```
1
                   BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
             UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2
     In the Matter of the Petition )
     for Arbitration of an
                                      DOCKET NO. UT-093035
                                    )
     Agreement between
                                    )
 4
                                    )
                                      VOLUME III
     NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS
                                      Pages 15 to 22
                                    )
 5
     CORPORATION OF WASHINGTON
                                    )
 6
     and
 7
     QWEST CORPORATION
     Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section )
     252(b)
 9
10
                A Status Conference in the above matter was
11
     held on November 20, 2009, from 1:30 p.m to 1:45 p.m.,
12
     at 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 108,
13
     Olympia, Washington, before Administrative Law Judge Ann
14
     RENDAHL.
                The parties were present as follows:
15
                QWEST CORPORATION, via bridge line by LISA A.
     ANDERL, Attorney at Law, 1600 Seventh Avenue, Suite
     1506, Seattle, Washington 98191, Telephone (206)
16
     345-1574, Fax (206) 343-4040, E-Mail
17
     lisa.anderl@qwest.com.
18
                 NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS, via bridge line
     by JOSEPH G. DICKS, Attorney at Law, DICKS & WORKMAN,
     APC, 750 B Street, Suite 2720, San Diego, California
19
     92101, Telephone (619) 685-6800, Fax (619) 288-6850,
20
     E-Mail jdicks@dicks-workmanlaw.com.
21
22
23
24
     Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25
    Court Reporter
```

summarized where we are?

Τ	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE RENDAHL: We're here for a status
3	conference in Docket UT-093035 which is captioned In the
4	Matter of the Petition for Arbitration and Approval of
5	an Interconnection Agreement Between North County
б	Communications Corporation of Washington and Qwest
7	Corporation Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252(b). And
8	we had our initial prehearing conference in this case in
9	August, August 31st, with a status conference set for
10	October, which I think we deferred until November,
11	actually it was the end of October or early November,
12	and here we are again. And at the last prehearing
13	conference, I was not available, so Judge Clark of the
14	Commission presided in that matter, that was October
15	20th, and at that point the parties said they were
16	continuing to work through negotiations and wanted to
17	reschedule this status conference for 30 days, so here
18	we are on November 20th. And I received a phone message
19	from Ms. Anderl asking if assuming it was too late to
20	cancel the court reporter, which it was. And while we
21	were off the record I had a conversation with Mr. Dicks
22	where he alerted me that negotiations were ongoing
23	through last night which was too late to alert us to the
24	fact that we might not need this conference. So have I

- 1 MR. DICKS: Yes.
- MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay. And since I've now
- 4 asked you to speak without being identified on the
- 5 record, I will have both of you please state your
- 6 representation for the record, and since we have all the
- 7 details just your name and who you're representing.
- 8 MS. ANDERL: This is Lisa Anderl representing
- 9 Qwest.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you.
- 11 MR. DICKS: And Joseph Dicks representing
- 12 North County Communications.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Thank you.
- 14 So which one of you would like to lead off?
- 15 MR. DICKS: Since this seems to be caused by
- 16 me this time, my client left town for a holiday trip
- 17 with his family so we just couldn't wrap up the last few
- 18 issues. I think we have an agreement now, kind of a
- 19 drop-dead date of December 15th, and I'm going to get
- 20 all the final concerns or wording or what have you to
- 21 Qwest by December 1st so that they can have adequate
- 22 time to review it and finalize it before the 15th.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: And, Ms. Anderl, is that your
- 24 understanding?
- 25 MS. ANDERL: That is what I have -- I have

- 1 not had any direct communications yet with North County,
- 2 but from what I have heard from my own internal folks
- 3 that sounds right.
- 4 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, so is there anything
- 5 else, Mr. Dicks, you wanted to add?
- 6 MR. DICKS: No, only that we apologize.
- 7 JUDGE RENDAHL: Oh, well, I appreciate that.
- 8 I was letting Mr. Dicks know that it would have been
- 9 nice to know in advance, but I understand the timing,
- 10 this often happens, because there is a court reporter
- 11 here, and we do have to pay her for being here.
- 12 MS. ANDERL: Yes, Your Honor, I think, just
- 13 to interject, I think we might have been hopeful until
- 14 too far down the road that we were going to have
- 15 something to report to you.
- 16 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, well, I think given
- 17 what I have now heard, I'm not sure that it makes sense
- 18 to schedule another status conference. What I think
- 19 I'll do is take the dates that are on -- that you've
- 20 given me, essentially that North County will present
- 21 their final wording, and I am assuming this is a
- 22 complete interconnection agreement?
- MR. DICKS: Yes, some 300 odd pages.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, so North County will
- 25 present their final draft so to speak of the

- 1 interconnection agreement to Qwest by December 1st, and
- 2 that after Qwest has an opportunity to review that, the
- 3 parties are agreeing to file an agreed agreement by
- 4 December 15th?
- 5 MR. DICKS: Yes.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Ms. Anderl, is that
- 7 consistent?
- 8 MS. ANDERL: You know, I don't know that we
- 9 will have the -- I wasn't aware that the goal was to
- 10 have a signed agreement by the 15th. We can certainly
- 11 revisit that if that doesn't look like the case as we
- 12 get nearer to that date, so that's fine.
- 13 MR. DICKS: And I'm happy to set that as the
- 14 target date. I'm also happy to accommodate if it's
- 15 going to take a few extra days just to implement the
- 16 changes and get signatures or whatnot. It wasn't my
- 17 intention to hold Qwest to the 15th if we were just
- 18 getting administrative wrap-up.
- 19 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, so the parties will in
- 20 a sense strive to reach an agreement by the 15th and
- 21 then filing soon after?
- MR. DICKS: Yes.
- MS. ANDERL: Yes.
- 24 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay. I guess at this point,
- 25 this was presented as an arbitration, filed as an

- 1 arbitration by Qwest, but in this situation the parties
- 2 are essentially entering into a negotiated agreement,
- 3 which have different rules, and I'm wondering if it
- 4 makes sense at the time you file the agreement if it's
- 5 completely negotiated to have it go through the
- 6 negotiated agreement route with the Commission.
- 7 MR. DICKS: It would be North County's
- 8 preference if that's okay with Qwest.
- 9 MS. ANDERL: That certainly seems to make
- 10 sense.
- 11 JUDGE RENDAHL: So I guess my suggestion
- 12 would be that if the parties do reach a negotiated
- 13 agreement that Qwest would withdraw its petition for
- 14 arbitration and request that the matter be considered as
- 15 a negotiated agreement.
- 16 MS. ANDERL: I'm sorry, Your Honor, you said
- 17 withdraw the petition for arbitration?
- JUDGE RENDAHL: Right.
- 19 MS. ANDERL: Yes, that sounds right.
- 20 JUDGE RENDAHL: And then request that the
- 21 agreement be considered as a negotiated agreement under
- 22 the statute and rules that apply to negotiated
- 23 agreements.
- 24 MS. ANDERL: Sure, that will be the plan and
- 25 the goal.

- 1 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay. So I'm not going to
- 2 set another status conference at this point. I will
- 3 prepare a prehearing conference order that summarizes
- 4 the schedule and the understanding that you all have
- 5 presented and we've discussed. And then if there is a
- 6 withdrawal of the arbitration petition, I will simply
- 7 close out the arbitration without closing the docket and
- 8 allow it to proceed through Staff's review as a
- 9 negotiated agreement.
- 10 MS. ANDERL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 MR. DICKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE RENDAHL: Okay, so is there anything
- 13 else that we need to discuss this afternoon?
- MS. ANDERL: Not from Qwest's perspective.
- MR. DICKS: Not from North County.
- 16 JUDGE RENDAHL: Well, thanks very much, I do
- 17 appreciate the work you all have been doing in
- 18 negotiating a resolution. It makes my job a lot easier.
- 19 The order will be a lot simpler than if I had to
- 20 actually arbitrate this matter, so I do appreciate that.
- 21 MR. DICKS: Not at all.
- JUDGE RENDAHL: And I hope you all have a
- 23 wonderful holiday coming up and --
- MR. DICKS: Thank you, Your Honor, enjoy.
- 25 JUDGE RENDAHL: -- looking forward to seeing


```
a negotiated agreement.
                MR. DICKS: Thank you for your help, Lisa.
 2
                MS. ANDERL: Okay, thanks, Joe.
 3
 4
                Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
                JUDGE RENDAHL: And with that, we're
 6
     adjourned.
 7
                (Status Conference adjourned at 1:45 p.m.)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```