

August 13, 2009

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive, S.W. P.O. Box 47250 Mail Stop: FY-11/7250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Attn: David W. Danner

Executive Director and Secretary

RE: UE-080826 - PacifiCorp's 2008 Integrated Resource Plan - Additional Information (as requested by the Commission at the Public Meeting held July 16, 2009)

Dear Mr. Danner,

At the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission open meeting on July 16, 2009, PacifiCorp, d.b.a. Pacific Power ("PacifiCorp" or "Company") presented the Company's 2008 Integrated Resource Plan. As a follow-up to the meeting, please refer to Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 for the additional information requested by the Commission.

All formal correspondence and Staff requests regarding this filing should be directed to:

By e-mail (preferred): <u>datarequest@pacificorp.com</u>

By regular mail: Data Request Response Center

PacifiCorp

825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000

Portland, OR 97232

Any informal inquiries should be directed to Pete Warnken, Manager Integrated Resource Planning at (503) 813-5518 or Cathie Allen, Regulatory Manager, at (503) 813-5934.

Sincerely,

Andrea L. Kelly Wice President, Regulation

cc: Deborah Reynolds, Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission Steve Johnson, Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission

Attachment 1 UE-080826 - PacifiCorp's 2008 Integrated Resource Plan

At the public meeting held on Thursday, July 16, 2009, the Commission requested that the Company follow-up with some additional information. That information is provided below:-

1. 2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio Class 2 Energy Efficiency Targets by State by Year (2009 to 2018) (average megawatts and megawatt hours)

Commission Question : Please provide spreadsheet of Class 2 energy efficiency targets.

PacifiCorp's Response: Please refer to Attachment 2, which provides the targets, by state and year and in both average megawatts and megawatt hours, for Class 2 energy efficiency selected in the 2008 IRP's preferred portfolio. The targets represent the minimum assumed acquisitions for planning purposes and would be exceeded or the acquisitions accelerated to the extent possible.

2. 2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio CO₂ Intensity Chart (Chapter 1 – Executive Summary, page 9 - 2008 IRP document (Volume 1))

Commission Question: With regard to the 2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio CO₂ Intensity Chart on page 9 of the 2008 IRP, what is the explanation for the increasing intensity in 2011 and 2012?

PacifiCorp's Response: For 2011, coal generation is up by about 5 percent from 2010 levels, driven primarily by increased gas prices and a consequent decrease in gas plant generation. The impact to CO2 emissions was a 1.8 percent increase: 54.53 million tons in 2011 versus 53.59 million tons in 2010. Overall generation was nearly unchanged from the 2010 level, resulting in a higher CO2 intensity for 2011.

For 2012, the amount of coal generation was unchanged from 2011, but overall system generation was down by 2.3 percent due primarily to a jump in acquisition of short-term market purchases ("front office transactions"), and a lesser extent to the loss of the Grant Displacement hydro contract in September 2011. While CO2 emissions were down by 1.2 percent from the 2011 level, the relatively greater decrease in system generation resulted in a higher CO2 intensity for 2012.

Attachment 2
UE-080826 – PacifiCorp's 2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio
Class 2 Energy Efficiency Targets by State by Year (2009 to 2018)
(average megawatts and megawatt hours)

2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio - Class 2 Energy Efficiency Targets by state (aMW) by year

ZUUG IRP Preferred Portfollo - Class Z Ene	ed Portfollo - Cla	ass 2 Energy	Efficiency 1.	argets by state (aMW) by year					
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
California	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.65	0.64	0.66	0.72	0.72	0.74	0.75
Oregon	16.52	16.97	18.51	18.51	18.51	18.51	18.51	18.51	18.51	11.95
Washington	2.85	3.59	3.34	3.32	3.11	3.33	3.48	3.49	3.62	3.69
Idaho	1.68	1.73	1.76	1.72	1.83	1.80	1.79	1.94	2.02	2.06
Utah	18.59	21.28	19.18	19.40	20.46	20.61	20.69	20.39	21.02	21.44
Wyoming	0.86	2.34	4.01	5.26	5.42	5.46	5.52	5.70	5.93	5.97
	41.12	46.52	47.45	48.85	49.96	50.37	50.70	50.76	51.82	45.85
2008 IRP Preferred Portfolio - Class 2 Energy El	ed Portfolio - Cla	ass 2 Energy	Efficiency T	argets by state ((MWH) by year					
	2009	2010		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
California	5,428	5,413	5,643	5,665	5,593	5,805	6,292	6,343	6,450	6,592
Oregon	144,695	148,674		162,146	162,146	162,146	162,146	162,146	162,146	104,650
Washington	24,988	31,427		29,103	27,212	29,159	30,511	30,602	31,708	32,293
Idaho	14,709	15,145		15,081	16,053	15,727	15,670	17,028	17,672	18,068
Utah	162,815	186,410		169,920	179,193	180,576	181,227	178,632	184,098	187,781
Wyoming	7,561	20,471		46,054	47,455	47,789	48,315	49,900	51,905	52,288
	360,196	407,539	415,640	427,970	437,651	441,201	444,160	444,650	453,978	401,672