

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY IN**
2 **THIS PROCEEDING.**

3 A. I have a master's degree in economics, and a bachelor's degree in agricultural
4 economics from the University of Wyoming. I completed the Sixth Annual
5 Western Utility Rate Seminar co-sponsored by the NARUC Water Committee,
6 the Utah Public Service Commission and the Division of Continuing Education,
7 University of Utah. I have also completed the Annual Regulatory Studies
8 Program co-sponsored by NARUC and the Graduate School of Business
9 Administration and Lifelong Education Programs, Michigan State University. I
10 have completed additional coursework in finance and accounting, and
11 negotiation skills at the University of Washington, Graduate School of Business.

12 I have been employed at the Commission since January 1986, when I was
13 hired as an economist to work on implementation of the Regulatory Flexibility
14 Act of 1985. I am a senior member of the telecommunications staff. I assumed
15 my current position in November 2000.

16 In my tenure at the Commission, I have served as economic advisor to the
17 arbitrator in arbitrations under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of
18 1996. I have also served as the Commission's telecommunications policy advisor,
19 and in that role I provided policy advice to the Commission on several major

1 cases, including: the “generic” cost of service case --UT-960369; the cost of
2 universal service in UT-980311; and competitive classification of U S WEST’s DS3
3 services in UT-990022. While serving as policy advisor, I also actively held a
4 position on the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioner’s
5 (NARUC) telecommunications staff subcommittee. I also staffed on the Federal-
6 State Joint Board on Universal Service for the States’ side. I was chief of staff for
7 the Rural Task Force appointed by the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal
8 Service. I co-authored a paper, *Closing the Gap: Universal Service for Low-Income*
9 *Households, 2000*, with the Telecommunications Industries Analysis Project, an
10 impartial research forum supported by NARUC, which was located at Harvard
11 University.

12 I attended Industry Numbering Committee Local Number Portability
13 Workshops, working to find an interim solution for local number portability in
14 1994. I coordinated the first multi-state commission video-conference on
15 operational support systems issues in 1997. I have attended numerous technical
16 training opportunities provided by former ILECs GTE, Pacific Northwest Bell,
17 and U S WEST.

18 From October 2001 to October 2002 I took a one-year leave of absence to
19 work for Washington State University as the assistant director of the Center to

1 Bridge the Digital Divide, which is in the Colleges of Agriculture, and
2 Cooperative Extension. In that capacity I worked on supply, demand, and policy
3 issues in information communications technology. I performed research, and
4 developed and managed partnership projects with the AT&T Foundation, Avista
5 Communications, The Barrett Group, Inc., CenturyTel, ComCARE Alliance,
6 Legg-Mason, the National Exchange Carrier Association, Qwest, Sprint, Verizon
7 Foundation, the Office of FCC Commissioner Michael Copps, the Washington
8 Office of Trade and Economic Development, Western Wireless, the Washington
9 cities of LaConner, Mount Vernon, Prosser (with Benton REA), Forks, Deer Park,
10 and Dayton.

11 I have been a repeat guest lecturer at an annual seminar, “The Basics of
12 Regulation” sponsored by NARUC and the Center for Public Utilities, New
13 Mexico State University. I presented material for beginners from the public and
14 private sectors on the status of the telecommunications industry, implementation
15 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and on methods for estimating the cost
16 of service in telecommunications.

17

1 **Q. Have you presented testimony before this Commission in other cases?**

2 A. Yes. I have pre-filed written testimony and testified before the Commission in

3 various proceedings, including:

- 4 1. Dockets U-87-1566-P, competitive classification of billing and collection
- 5 services for United Telephone of the Northwest
- 6 2. Docket U-88-1997-P competitive classification of billing and collection
- 7 services for Pacific Northwest Bell;
- 8 3. Docket U-88-2186-P, competitive classification of centrex and other
- 9 enhanced calling features offered by Contel of the Northwest,
- 10 4. U-88-2370-J, determining the proper classification of Metrolink,
- 11 5. Docket U-88-2188-T, U S WEST in the matter of partial payments issues,
- 12 6. Docket U-88-2417-F, complaint by Metro-Net Services against U S WEST,
- 13 7. Dockets U-88-1719-F, a complaint by GTE v. Metrolink
- 14 8. Docket U-89-3175-T, U S WEST tariff regarding arbitrage of overlapping
- 15 extended area service areas,
- 16 9. Docket U-89-3294-J, determining the proper classification of Phonelink,
- 17 10. Docket UT-901029, registration of Electric Lightwave, decided by State
- 18 Supreme Court, 123 Wn.2d 530, 869 P.2d 1045 (1994).
- 19 11. Dockets UT-910776 and UT-910777, registration and competitive
- 20 classification of Digital Direct of Seattle, decided by State Supreme Court
- 21 123 Wn.2d 530, 869 P.2d 1045 (1994).
- 22 12. Docket UT-910969, competitive classification of Wiltel,
- 23 13. Docket TG-920234, determining the proper classification of U S Ecology
- 24 (low level radioactive waste disposal),
- 25 14. Docket UT-920546, competitive classification of International Pacific,
- 26 15. Docket UT-920632, competitive classification of Paytel Northwest,
- 27 16. Docket UT-920174, payphone providers complaint against U S WEST,
- 28 17. Docket UT-941464 et al. generic interconnection, and
- 29 18. Dockets UT-951244 and UT-951342, WUTC v U S WEST and MFS and
- 30 TCG v U S WEST respectively.

31
32 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE THE DESCRIPTION OF YOUR CREDENTIALS?**

33
34 A. Yes.