

Public Comments by Case

Total Comments: 11

In Favor: 0

Opposed: 10

Undecided: 1

Filing Support	Commenter	Source	Comments
No			
	Maureen Chandler	E-mail	<p>I am living on Social Security. I oppose the current PacifiCorp rate increase.</p> <p>docket number UE-230172.</p> <p>Maureen Chandler</p>
	Jack L. Parker	E-mail	<p>It seems like every year PP asks for a rate increase. When does it end??</p> <p>Being on a fixed income for many years with Soc. Sec rising at a rate below inflation does nothing but inhibit spending power. GAS - FOOD - CLOTHES ETC Gas/diesel prices higher than any state in the union!!!!!!!!!! Come on!!</p> <p>We need to stop raising costs and start lowering them. I suggest a RATE DECREASE OF 10%.</p> <p>That would make customers a lot happier.</p> <p>Jack L. Parker</p>
	Kim Foley	E-mail	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I am writing to express concerns about the proposed rate increase by Pacific Power. I am a single person who is frugal in life style and employ every measure I can to conserve electricity in an effort to keep power bill manageable and to not over burden the environment. However, my bill has increased continuously even though I have continued to find new ways to conserve.</p> <p>I don't use a lot of electricity. According to my bill I'm use less than most neighbors but my efforts do not impact the constant rate increases.</p>

		<p>Over the years, I have purchased energy saving windows and doors. I have insulated. I have installed a white roof. I bought energy efficient appliances. I use led lights. I close off unused rooms. I close curtains in the heat or cold and open windows and doors to let cool air in during cool hours of the warm days. I cook very little and use all appliances sparingly. I honestly do not know what else I can do.</p> <p>I hope to retire someday and wonder how I will be able to afford power. I have a small 1,700 square foot home and I live alone. In 15 years my bill went from \$50 to \$175. I can only imagine that it will soon be more than my house insurance and property taxes bill. In three years I will be 70 and I am working very hard to pay off my home by then. At the rate of the increases by PP I think it won't matter; that I won't be able to afford to live here. But, I won't be able to afford to move elsewhere as the power bill is inescapable.</p> <p>Why are we not doing more to use solar or wind? But I have little faith that will help the consumer as companies will value profit over citizen yet again.</p> <p>We need your help to protect the consumer. WA state creates a lot of power. We, the citizens should realize the benefit of living in this state in a measurable way.</p> <p>I hope that the ATGs office does everything they can to represent our citizens and these rate increases are not allowed again.</p> <p>Thank you for your efforts. Sincerely, Kim Foley</p>
Kerri Schindel	E-mail	<p>My name: Kerri Schindel (kerriscakes63@gmail.com) Company Name: Pacificorp Description of filing: rate increase Docket Number: UE-230172</p> <p>I am unable to attend the public hearing regarding the rate increase proposed by Pacificorp. I OBJECT! We are getting run out of our homes from all the "rate increases" from this horrible economy and raising the already high cost of energy from Pacificorp is not going to make it any easier on anyone.</p> <p>We have done everything we can possibly do to cut the cost of our power bills by replacing all our windows, insulating our floors, replacing our flooring with quality insulating pads and insulating the garage roof in the spring of 2021 but our energy use and costs have not changed. We use wood stove heating in the winter and keep our HVAC system set on 62 to lower cost, it doesn't make any difference. We put in solar but now that Bill Gates is covering our sky with Chemicals to supposedly cool the earth has cut down the efficiency of our solar, what an idiot! Something has to give, STOP RAISING OUR POWER COSTS!!</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>www.kerriscakesyakima.com....your questions are very important to me and I will get back to you as soon as I possibly can. Please allow 24 to 48 hours for response to your email. Please check the list of information that will be needed when placing an order to speed up the process, you can find this list on my website under the ordering page...www.kerriscakesyakima.com Thank you</p>

	Gail Barton	E-mail	<p>As the day arrives, I find I will not be able to attend the public open telephone meeting this evening. I regret not hearing questions, answers and comments as I ponder and dread the everlasting rising cost of living. The more costs go up, the further the disenfranchised are distanced from having their needs met. The power company presents graphs not easily understood, as the monthly bills are also difficult in talking about a measurement in Watts that are hard to measure, and divisions of usage that confuse. Talking terms involving Millions of dollars does not convince me that this necessary commodity is needy of rising provision to individuals to levels of \$25 every month!</p> <p>Yes, electricity has become a source of life style and expectation, and therefore we are caught in the grip of expanding expenses. My first question is; "Are Power Companies for profit entities?"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. "Will inflation always exist?" "Or is this because of Capitalism?" 3. "Will Capitalism ever allow alternative discoveries for creating energy, such as magnetics, to be developed?" <p>Please put me on record as disputing these rising charges. I use and appreciate my electricity but I have also chosen to live without it, off grid, for twelve years, in another part of Washington. I also practice mindfulness in conserving unnecessary use, unlike most huge homes of spectacular lighting and use day and night. Most businesses also use unnecessary night time lighting. Crime would be most obvious if everything was not lite up. Hospitals are a needed exception, although they contribute extraordinary volumes of plastic and paper waste that should be addressed.</p> <p>I have so much distress over the consumerism mentality perpetuated by society. All areas of society must be addressing this waste and dishonor of resources. We cannot continue to expand endlessly without looking at where to change expectations. I realize I am exposing the "big picture" but the call to look is upon us.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my perspective in decisions of moving toward ever-increasing impact on all of us. Sincerely, Gail Barton</p>
	Antonia Soto	Web	<p>Do you know people are getting \$17 an hour. People are barely making ends met living from pay check to pay check to check you get billions from the government you make billions from us and you want an increase. Many times our powers goes out. Yet we have lived with it . You are the are the only to provide this service yes. You say say that</p>
	Rick Cecil	Web	<p>Comments typed per customer request to call at CP hotline by Sam C: It seems like Pacific Power is trying to raise the rates every single year between 4 and 10% and we just don't think it's right. Government taxpayers built these dams, why don't all Washingtonians share in low-cost electricity?</p>
	Jim Perry	Web	<p>I don't see a reason for them to up the rates anytime they want.</p>
	Conrad	Web	<p>I believe Pacific Power is trying to pass along costs from their unprofitable Colorado operations to Washington</p>

	Mercer		customers. This is just not right!
	Jim Wilbanks	Web	Docket UE-230172 I think this rate increase should NOT be approved by the UTC! I believe Pacific Power is way too "fat", and should absorb their costs, not pass them on to the consumers!
Undecided			
	James Davison	E-mail	<p>Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission RE: Pacific Power Notice of Proposed Rate Change Pacific Power's Notice of Proposed Rate Change was received indicating a rate increase should be expected. My question is what percentage of any increase is due to the requirement to use more so-called "green" or renewable energy, generally meaning wind- or solar-generated power? RCW 19.285.030, Definitions, Section (12) "Eligible renewable resource" means: (a) Electricity from a generation facility powered by a renewable resource other than freshwater that commences operation after March 31, 1999" This definition effectively eliminates any water flowing through ALL dams on the Snake and Columbia rivers from being considered a "renewable resource". There is no question the above definition was created to eliminate dam-produced power from competing during the highly subsidized introduction of wind and solar power. There is also no question there will be a need for much more power with deadlines such as Washington State's concerning the forced switch from petroleum-powered vehicles to electric-powered vehicles. My question is still, what percentage of any increase is due to the requirement to use more so-called "green" or renewable energy? A second question is when will the playing field be leveled among ALL the ACTUAL sources of renewable resources—including water through dams in operations prior to March, 1999? Sincerely, James Davison PO Box 425 Waitsburg, WA 99361-0425 Copies to: Senator Perry Dozier Representative Skyler Rude Representative Mark Klicker</p>