN 1990

Group I - Over 500 Employees

All Injury Rate	22.74	23.41	24.19	32.14	33.65	34.20	34.23	35.72	36.32	38.54	45.22	47.08	53.29	72.62	42.01	50.42	42.45
Avg. Days Lost Per Disabling Injury	8	21	12	36	33	15	R	121	101	14	82	83	16	16	38.13	45.00	37.57
Severity Rank	. 87	4	7***	9	5	က	80	14	12	7	10	13	6	11	1	ī	1.
Severity S Rate	160.93	188.86	136.70	263.19	202.41	164.23	383.87	,563.92	817.71	284.43	638.52	933.18	570.79	816.00	657.33	1,044.45	677.34
nsabling Injury Rank	3	2	7	. 7	1	9	10	9	4	11	œ	12	13	14		1	
Disabling I Injury Freq: Rate	7.91	8.98	11.41	7.22	6.12	10.63	16.62	12.91	8.06	19.83	11.57	32.85	34.64	51.41	17.24	23.46	17.56
Hours	A	ပ	ပ	Ą	ပ	A	A	A.	Ą	A	A	Ą	Ą	А		1	1
Employee Hours	5,058,132	3,118,752	2,190,888	9,552,085	1,961,370	2,175,680	2,044,948	4,955,511	8,562,957	7,108,830	64,999,057	39,360,082	1,876,349	1,225,497	157,190,338	8,567,408	165,757,746
Total No. of njuries	115	73	23	307	99	177	20	177	311	274	2,939	1,853	100	&	6,604	432	7,036
Medical Aid Injuries	75	45	88	238	72	122	38	113	242	133	2,187	260	33	56	3,894	231	4,125
Days Lost/ Charged	814	583	300	2,514	397	850	785	7,750	7,002	2,022	41,503	36,730	1,071	1,000	103,327	8,948	112,275
Lost Time Injuries	40	83	ಸರ	83	12	55	33	2	93	141	752	1,293	88	æ	2,710	201	2,911
Fatals/Perm. Lost Total Time Disabilities Injuries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-		0	4	1	0	0	7	1	8
Average Fatals/Perm. No. of Total Employees Disabilities	2,602	1,768	1,242	5,003	1,110	2,473	1,068	2,722	4,217	3,217	36,327	24,909	938	638	re) 88,234	4,556	92,790
All Injury Name Frequency of Utility Rank	Transalta Utilities	Alberta Power	Nild & Lab. Hydro	BC Hydro	Nfld Light & Power	Sask, Power	Edmonton Power	Nova Scotia Power	Manitoba Hydro	New Brunswick Power	Ontario Hydro	Hydro Quebec	City of Calgary	Winnipeg Hydro	TOTAL GROUP I (Entire) 88,234	TOTAL GROUP II	GRAND TOTAL
All Injury Frequency Rank	1	2 7	အ	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14		¥	

 * - Are entitled to receive Frequency Reduction Award

A - Actual C - Calculated

SUMMARY OF ELECTRIC UTILITY ENTIRE OPERATIONS IN 1991

Group I - (Group I - Over 500 Employees												Ave Days	
All			Fatals/				Total		Disab.				Total Day	===
Trinty		Avg	Perm.	Lost	Days	Med.	No.		Injury	Disab.			L081 1 Ct	
Heen		No. of	Total	Time	Lost/	Aid	of	Emp.	Freq.	Injury	Sev.	Sev.	Usabiing	ınlard
	200, 200			1	Thursd	Ini	Ini.	Hrs. Hrs.	. Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Injury	Kate
Kank Nan	Kank Name of Utility		LUINGO.	81	547	7.7	90	\$165306 A	7.36	2	104.93	3	14.3	18.39
1 Tran	TransAlta Utilities	2,694	0 ,	20	246	5 6	3 8	1	96.6	4	184.86	5	18.5	24.71
• 2 Edm	Edmonton Power	1,099	0	717	389	10	20 5	1	10 54	000	1023.24	15	97.1	24.73
3 NAd	Nfid & Lab Hydro	1,622	0	26	2,524	3	001		1	9	173.16	4	16.9	28.17
4 Albe	Alberta Power	1,600	0	31	523	54	82		1	۶	256 12	0	14.8	31.72
5 New	New Brunswick Power	3,380	0	130	1,930	109	239		7.63	11	75.61		9.9	32.67
6 Man	Manitoba Hydro	5,004	0	99	655	217	283			7 -	240 73	7	36.9	32.91
7 BCI	BCHydro	5,683	0	63.	2,326	255	318	i		4	240.01		757	36.51
8 Nov	Nova Scotia Power	2,765	0	11	1,826	120	191	5,231,980 A		2	349.01	11	300	18 57
	Ontario Hydro	35,646	-	640	19,590	1,725	2,365	61,314,379 A	10.44	7	319.50	6	30.0	10.00
1	and injure	26 417	-	1.130	33,799	649	1,779	43,596,562 A	25.92	13	775.27	14	29.9	40.81
	Hydro Quebec	2 402	4 0	53	478	164	217	5,213,130 A	10.17	5	91.69	7	9.0	41.63
	Sask Power	764,7		3	480	62	91	2,038,400 A	14.23	10	235.48	9	16.6	44.64
	Newfoundland Power	960		3 9	842	37	87	1,923,599 A	25.99	14	437.72	12	16.8	45.23
- 1	City of Calgary	21.5		5,5	311	92	49	958,558 A	23.99	12	323.92	10	13.5	51.12
- 1	Scarborough Pub. Util. Com.	202	-	3 5	0.256	=	168	3.135.227 A	50.08	16	2984.14	16	59.6	53.58
15 Tor	Toronto Hydro	1,503	1	CT	מנכיג	76	63			15	656.90	13	13.8	69.46
16 Win	Winnipeg Hydro	620	0	57	782	07		1888		1	467.80	ı	29.54	37.76
TO	TOTAL GROUP I	92,996	3	2,585	CCE:0/	3/2/6			68 1		487 79	1	25.93	48.78
TO	TOTAL GROUP II	4,150	0	143	3,708	239		7,688,291			27 027		70.35	38.25
GR	GRAND TOTAL	97,146	3	2,728	80,063	3,817	6,538 1	170,910,168	- 15,96		405.40	I I	}	

Are entitled to receive Frequency Reduction Award

A - Actual

C - Calculated

SUMMARY OF ELECTRIC UTILITY ENTIRE OPERATIONS IN 1992

All 12.67 12.67 22.43 26.6 22.43 26.6 22.43 33.06 33.44 36.01 37.45 37.45 43.81 76.16 108.74	\$28.25 1480.8 2101.05
Avg Days Lost Per Disabiling Injury 15.4 11.63 128.85 19.79 41.33 42.79 9.91 10.22 18.55 18.55 18.55 18.55 18.55 18.55	624.06 473.59 1097,65
7 2 2 5 5 4 4 8 9 6 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	1
Sev. 107.89 139.02 111.74 111.25 470.56 382.64 382.64 382.64 210.51 210.51 250.03 548.12 732.87	12104 25569
Disab: Rank 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 10 112 112 113 113 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115	
Disab: Infury Disab: Rate	307.8 720.6 1028
TAAAAQAAAAAA	1 11 11
Employae 5,051,569 2,215,556 2,496,951 7,744,753 10,227,090 2,108,128 2,108,128 60,045,296 9,329,317 4,420,535 5,331,099 1,879,971 1,879,971 1,811,650	163,628,747 7,346,773 170,975,520
001als No., 01 10ls 200 200 200 200 1657 1,985 1,985 1,985 1,657 1,557 1	5,664 358 6,022
And And 1018 30 28 232 232 39 39 47 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 12	3,453 216 3,669
Daya h	164,239 2,773 167,012
Lost 101 24 20 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 26 53 65 65 65 65 61 61 23	2,211 142 2,353
Parals// Parm. L Total T Total T Disable 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
N.G., O. of M.G.,	92,706 3,971 96,677
Policy Calgary Nova Scotla Power Nid. Light & Power Nid. Calgary Sask Power City of Calgary Scarborcough Pub. Uill.	TOTAL GROUP I TOTAL GROUP II GRAND TOTAL

^{*} Are entitled to receive Frequency Awards

A - Actual C - Calculated

Alberta Power Information was lost instransitiand was not received by the print deadline.



Canadian Electrical Association

£.,

SUMMARY OF ELECTRIC UTILITY ENTIRE OPERATIONS IN 1993 GROUP I - OVER 500 EMPLOYEES

Hillies 2,737 0 31 576 30 61 4,791,787 65 3 120.2 4 18.6 Hollites 2,737 0 34 510 18.2 Hollites 2,737 0 34 510 18.2 6,508,822 7.5 4 98.2 3 13.0 Hollites 2,737 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 13.0 Hollites 2,326 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 15.0 Hollites 2,326 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 15.0 Hollites 2,350 0 4,135 0 33 529 177 210 8,641,239 3.8 1 61.2 2 187.2 6 30.7 Hollites 2,350 0 48 1,509 103 151 4,451,360 10.8 6 339.0 11 31.4 Hollites 2,350 0 529 13,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 77 232.1 9 19.3 Hollites 2,54,969 0 529 13,379 131 200 5,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 706.3 17 15.1 Hollites 2,54,7 0 58 1,213 46 10,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 706.3 12 20.9 Hollites 2,560 0 560 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.9 5 11.0 Hollites 2,560 0 520 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.9 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 192.0 15 31.5 Hollites 2,562 0 1,066 24 18 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	All Injury	Name of Utility	Avg.	Fatalst Perm.	Lost	Days	Med, Ald	Total Na. of	Actual Employee	Disab, Freq.	Disab, Freq.	Sev. Rata	Sey. Rank	Avg, Lieys Lost Per Disals.	All 8 Injury Bate
2,737 0 31 576 30 61 4,791,787 6.5 3 120.2 4 18.6 hydro 1,609 0 34 510 12 6,508,822 7.5 4 98.2 3 13.0 hydro 1,609 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 15.0 4,135 0 22 133 35 57 2,527,283 8.7 52.6 1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.2 1 6.1 6	er er er er er er er er		Emp.	ora Disab.	Injury	Charged	Injuries	m)uries	Hours	HB10	HAIIK			mlury	ı
2,737 0 31 37 122 6,508,822 7.5 4 98.2 3 13.0 1ydro 1,609 0 49 639 73 122 6,508,822 7.5 4 98.2 3 13.0 1,934 0 22 133 35 57 2,527,283 8.7 5 52.6 1 6.1 4,135 0 22 133 35 177 210 8,641,239 3.8 1 61.2 2 16.0 6,371 1 65 1,992 282 347 10,642,569 6.1 2 187.2 6 30.7 ar linc. 2,350 0 48 1,509 103 151 4,451,360 10.8 6 339.0 11 31.4 ar linc. 2,350 0 48 1,509 103 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 118.7 339.0 11 31.4	1		101		č	575	90	5	4 791 787	6.5	ო	120.2	4	18.6	12.7
Hydro 1,609 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 15.0 1. Hydro 1,609 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 13.6 10 203.9 8 15.0 1. Hydro 1,609 0 34 510 18 52 2,501,187 3.8 1 61.2 2 16.0 1. Hydro 1,609 0 34 510 177 210 8,641,239 3.8 1 61.2 2 16.0 2 16.0 26,371 1 65 1,992 282 347 10,642,569 6.1 2 187.2 6 30.7		IransAlfa Utilities	2,737	5 C	5 0	639	3 8	122	6.508.822	7.5	4	98.2	က	13.0	18.7
Hydro 1,003	21	New Brunswick Power	3,280	o c	n P	510	2 6	52	2.501,187	13.6	10	203.9	8	15.0	20.8
Here Harden Control (1) 1, 1354 (1) 1, 135		Nild, & Labrador riyoro	200,1	0 0	3 3	133	3.	57	2,527,283	8.7	IJ	52.6	-	6.1	22.6
4,135 0 25 147 10,642,569 6.1 2 187.2 6 30.7 wer Inc. 2,337 1 1 642,569 103 151 4,451,360 10.8 6 339.0 11 31.4 26,981 3 732 31,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 718.7 13 42.9 1d. 1,423 0 35 674 69 104 2,904,506 12.1 7 232.1 9 19.3 1d. 1,423 0 35 17 69 104 2,904,506 12.1 7 232.1 9 19.3 1d. 1,423 0 529 13,318 1,076 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 7 232.1 9 19.3 wer 859 0 29 13,18 1 12.7 9 191.8 7 15.1 Ne 1,039 13		Edmonton Power	405,1	5 C	4 6	730	177	210	8,641,239	3.8	-	61.2	7	16.0	22.6
2,350 0 48 1,509 103 151 4,451,360 10.8 6 339.0 11 31.4 26,981 3 732 31,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 718.7 13 42.9 26,981 3 732 31,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 718.7 13 42.9 1,423 0 35 67 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 8 303.9 10 25.2 2,4,969 0 529 13,318 1,076 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 8 303.9 10 25.2 2,547 0 69 1,039 131 200 5,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 7 15.1 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 875 0 56 1,066		Manitoba Hydro	4,135	o +	ט נ	1 992	282	347	10.642,569	6.1	2	187.2	9	30.7	32.6
26,981 3 732 31,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12 718.7 13 42.9 26,981 3 732 31,379 791 1,523 43,659,621 16.8 12.1 7 232.1 9 19.3 1,423 0 35 67 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 8 303.9 10 25.2 2,4969 0 529 13,318 1,076 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 8 303.9 10 25.2 2,547 0 69 1,039 131 200 5,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 7 15.1 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 875 0 58 1,213 46 104 1,717,428 33.8 13 706.3 12 20.9 608 0 56 <t< td=""><td></td><td>B.C. Hydro</td><td>0,3/1</td><td>- (</td><td>3 9</td><td>2004</td><td>103</td><td>151</td><td>4 451.360</td><td>10.8</td><td>9</td><td>339.0</td><td>11</td><td>31.4</td><td>33.9</td></t<>		B.C. Hydro	0,3/1	- (3 9	2004	103	151	4 451.360	10.8	9	339.0	11	31.4	33.9
26,981 3 732 7373 731 731 732 732 732 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 734 </td <td></td> <td>Nova Scotia Power Inc.</td> <td>2,350</td> <td>)</td> <td></td> <td>1,000</td> <td>20.</td> <td>. 503</td> <td>43 659 621</td> <td>16.8</td> <td>12</td> <td>718.7</td> <td>13</td> <td>42.9</td> <td>34.9</td>		Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2,350)		1,000	20.	. 503	43 659 621	16.8	12	718.7	13	42.9	34.9
1,423 0 35 6/4 69 104 2,504,500 12.1 8 303.9 10 25.2 24,969 0 529 13,318 1,076 1,665 43,828,713 12.7 9 191.8 7 15.1 2,547 0 69 1,039 131 200 5,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 7 15.1 r 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 875 0 58 1,213 46 104 1,717,428 33.8 13 706.3 12 20.9 608 0 56 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 19.0 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5		Hydro Quebec	26,981	n -		8/0/10	5	77.	2 004 606	121	7	232.1	o	19.3	35.8
0 24,969 0 529 13,318 1,076 1,605 43,828,713 12.1 9 191.8 7 15.1 Power 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 Power 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 ry 875 0 58 1,213 46 104 1,717,428 33.8 13 706.3 12 20.9 dro 608 0 56 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 19.0 o 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5		Alberta Power Ltd.	1,423	0		6/4	0	101	2,304,300		. 0	303 0	10	25.2	36.6
2,547 0 69 1,039 131 200 5,417,304 12.7 9 191.8 7 13.1 Power 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 17.1 R.		Ontario Hydro	24,969	0		13,318	1,076	1,605	43,828,713	- 1 V	o (17.1	98.9
Power 859 0 29 319 38 67 1,744,283 16.6 11 182.9 5 11.0 ry 875 0 58 1,213 46 104 1,717,428 33.8 13 706.3 12 20.9 dro 608 0 56 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 19.0 dro 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5 o 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5		Sask. Power	2,547	0		1,039	131	200	5,417,304	12./	ָר ת	8.181	~ L	· ·	7 B Z
875 0 58 1,213 46 104 1,717,428 33.8 13 706.3 12 20.9 608 0 56 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 19.0 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5		Nild W.I. & Power	859	0	59	319	38	29	1,744,283	16.6	=	182.9	ဂ	0.1.0	1 000
o 608 0 56 1,066 24 80 1,158,515 48.3 14 920.1 14 19.0 1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5			975	<	5.5	1.213	46	104	1,717,428	33.8	13	706.3	12	20.9	90.0
1,662 0 216 6,800 65 281 2,633,638 82.0 15 2,582.0 15 31.5		City of Calgary		, ,) U	1 066	24	80	1,158,515	48.3	14	920.1	14	19.0	69.1
1,662 0 216 6,800 b5 281 2,033,030 32.0		Winnipeg Hyara	909	>		0001	1 0	3 6	063 663 6	0 08	ī.	2 582.0	15	31.5	106.7
		Toronto Hydro	1,662	0		6,800	65	281	2,633,638	0.20	2	2,00,1	2		

39.0 56.3 47.7	-
	1
0 0 5	•
- N W	
7 b c	
21,1 17,5 19.3	
460.0 635.3 547.7	
0 2 5	
4 6 10	
19.2 32.0 25.6	
Ct C C	
8 8 8	
0 4 0	
7. E &	
28 28	
F 7 7	
S 0 %	
143,128,155 9,189,449 152,317,604	
4,964 412 5,376	
37	
00 Ft CI	
8 2 #	
ol	
196 2,958 100 225 705 3,183	
<u>т</u> в н	
6 5 2	ı
- 6	ı
9	
	Į.
10 P 0	l
8 = 2	١
N N	
	ı
4 0 4	ı
	١
	ı
	ı
2 3 20	
7	1
T 4 8	1
	١.
	۱
_ =	
	1
2 3 €	
1 1 1 1 b	
5 5 F	
<u>_</u>	1
ਕ ਕ ਤੋਂ	
6 6 E	1
F F O	
	4
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	W

[•] Frequency Reduction Award Winner

Canadlan k

Summary of Electric Utility Entire Operations in 1994 Group 1 - over 500 employees Inlury. Illness Freq. Rele 11.8 2.3 3.8 5.9 5.9 6.1 6.3 6.7 10.2 Avg. Days Losi Per Disabiing Injury/ -361.2 6.5 43.4 19.0 48.9 29.7 237.2 40.8 18.2 24.6 48.5 57.3 10.1 35.2 42.7 Seventy 14 9 Ø 6 12 13 19 7 Severthy Rate 23.9 1051.8 2637.2 274.5 621.3 305.4 1634.3 87.0 640.6 145.2 215.0 720.2 1258.4 523.3 493.1 178.7 Disabil ng Frequency Renk Ø 6 7 8 M S 12 10 13 14 Disabiing Frequency Rala 2.3 0.7 1.3 2.5 1.4 2.0 5.9 2.2 2.7 2.1 1.7 3.1 2.4 7.2 Actual Employee Hours 4,353,526 10,413,780 2,445,775 41,528,949 8,273,365 1,845,115 8,875,016 2,328,641 5,637,231 41,188,973 5,434,497 2,810,813 4,149,606 1,174,483 133,189,781 1,605,027 Total No. of fnjurles/ llinesces 1,218 1,266 259 3,982 402 44 122 301 183 8 155 63 68 82 Medical Aid Injury/ Ilinese 839 202 136 33 23 234 49 695 34 106 2,546 289 27 72 35 Days Lost Charged 2,780 2,859 408 1,478 104 6,141 . 437 25,590 12,681 13,521 473 1,182 1,158 59,702 9,335 892 Olsabiing Injury/ Iliness 183 16 17 23 523 427 29 28 49 42 64 67 57 47 47 Fatal Injurtes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Avarage No. of Employees 4,645 79,145 2,242 1,559 2,774 6,336 1,202 25,400 22,492 4,018 2,580 1.375 840 74,509 807 2,291 Nfld. & Labrador Hydro New Brunswick Power Newfoundland Power Alberta Power Ltd. Nova Scotia Power **FransAlta Utilities** Edmonton Power Manitoba Hydro Winnipeg Hydro FOTAL CROUP II FOTAL GROUP ! City of Calgary Hydro-Québec Ontarlo Hydro Hams of Ullilly SaskPower B.C. Hydro All Injury! Illness Freq. Rank

> EYX 214 831 6488 96/10/90 DS: TT

49.4

556.3

2,2

142,062,797

4,384

2,785

78,037

1,599

GRAND TOTAL



Summary of Electric Utility Entire Operations in 1995 Group I - over 500 employees

(AU Injury/ Illness Freq. Rate 5.6 5.7 15.0 8.1 8.3 4.9 5.1 5.3 5.3 5.7 5.8 5.9 6.4 8.7 10.7 1.8 ~ Disabiing Injury/ Iliness Avg. Days Lost Per 33.8 10.2 31.5 141.9 142.4 14.6 14.3 23.6 11.3 17.2 40.5 30.8 29.7 5.0 22.7 5.9 36.4 132.7 Severity Rank œ S 15 m 6 13 14 12 9 7 175.2 306.9 314.5 940.9 6468.6 Severity 131.4 153.9 233.9 283.9 1547.5 869.9 1228.2 83.9 93.3 22 55.3 99.9 Rate Disabling Frequency Rank œ 6 9 5 4 Ţ 13 14 5 M 2 9 17 Disabiing Frequency Rate 1.9 3.4 1.9 2.2 4.3 6.1 0.9 2.2 5 1.9 9.1 1.9 1,4 5, 24 7. 0.7 2 1,507,059 137,112,370 7,918,673 145,031,043 Actual Employee Hours 7,905,775 4,769,745 1,490,958 40,669,213 1,122,499 2,258,417 1,826,153 11,062,560 40,004,781 3,889,293 4,066,208 2,782,597 5,482,550 Total No. of Injuries/ 4,128 3,806 320 1,168 246 8 R 20 45 7 \$ 291 1,151 124 198 65 81 Medical Ald Injury/ Illness 2,528 184 2,712 35 8 33 32 27 13 41 28 52 82 82 679 833 88 145 Days Lost/ Charged 1,418 1,387 44,514 1,297 43,127 1,039 341 278 Z 11,546 3,480 7,381 101 2,587 H 4,777 7,261 Disabiing Injury/ Iliness 1,276 138 1,412 489 46 2 35 5 4 23 71 300 45 36 22 32 Fatal Injuries 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Average No. of Employees 1,141 76,750 4,208 80,958 594 2,985 25,613 21,369 2,028 2,554 742 8 2,366 1,366 5,982 4,083 C.V.G. Electric Del Caroni NRd. & Labrador Hydro New Brunswick Power Nfid. Light & Power Alberta Power Ltd. Nova Scotta Power TOTAL CROUP II Name of Utility FOTAL GROUP I **Edmonton Power** TransAlta Utilities Winnipeg Hydro GRAND TOTAL Manitoba Hydro City of Calgary Ontarlo Hydro Hydro-Québec B.C. Hydro Injury/ Freq. m 2 5 4 'n 9 12 13 7

· · ·

^{**} Frequency Reduction Award Winner

[•] Information estimated, based on the formula 2,000 hours per employee (average work year), divided by actual employee hours

Accident/Illness Experience 1.1 All Injury/Illness Frequency Rate

1996 Annual Data

Gro	up I - Over 10,000 Employe	es	Gr	oup III - Under 500 Employe	ees
1	Ontario Hydro	5.8	1	Industries James Maclaren Inc.	0.0
	Hydro-Québec	5.9	2	Deer Lake Power Co. Ltd.	0.0
2	11) 000 (0000)		3	York Hydro	1.2
	COMPOSITE GROUP I	5.8	4	Hydro Mississauga	4.2
			5	Cornwall Electric	4.3
		8	6	Markham Hydro	4.6
	a .		7	Saint John Power Com.	4.8
Gro	oup II - Over 500 Employees	S ,	8	Cambridge & N Dumfries HEC	5.0
	der 10,000		9	North York Hydro	5.1
Oii			10	Ottawa Hydro	5.9
1	Edmonton Power	1.5	11	Maritime Electric	7.0
2	TransAlta Utilities Corp.	2.8	12	Yukon Electrical Co. Ltd.	7.3
3	New Brunswick Power	4.8	13	Great Lakes Power Ltd.	7.6
4	Nova Scotia Power Inc.	4.9	14	Churchill Falls (Labrador) Corp.	8.3
5	Nfld. & Labrador Hydro	5.2	15	Scarborough PUC	8.8
6	Manitoba Hydro	5.4	16	Penticton, City of	8.8
7	B.C. Hydro	5.6	17	Gloucester Hydro	9.3
8	Newfoundland Power	5.9	18	West Kootenay Power	9.5
9	Alberta Power Ltd.	7.1	19	Medicine Hat Electr'c Utility	11.0
10	SaskPower	9.9	20	Caribbean Utilities Co. Ltd	12.1
11	City of Calgary Electric System	10.5	21	Red Deer, City of	12.1
12	Winnipeg Hydro	13.0	22	Hydro Sherbrooke	14.4
•-			23	Nepean Hydro	15.4
			24	Swift Current Light & Power	25.3
	COMPOSITE GROUP II	5.8		COMPOSITE GROUP III	7.5
7 6 4 -	COMPOSITE CEA	5.9	ž	*	



1. Accident/Illness Experience

1.1 All Injury/Illness Frequency Rate

1997 Annual Data

Group I - Over 10,000 Employees	9	Gro	up III - Under 500 Employees	
~~ 1	4.72	1	Deer Lake Power Co. Ltd.	0.00
	6.52	2	Maine & New Brunswick	0.00
2 Hydro-Quebec	•,-		Electrical	
COMPOSITE GROUP I	5.53	3	La Compagnie Hydroelectrique	0.00
COM OPILE CHOOL 1		18	Manicouagan	
Group II - Over 500 Employees,		4	Markham Hydro	0.00
		5	Hydro Mississauga	0.73
Under 10,000	2.17	6	Churchill Falls (Lab.)	1.92
1 Edmonton Power	2.17	7	North York Hydro	2.22
Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2.60	8	Saint John Power Commission	2.26
3 TransAlta Utilites Corp.	3.43	9	St. Thomas PUC	2.76
4 Manitoba Hydro	4.60	10	Cornwall Electric	2.91
5 Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro	5.34	11	Ottawa Hydro	2.93
6 BC Hydro 7 Newfoundland Power	6.44	12	Red Deer, City of	2.97
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.19	13	Great Lakes Power	2.99
8 ENMAX Corporation 9 Alberta Power Ltd.	7.61	14	Canadian Niagara Power Co. Ltd	5.19
The state of the s	8.15	15	York Hydro	5.99
4 40 0 000	11.22	16	Saskatoon, City of	6.04
11 Toronto Hydro (1997) 12 Winnipeg Hydro	17.53	17	Maritime Electric	6.21
12 Wilmipeg Hydro	2	18	Scarborough PUC	6.62
COMPOSITE GROUP II	5.39	19	Cambridge&N. Dumfries HEC	8.74
COM OBILE GROOT =	-	20	Hydro Sherbrooke	8.85
		21	West Kootenay Power	11.25
		22	Yukon Electrical Co. Ltd.	14.61
		23	Industries James Maclaren Inc.	15.92
		24	Lethbridge, City of	16.03
		25	Gloucester Hydro	17.65
		26	Orillia Water Light & Power	20.98
		27	Penticton, City of	26.41
		28	Swift Current Light&Power	35.47
		29	Medicine Hat Electric Utility	35.72
	-	· · · · ·	COMPOSITE GROUP III	6.13



COMPOSITE CEA

1. Accident/Illness Experience

1.1 All Injury/Illness Frequency Rate - Annual Data

Group I - Over 10,000 Employ	rees	Group III - Under 500 Employe	es
1 Hydro-Québec	3.71	1 Deer Lake Power Co. Ltd	0.00
2 Ontario Hydro	4.40	2 Maine & New Brunswick Electr.	0.00
•		3 Swift Current Light&Power	0.00
COMPOSITE GROUP I	4.09	4 Yukon Electrical Co. Ltd.	0.00
		5 Ottawa Hydro	0.74
		6 Cornwall Electric	2.93
Group II - Over 500 Employees		7 Hydro Mississauga	3.38
Under 10,000		8 Saskatoon, City of	4.14
1 TransAlta Utilites Corp.	1.94	9 Saint John Power Commission	4.46
2 Nova Scotia Power Inc.	2.21	10 Industries James Maclaren Inc.	4.88
3 Edmonton Power	3.02	11 Great Lakes Power	5.85
4 Manitoba Hydro	3.17	12 Churchill Falls (Lab.)	6.11
5 Nfld&Labrador Hydro	3.67	13 Cambridge&N. Dumfries HEC	6.27
6 New Brunswick Power	3.89	14 Hydro Sherbrooke	6,75
7 Alberta Power Ltd	5.60	15 Bermuda Electric Comp	7.08
8 Enmax Corporation	5.65	16 Maritime Electric	7.43
9 Newfoundland Power	5.67	17 Orillia Water Light & Power	7.56
10 BC Hydro	6.11	18 Penticton, City of	9.73
11 SaskPower	6.78	19 West Kootenay Power	9.79
12 Toronto Hydro (1998)	8.81	20 Canadian Niagra Power Co. Ltd	10.04
13 Winnipeg Hydro	12.61	21 Lethbridge, City of	13.11
15 11 minpog 11 mo	12.01	22 Red Deer, City of	14.24
COMPOSITE GROUP II:	4.90	23 La Comp. Hydro. Manicouagan	24.89
		COMPOSITE GROUP III	5.74

.. COMPOSITE CEA:

4 47

Revised April 1999



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILTIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Application of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

for (1) Approval of the Proposed Sale of PSE's Share of the Centralia Facilities, and (2) Authorization to Amortize Gain Over a Five-Year Period.

In the Matter of Application of

AVISTA CORPORATION

for Authority to Sell Its Interest In the Coal-Fired Centralia Power Plant.

In the Matter of Application of

PACIFICORP

for an Order Approving the Sale of its Interest in (1) The Centralia Steam Electric Generating Plant, (2) The Ratebased Portion of the Centralia Coal Mine, and (3) Related Facilities; For a Determination of the Amount of and the Proper Ratemaking Treatment of the Gain Associated with the Sale; and for an EWG Determination. DOCKET NO. UE-991409

DOCKET NO. UE-991255

DOCKET NO. UE-991262

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GORDON HOWINS

WUTC DOCKET NO. UE-991255
EXHIBIT NO. 802
ADMIT W/D REJECT

- 1 Q: Please provide your name and business address.
- 2 A: My name is Gordon Howins. My business address is 1555 South Fawcett
- 3 Avenue, Tacoma, WA 98402.

4 Background

- 5 Q: For whom do you work and in what capacity?
- 6 A: I am the elected Business Manager for Local No. 612 of the International Union
- 7 of Operating Engineers. I have held this position since February 1992.
- 8 Q: Please describe your work history prior to serving as Business Manager.
- 9 A: I worked as a Business Representative for Local 612 for thirteen years before
- 10 becoming the Business Manager. Prior to that I worked for 10 years in the heavy
- 11 construction industry.
- 12 Q: Whom does Local 612 represent?
- 13 A: Among other bargaining units, Local 612 is the certified collective bargaining
- 14 representative for approximately 400 employees at the Centralia coal mine. Currently,
- 15 the Union has a contract with the Centralia Mining Company, which is a subsidiary of
- 16 PacificCorp.
- 17 Q. Have you filed testimony with the commissions before?
- 18 A. No.

19 **Summary of Testimony**

- 20 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 21 A. My testimony will discuss TransAlta's record on safety and operational stability
- as they pertain to the larger public interest.

1 Safety

- 2 Q. How important is the buyer's record on safety?
- 3 A. For Local 612 it is extremely important, perhaps the top priority for our members
- 4 in assessing the qualifications of any company looking to purchase the Centralia facility.
- 5 A company's safety record says a lot about its philosophy, character, and priorities. It
- 6 provides a fairly reliable way for us to anticipate what type of operator a company will
- 7 be. Safety is important on several levels: First and foremost for the men and women
- 8 working at the mine and plant. Second, it is my experience that companies that run safe
- 9 plants also operate a well-maintained and efficient plant. This translates into fewer
- 10 operational interruptions, more efficiency, and greater output. Third, from a community
- perspective, a safe facility will be a crisis free facility. By that I mean free from
- 12 emergency or catastrophic situations.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with exhibit No. 801?
- 14 A. Yes. It is data on TransAlta's safety record for about the past ten years. It was
- prepared by the Canadian Electrical Association (CEA).
- O. What is your view of TransAlta's record on safety and workplace injury?
- 17 A. The data demonstrate that TransAlta takes safety and workplace injuries
- 18 seriously. As a result, they are one of the safest electrical utility companies in Canada.
- 19 In fact according to their annual report (PPL Application Exhibit No. 5, TransAlta
- 20 Annual Report to Shareholders, page 26), for the past three years TransAlta has received
- 21 the CEA's Award of Excellence for having one of the best safety records in Canada.
- 22 TransAlta's literature reflects a commitment to taking proactive, preventative steps in the

- area of safety. This seems to reflect an understanding that employee morale, safety, and
- 2 productivity are bound up together.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with exhibit No. 803?
- 4 A. Yes, it is an excerpt from TransAlta's 1998 Sustainable Development Report from
- 5 their website. It elaborates on how the injury incident frequency rate and the injury
- 6 incident rates are calculated in the CEA data. The incident severity rate indicates the
- 7 number of injury incidents or occupational illnesses for every 200,000 hours worked.
- 8 The severity rate reflects the number of calendar days lost due to injury incidents for
- 9 every 200,000 hours worked.
- 10 Q. Describe other TransAlta safety highlights?
- 11 A. According to exhibit No. 803, several of TransAlta's Canadian plants have
- 12 achieved safety milestones. The Keephills thermal generation plant has gone eight years
- without a lost time incident, while the Alberta hydroelectric facilities achieved a new
- record for no lost time or medical aid injuries in 1998.

15 **Operational Stability**

- 16 Q. Is operational stability in the public's interest?
- 17 A. Yes. Operational stability is bound up with quality service and customer
- 18 satisfaction and is undeniably in the public interest. Along with safety, one of the
- 19 paramount considerations the Commission ought to evaluate is whether an incoming
- buyer can provide uninterrupted, dependable, quality service to the state's consumers.
- Q. What factors contribute to operational stability of a power plant and mine?
- 22 A. One of the key factors that foster a stable operational environment is positive
- relations between the company and the men and women whom it employs.

- 1 Q. How does TransAlta measure up in this area?
- 2 A. TransAlta's history of stability in the area of operations is noteworthy.
- 3 According to exhibit No. 802, it has enjoyed strike free relationships with two of its
- 4 workforces' unions for fifty years. In my experience, companies that treat workers and
- 5 their collective bargaining agents with respect, permit significant union involvement, and
- 6 engage in open communication, foster a relationship based on trust. Companies with this
- 7 approach typically enjoy productive, stable relationships with their employees. This
- 8 translates into operational stability. TransAlta's record in Canada reflects this approach.
- 9 Q. Please describe TransAlta's approach to environmental concerns.
- 10 A. TransAlta appears to be a leader among Canadian industry with respect to taking
- initiative on reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Annual Report at 25), having
- already reduced its emissions of GHG to below its 1990 levels. Also, TransAlta is
- 13 currently working proactively to bring its Canadian facilities in compliance with
- 14 international environmental standards.
- 15 O. Explain why this is significant.
- 16 A. Given the need to install and operate the scrubbers at the Centralia plant, it is
- important that the buyer have a demonstrated commitment to environmental concerns.
- 18 The sale of the mine and power plant will enable the facility to continue to operate in
- 19 compliance with state environmental requirements. TransAlta has committed to
- 20 completing the installation of the scrubbers on the power plant, which will drastically
- 21 reduce its emissions. These scrubbers, currently under construction, will make the plant
- one of the cleanest facilities in the nation.

- 1 Q. Do you support the application seeking approval for the sale of the Centralia
- 2 facility to TransAlta?
- 3 A. I do for the reasons mentioned above. Additionally, this facility provides
- 4 hundreds of family wage jobs, jobs that provide benefits and livable wages, to an area
- 5 that is economically troubled. Thurston and Lewis Counties cannot afford to lose quality
- 6 family wage jobs like this.
- 7 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 8 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILTIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Application of

DOCKET NO. UE-991409

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

for (1) Approval of the Proposed Sale of PSE's Share of the Centralia Facilities, and (2) Authorization to Amortize Gain Over a Five-Year Period.

In the Matter of Application of

AVISTA CORPORATION

for Authority to Sell Its Interest In the Coal-Fired Centralia Power Plant.

In the Matter of Application of

PACIFICORP

for an Order Approving the Sale of its Interest in (1) The Centralia Steam Electric Generating Plant, (2) The Ratebased Portion of the Centralia Coal Mine, and (3) Related Facilities; For a Determination of the Amount of and the Proper Ratemaking Treatment of the Gain Associated with the Sale; and for an EWG Determination. DOCKET NO. UE-991255

DOCKET NO. UE-991262

EXHIBIT OF GORDON P. HOWINS

WUTC DOCKET NO. UE - 991255

EXHIBIT NO. 803

ADMIT W/D REJECT

1998 SD Report

Environment, Health & Safety

Our integrated corporate EH&S Management System drives the development of programs that address specific risk and compliance issues throughout the business. It also ensures that we meet standards that keep us firmly on track to achieve operational excellence.

Sustainable Development

Performance Review

Environment, Health & Safety Greenhouse gas management Sustainable growth management 1998 key accomplishments

Statisical Information

TransAlta's EH&S Management System has two key components: the Environmental Management System (EMS) and the Health & Safety Management System (H&SMS). Both systems are supported by customized programs to address particular risks and regular audits.

Our dynamic and evolving EH&S Management System is flexible, to allow us to identify new issues and programs as required, and rigorous, to ensure that all issues are addressed to the same demanding standards. In 1998 and 1999, our focus is on improving the environmental component to meet the International Organization for Standardization's (ISO) 14001 standard in some areas of the business.

As a member of the Canadian Electricity Association, TransAlta is participating in a new industry-wide program that includes implementing an environmental management system that meets the ISO 14001 standard at our Alberta generating facilities and Transmission & Distribution operations. The ISO 14001 standard requires well defined processes for how the EMS is designed, operated, maintained, reviewed and improved. Through the Canadian Electricity Association's Environmental Commitment and Responsibility program, ours is the first industry sector to announce a timetable for establishing an EMS that is consistent with the requirements of ISO 14001.

The EMS at our Alberta generating facili ties will meet the ISO 14001 standard by the end of 1999. This work includes separate facility-specific programs to address air, water, waste and chemical management. One of our thermal generating plants will register its EMS through a third-party registrar, who will audit the system to confirm it meets ISO requirements. The other generation facilities' EMS will be selfdeclared consistent with the ISO standard by year end 1999.

Our Transmission & Distribution operations in Alberta will enhance their EMS to meet the ISO 14001 standard by 2002, on track with the schedule set out by the Environmental Commitment and Responsibility program.

TransAlta's independent power plants in Ontario have an EMS in place that is based on the ISO framework. An independent audit of the EMS at these facilities was conducted in 1997. As new cogeneration plants and other international operations

come on-stream, we will develop and implement an EMS consistent with TransAlta's corporate policy and standards.

The number of environmental incidents that occur at a facility is often a good indication of how well its environmental management system is working. Our Alberta electricity generating facilities continue to be ranked in the top tier with respect to reducing environmental incidents. In Ontario, our three cogeneration plants, which have been operating for a combined 14 years (two plants for six years and one for two years), have recorded two minor environmental incidents (click here for more information).

Health and safety performance improves In 1996, TransAlta set a goal to meet and then beat, by 2001, the safety records of other companies that report the world's best safety performance. To achieve this goal, we've introduced several initiatives throughout the company:

- employee-led Safety Challenge steering committees in Generation and Transmission & Distribution took on the task of driving performance excellence in safety;
- one of the priorities for the groups was implementing safe work plans -- a process used to identify risks and develop plans to manage risks before beginning work; and
- a new customized safety awards program also addresses specific high-risk work and workplace issues.

And we continue to make gains to achieve our safety goal:

- the Keephills thermal generation plant reached a new safety record in April 1998: eight years without a lost-time incident;
- our Ontario cogeneration plants have never had a lost-time incident;
- our Alberta hydroelectric facilities achieved a new record for no lost-time or medical-aid incidents in 1998; and
- employees in Transmission & Distribution reached one million consecutive hours without a lost-time incident in August 1998.

In 1998, we introduced several new programs to address key workplace health issues. More than 700 employees participated in workshops to learn about the importance of ergonomics and back care in preventing injuries and improving health. As well, we initiated a new disability case management policy and process to make sure active treatment plans are in place and reviewed regularly to help employees make a safe and healthy return to work. Additional benefits of the program are improved productivity and employee morale and lower costs.

Injury incident frequency rate

1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1992
2.50	2.30	2.80	2.80	2.60	1.99
7.80	6.00	5.80	5.80	5.39	-44
	2.50	2.50 2.30	2.50 2.30 2.80	2.50 2.30 2.80 2.80	2.50 2.30 2.80 2.80 2.60

Including Transists Alberta based operations only

^{**} CEA secrete — 1993 indernation is not yet available

The injury incident frequency rate indicates the number of injury incidents or occupational illness for every 200,000 hours worked. TransAlta has consistently had a frequency rate well below the average of Canadian electric utilities of comparable size.

Injury incident severity rate

	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1996
TransAlta*	24.04	23.90	83.90	10.70	13.06	300.5
Canadian Electricity Association (CEA)**	92.00	523.30	314,50	65.50	178.29	_##

^{*} Including Recolific Alberta based operations only

Severity rate indicates the number of calendar days lost due to injury incidents for every 200,000 hours worked.

Despite improvements in safety performance, TransAlta had a fatality in 1998. This tragic event has further reinforced our commitment to safety. Prior to 1998, TransAlta had not had a fatality for 10 years.

Auditing provides critical check Auditing is a key element of the EH&S Management System to help ensure TransAlta meets its objectives of compliance and continuous improvement. The EMS includes two auditing programs. Arthur D. Little developed TransAlta's Compliance Auditing Program, which ensures our adherence to government regulations and operating permits and approvals. Our Management System Integrity Auditing Program, developed by PricewaterhouseCoopers, tests our perf o rmance against management system standards. TransAlta's auditing program requires facilities to be audited on average once every three years depending on risk and facility performance.

For more information about TransAlta's environment, health and safety plans & targets, click here.

Return to Previous Page

Copyright © 1997,1998,1999 TransAlta. All Rights Reserved Please read our Disclaimer

^{*} NOSA average — 1999 information is not yet available