

Topics per Submittal		
	Biennial Conservation Plan	Updated Conservation Plan
10-year potential	Yes*	N/A
2-Year Targets	Yes*	N/A
Metrics for demonstrating all available conservation pursued.	Yes (if proposed)	Incorporates Prior Report(s) Outcomes
Program Details	Yes	Incorporates Prior Report(s) Outcomes
Incentives	Yes	Incorporates Prior Report(s) Outcomes
Unit Energy Savings	Yes	N/A
Expenditures	Budgets	Updated Budgets
Change Management	Yes	N/A
EM&V Framework and Plan	Yes*	Yes*
Cost Effectiveness	Yes*	N/A

\*Denotes that planning must be consistent with Council Methodology

Current Biennium (20		
Avista	November 1, 2011	November 1, 2010
PacifiCorp	September 15, 2011	November 1, 2010
PSE	draft August 1, 2011, final November 1, 2011	December 1, 2010

Staff Proposal for 2012-2013 an		
Staff-proposed filing date	September 15, 2013	October 1, 2012
Second filing date if needed		
Staff-proposed effective date	January 1, 2014	January 1, 2013

<b>Focus of Public Counsel</b>		
	Program plans including all aspects of program design and implementation, as well as an estimate of expected cost-effectiveness.	Program updates as needed.

<b>Public Counsel Proposal for 2012-2014</b>		
PC-proposed filing date	September 15, 2013	October 1, 2012
Second/Addtl filing dates		
PC-proposed effective date	January 1, 2014	January 1, 2013

<b>Focus of Public Counsel</b>		
	Program plans including all aspects of program design and implementation, as well as an estimate of expected cost-effectiveness. Development of ten-year and two year targets.	Program updates as needed.

**Public Counsel Notes:**

Cost Recovery Filing would be filed annually. If company proposes to maintain tariff rider at existing rates, the semi-annual periodic reports shall include sufficient expense detail (preferably by program) a

Prudence Review: This matrix does not outline when and how the Commission will review prudence of proposed rates. Possible UTC Rulemaking to establish such procedures. Such review would need to occur subsequent to the filing of the rate case.

We understand that there may be existing UTC orders on specific cost recovery filing dates that

## Matrix of Contents by Submittal Type

Submittal Type			
Annual Cost Recovery Tariff Filing	Periodic reporting	1st Yr of Biennium Report	Biennial Report
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	Savings Est.	Verified Savings
N/A	Progress toward metrics	Progress toward metrics	Yes
N/A	N/A	If changed	Recommendations for next plan
N/A	N/A	If changed	Recommendations for next plan
N/A	N/A	N/A - Summarized as an appendix	N/A
Budget to Actual Expenses; Revenue.	Monthly breakout of Budget to Actual Expenses and Revenue.	Actuals	Actuals
N/A	N/A	Changes to date	Appropriate Changes Made and Recommendations for next plan.
N/A	N/A	EM&V Results to date	EM&V Results
N/A	N/A	N/A - Yes	Cost Effectiveness Analyses for Biennium

### 10-2011) Timing of Submittals

May 1, effective July 1	monthly, quarterly, semi-annual	March 31, 2012	June 1, 2012
May 1, effective July 1	semi-annual August 15	March 31, 2012	June 1, 2012
March 1, effective May 1	semi-annual August 15	February 15, 2012	June 1, 2012

### d Future Biennium Timing of Submittals

November 1, 2012	semi-annual August 15, 2012	June 1, 2013	June 1, 2014
November 1, 2013	semi-annual August 15, 2013		
January 31, 2013 and January 31, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A

### of Staff Review

Previous years' expenditures audited for appropriateness, including consistency with budget plan and explanation for variance. Rates for recovery.	Budget to actual expenditures.	Progress toward target, as well as actual expenditures for website reporting.	Target achievement and cost effectiveness of past programs using actual spending. Minimal risk of disallowance for approved programs.
--	--------------------------------	---	---

### 13 and Future Biennium Timing of Submittals

March 1, 2013	semi-annual Aug 15, 2012	June 1, 2013	June 1, 2014
March 1, 2014	Feb 15 & Aug 15, 2013, Feb 15, 2014		
June 1, 2013 and June 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A

### Public Counsel Review

Previous years' expenditures audited for appropriateness, including consistency with budget plan and explanation for variance. Rates for recovery.	Budget to actual expenditures (preferably reported by program); revenues reported.	Progress toward target, as well as actual expenditures for website reporting.	Target achievement and cost effectiveness of past programs using actual spending and verified savings achievement. (*see note below re: prudence review)
--	--	---	--

ing level, filing and supporting workpapers would still be filed with UTC.  
 and revenues, to be used as the basis for the annual cost-recovery review.

nce of the utility's conservation resource acquisition. We recommend the working group consider a  
 uent to the June 1 annual/biennial reports (i.e. when verified savings achievement is reported along with

may need to be considered/discussed.