E-FILED
IN COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON

February 17 2017 8:30 AM

KEVIN STOCK COUNTY CLERK NO: 17-2-05538-2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE

7 8 SARAH HAND AND GRETCHEN Cause No .: HAND, a married couple. 9 Plaintiffs. **COMPLAINT FOR:** (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT 10 (2) BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY OF v. 11 FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE (3) BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF RAINIER VIEW WATER COMPANY. 12 **MERCHANTABILITY** INC., (4) PRODUCT LIABILITY-DEFECT IN Defendant. 13 CONSTRUCTION RCW 7.72.030 (2) 14 (5) PRODUCT LIABILITY-FAILURE TO CONFORM TO EXPRESS WARRANTY-15 RCW 7.72.030(2) (6) PRODUCT LIABILITY-INADEQUATE 16 WARNINGS AND INSTRUCTIONS (7) INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION 17 (8) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION 18 (9) NEGLIGENCE (10) VIOLATION OF STATE CONSUMER 19 PROTECTION ACT (RCW 19.86.090) (11) PUBLIC NUISANCE (RCW 7.48) 20 COME NOW, the Plaintiffs, Sarah Hand and Gretchen Hand, a married couple, by and through 21 their attorney of record, Nigel S. Malden, and hereby allege as follows: 22 I. **PARTIES** 23 24 Sarah Hand and Gretchen Hand are a married couple residing in Pierce County, 1.1 25 Washington. 26

Complaint - Hand v Rainier View Water Company, Inc.

NIGEL S. MALDEN LAW, PLLC 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402 253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f

- 1

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

1 1.2 Rainier View Water Company, Inc. (Rainier) is a privately held corporation licer		
2		conduct business in the state of Washington and headquartered in Pierce County,
3		Washington.
4	II.	VENUE AND JURISDICTION
5		
6	2.1	The Defendant is headquartered in Pierce County, Washington where it engages in the
7		sale and distribution of water to more than 30,000 customers including the plaintiffs.
8	2.2	The defendant's wrongful actions occurred and caused damage to plaintiffs in Pierce
9		County, Washington.
10	2.3	This court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties and venue is proper.
11	2.5	This could had justication over no subject matter and and passes and vertex to proper
12	ш.	SUMMARY OF FACTS
13	3.1	The plaintiffs, Sarah Hand and Gretchen Hand bought a house located at 7202 201st
14		Street East, Spanaway, Washington, in May of 2015. The house is situated in a gated,
15		residential community known as Springwood Estates.
16	3.2	After they moved in, the plaintiffs noticed that the tap water coming out of the faucets in
17	3.2	their home often appeared dark brown and contaminated by debris.
18		
19	3.3	The plaintiffs began investigating and learned that several other residents of Springwood
20	·	Estates were having similar problems with the appearance, odor, and quality of the tap
21		water provided by Defendant Rainier.
22	3.4	Defendant Rainier manufacturers, collects, treats, markets, sells and delivers water to the
23		plaintiffs and to other residential customers located in Springwood Estates in Spanaway,
24		Washington.
25	3.5	Defendant Rainier represents its water to the plaintiffs and to the public as safe, clean,
26		
27		bathing, cooking and cleaning.
28		
Complaint – Hand v Rainier View Water Company, Inc.		711 Court A Suite 200
l	- 2	844-273-6067 <i>f</i>

- 2

1	3.6	Despite these representations, the water actually provided by Defendant Rainier to
2		plaintiffs is often brackish and dirty brown in color with floating debris and particulates
. 3		which is disgusting to look at and unfit for normal household use and consumption.
4	3.7	Defendant Rainier's water is contaminated by minerals, sediments, chemicals or other
5		foreign substances and has damaged the plaintiffs plumbing fixtures and appliances. The
6		contaminated water has likely caused skin rashes, infections and other health problems.
7	3.8	Defendant Beinier has been every their vieter is tainted for many viete and has arrested
8	3.0	Defendant Rainier has been aware their water is tainted for many years and has promised but failed to take reasonable corrective action.
9		but failed to take reasonable corrective action.
10	IV.	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF CONTRACT
11	4.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
12		
13	4.2	The defendant agreed to provide the plaintiffs with safe, clean drinking water fit for
14		human consumption and household use including bathing, cooking and cleaning.
15	4.3	The plaintiffs agreed to pay for the water.
16	4.4	The defendant breached the contract by providing the plaintiffs with dirty, brown,
17		contaminated water unfit for human consumption and normal household use including
18		bathing, cooking and cleaning.
19	4.5	This breach of contract proximately caused economic damage in an amount to be proven
20	4.5	at trial. The damages include but are not limited to the cost to repair or replace plumbing
21		fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in
22		property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source.
23		
		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE
25	5.1	Dang grouph 2.1 through 2.6 and hereby in compared by reference
26	3.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
27	5.2	Defendant expressly warranted to plaintiffs that its water was fit for human consumption
28		and normal household use including cooking, bathing and cleaning.
	1	plaint - Hand v Rainier View Water pany, Inc. NIGEL S. MALDEN LAW, PLLC 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402
	- 3	253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f
1	, -3	ore and odding

1	5.3
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	VI.
7	
8	6.1
9	6.2
10	
11	62
12	0.5
13	
14	
15	
16	X/11
17	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
18	7.1
19	
20	7.2
21	7.3
22	
23	
24	7.4
25	
26	
27	
28	Co

Defendant breached this express warranty which proximately caused economic damage in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include but are not limited to the cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add special mechanical filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source.

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

- 6.1 Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 6.2 Defendant impliedly warranted to plaintiffs that its water is fit for human consumption and normal household use including cooking, bathing and cleaning.
- Defendant breached this implied warranty which proximately caused economic damage in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include but not limited to the cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add special mechanical filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source.

VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - PRODUCT LIABILITY-DEFECT IN CONSTRUCTION RCW 7.72.030 (2)

- 7.1 Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 7.2 Defendant is both a product manufacturer and seller as defined by RCW7.72.010.
- 7.3 The water sold by Defendant was not reasonably safe in construction and did not conform to the manufacturer's express warranty or implied warranties under RCW 07.72.030(2).
- 7.4 Defendant is strictly liable for special and general damages proximately caused thereby including cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.

Complaint - Hand v Rainier View Water Company, Inc.

NIGEL S. MALDEN LAW, PLLC 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402 253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f

1	VIII.	VIII. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION - PRODUCT LIABILITY-FAILURE TO		
2	111.	CONFORM TO EXPRESS WARRANTY- RCW 7.72.030(2)		
3	8.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.		
4	8.2	Defendant is both a product manufacturer and seller as defined by RCW 7.72.010.		
5	8.3	Defendant manufactured, sold and delivered water to plaintiffs that was not reasonably		
7		safe in construction and did not conform to the manufacturer's express warranty or		
8		implied warranties under RCW 07.72.030(2).		
9	8.4	Defendant is strictly liable for special and general damages proximately caused thereby		
10		including cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water		
11		filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, the cost to obtain clean water		
12		from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.		
13	IX.	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION - PRODUCT LIABILITY-INADEQUATE		
14		WARNINGS AND INSTRUCTIONS		
15	9.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.		
16	9.2	Defendant is both a product manufacturer and seller as defined by RCW 7.72.010.		
17	9.3	Defendant manufactured, sold and delivered water to plaintiffs that was not reasonably		
18		safe because adequate warnings or instructions were not provided as required by RCW		
19		7.72.030.		
20				
21	9.4	Defendant is strictly liable for special and general damages proximately caused thereby		
22		including cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water		
23		filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean		
24		water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.		
25	X. SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION - INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION			
26	10.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.		
27				
28				
Complaint - Hand v Rainier View Water Company, Inc.		pany, Inc. 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402		
	- 5	253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f		

- 5

10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Defendant intentionally misrepresented material facts to the plaintiffs regarding the quality and safety of its water and its abilities and intentions to fix the problem. Defendant knew its statements were false and were intended to induce plaintiffs to continue paying for tainted water. The plaintiffs' justifiably relied on defendant's intentional misrepresentations which proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
10.4	Defendant knew its statements were false and were intended to induce plaintiffs to continue paying for tainted water. The plaintiffs' justifiably relied on defendant's intentional misrepresentations which proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
10.4	continue paying for tainted water. The plaintiffs' justifiably relied on defendant's intentional misrepresentations which proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
10.5	The plaintiffs' justifiably relied on defendant's intentional misrepresentations which proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
10.5	proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
10.5	proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
	to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
XI.	obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
XI.	
XI.	THE CHAPTER OF A CONTEST AND A
	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
11.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
11.2	Defendant negligently misrepresented material facts to the plaintiffs regarding the quality
	and safety of its water and its abilities and intentions to fix the problem.
11.3	Defendant reasonably should have known that its statements were false and would
	foreseeably induce plaintiffs to continue paying for tainted water.
11.4	Plaintiffs reasonably relied on defendant's negligent misrepresentations which
	proximately caused special and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
11.5	The damages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost
	to add water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to
	obtain clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.
XII. NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE	
12.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.
•	plaint – Hand v Rainier View Water pany, Inc. NIGEL S. MALDEN LAW, PLLC 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402 253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f
	11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4 11.5 XII. 12.1

1	12.2	2 The defendant had a duty to use reasonable care in the collection, storage, and deli			
2		plaintiffs of clean, uncontaminated water which is safe for human consumption and			
3		normal household use including cooking, washing, drinking and cleaning.			
4	12.3	The defendants negligently breached this duty of care.			
5	12.3	The detendants negligently breached this duty of care.			
6	12.4	The Defendant's negligence proximately caused special and general damages in amounts			
7		to be proven at trial. The damages include cost to repair or replace damaged property,			
		diminution in real property value, and cost of buying replacement drinking water,			
8		plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost to add water filtration devices, loss of use,			
9		diminution in property value, and the cost to obtain clean water from a substitute source,			
10		bodily injury and emotional distress.			
11	XIII.	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF STATE CONSUMER			
12	AIII.	PROTECTION ACT (RCW 19.86.090)			
13	13.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.			
14		S F			
15	13.2	Defendant engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in connection with its			
16		marketing and sale of water to plaintiffs and to other residential customers in			
17		Springwood Estates.			
18	13.3	The unfair or deceptive acts or practices occurred in the conduct of defendant's trade or			
19		commerce and affects the public interest.			
20					
13.4 The unfair or deceptive acts and practices proximately		The unfair or deceptive acts and practices proximately caused injuries to plaintiffs			
21		including but not limited to cost of investigation, and cost to repair or replace.			
22	XIV.	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION - PUBLIC NUISANCE (RCW Chapter 7.48)			
23					
24	14.1	Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6 are hereby incorporated by reference.			
25	14.2	2 Defendant has assumed a legal duty to provide clean, safe water to plaintiffs and others			
26	to use in their homes for drinking, cooking, washing and cleaning.				
27					
28		MICOTO C BEAUTION I AND WAY C			
	t	plaint – Hand v Rainier View Water 711 Court A, Suite 200 pany, Inc. Tacoma, Wa. 98402 253-627-0393 p			
	-7	844-273-6067 f			

			*		
1 2	14.3		Defendant has breached this duty which injures, and endangers the comfort, repose, and safety of plaintiffs and renders them insecure in their use and enjoyment of		
			property which has proximately caused special and general damages in amounts to		
3	<i>;</i>	. 7	oven at trial.		
4		oo pre	von di trai.		
5	14,4	The d	amages include cost to repair or replace plumbing fixtures and appliances, the cost		
6	i i	to add	water filtration devices, loss of use, diminution in property value, and the cost to		
7		obtair	a clean water from a substitute source, bodily injury and emotional distress.		
8:	XV.	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
9		ás s	Time.		
10	15.1	4 -	iffs request entry of judgement against defendants on all causes of action to include		
11		an award of monetary compensation for:			
12		a)	General damages in the amount to be determined at trial for emotional distress		
13			and physical injury under the third, fourth and fifth causes of action;		
14		b)	Special damages for property damage under all seven causes of action;		
15 16		c)	Special damages for diminution in real property value under all seven causes of action;		
17		d)	Treble economic damages under RCW 19.86.090;		
18		e)	Pre-judgment interest on special damages;		
19		f)	Attorney fees and legal costs under RCW 19.86.090;		
20		g)	An order of injunction enjoining defendant from continuing to supply		
21			contaminated water;		
22		h)	Any other relief the court deems just and proper under the circumstances.		
23			DATED: This 16 day of February, 2017.		
24			Cnelch	المنتين	
25			NIGEL S. MALDEN, WSBA#15643	smino.	
26			Attorney for Plaintiffs		
27					

Complaint - Hand v Rainier View Water Company; Inc.

NIGEL S. MALDEN LAW, PLLC 711 Court A, Suite 200 Tacoma, Wa. 98402 253-627-0393 p 844-273-6067 f

28