

00020

1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION

2 COMMISSION

3 In the Matter of the Joint)
 Application of)
 4)
 PACIFICORP and PACIFICORP,) Docket No. UE-001878
 5 WASHINGTON, INC.,) Volume No. II
) Pages 20 - 25
 6 for an Order Approving (1) the)
 Transfer of Distribution)
 7 Property from PacifiCorp to)
 an Affiliate, PacifiCorp,)
 8 Washington, Inc., (2) the)
 Transfer of PacifiCorp of)
 9 Certain Utility Property to)
 an Affiliate, the Service)
 10 Company, and (3) the Proposed)
 Accounting Treatment for)
 11 Regulatory Assets and)
 Liabilities, and an Order)
 12 Granting an Exemption under)
 RCW 80.08.047 for the Issuance)
 13 or Assumption of Securities and)
 Encumbrance of Assets by)
 14 PacifiCorp, Washington, Inc.,)
 and/or PacifiCorp.)

15 -----

16

17 A prehearing conference in the above matter
 18 was held on September 13, 2000, at 9:45 a.m., at 1300
 19 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,
 20 Washington, before Administrative Law Judge KAREN M.
 21 CAILLE.

22

23

24 Kathryn T. Wilson, CSR

25 Court Reporter

00021

1 The parties were present as follows:

2

3

4 PACIFICORP and PACIFICORP, WASHINGTON,
5 INC., by JAMES M. VAN NOSTRAND, Attorney at Law, Stoel
6 Rives, LLP, 600 University Street, Suite 3600, Seattle,
7 Washington 98101.

8

9 THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
10 COMMISSION, by ROBERT D. CEDARBAUM, Assistant Attorney
11 General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
12 Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504.

13

14 INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES,
15 by IRION A. SANGER, Attorney at Law, Davison Van Cleve,
16 1000 Southwest Broadway, Suite 2460, Portland, Oregon
17 97205. (Via Bridge)

18

19 PUBLIC COUNSEL, by ROBERT W. CROMWELL, JR.,
20 Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue, Suite
21 2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.

22

23 THE YAKIMA ENERGY PROJECT, on behalf of
24 Yakima Opportunities and Industrialization Council, by
25 CHARLES M. EBERDT, Manager of The Energy Project, 314
East Holly Street, Bellingham, Washington 98225.

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JUDGE CAILLE: This is a prehearing conference in Docket No. UE-001878 entitled, In the Matter of the Joint Application of PacifiCorp and PacifiCorp Washington, Incorporated. This matter concerns the restructuring of PacifiCorp into six separate state electric companies, a generation company, and a service company.

The purpose of this prehearing conference was to set a procedural schedule and to formulate substantive issues and consider other matters that may aid in the disposition of this proceeding. I'm Karen Caille, and I'm the administrative law judge presiding in this proceeding. The commissioners will also be presiding. They initially were to be here today to discuss and formulate issues.

Prior to this prehearing conference, I asked the parties to submit a list of issues, and I submitted your lists of issues with a memo to the commissioners Tuesday, and after reading the list of issues, they felt it was not necessary for them to participate in the discussion today. I want to be clear that those issues that you have listed, obviously, there may be other issues that may come up, and as long as they are

00023

1 relevant, you certainly would be able to raise those
2 issues.

3 Today is September the 13th, 2001, and we are
4 convened in the hearing room at the Commission's
5 offices in Olympia, Washington. I'm going to ask for
6 appearances. We've had an off-record discussion, and
7 the parties have felt that they do not feel a need to
8 discuss the issues. They are satisfied with the issues
9 that the parties have each raised, and we also are not
10 going to set a procedural schedule today because there
11 are no other schedules in the proceedings in the other
12 states as yet. So we will be waiting for a schedule to
13 be set in Utah and Oregon, and we will set our schedule
14 after that.

15 The parties have agreed to get together and
16 submit either an agreed schedule or request another
17 prehearing conference if they cannot come to an
18 agreement on the schedule, and they will notify the
19 Commission by October the 12th either as to the
20 schedule or as to the need for a prehearing conference
21 to establish a schedule. I might mention when you do
22 work on that schedule to please consider whether we
23 would need a public hearing or public hearings and to
24 also include a briefing schedule.

25 Let's take appearances, and if anyone has

00024

1 anything to add to what I have said to flush out what
2 we said off the record, I'll give you an opportunity to
3 do that. So, Mr. Van Nostrand, why don't we start with
4 you.

5 MR. VAN NOSTRAND: Thank you, Your Honor. On
6 behalf of the joint applicants, PacifiCorp and
7 PacifiCorp, Washington, Inc., James M. Van Nostrand.

8 JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Eberdt?

9 MR. EBERDT: Charles Eberdt from The Energy
10 Project on behalf of the Yakima OIC.

11 MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell with Public
12 Counsel.

13 MR. CEDARBAUM: Robert Cedarbaum, senior
14 counsel for Commission staff. I would just add two
15 points to your summary of our off-record discussions.
16 The first was that if the commissioners believe that
17 our issues did not cover all of the issues that they
18 wished us to consider or provide testimony or briefing
19 on, either by way of a Bench request or however you
20 want to work that, if they could let us know once we
21 have a schedule scheduled in the case, that would be
22 helpful to us.

23 The second point was that I had offered to
24 coordinate with you the schedule after a conferencing
25 with the parties later this month or in early October,

00025

1 and I assume that that's agreeable to everyone that
2 it's fine with me if I communicate with you on those
3 process-type matters.

4 MR. VAN NOSTRAND: That's agreeable to
5 Applicants.

6 MR. CROMWELL: That's fine.

7 MR. SANGER: That's fine.

8 JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Sanger?

9 MR. SANGER: Irion Sanger on behalf of the
10 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities.

11 JUDGE CAILLE: Does anyone else wish to say
12 anything on the record about our off-record discussion?
13 Then if not, I think I should represent on the record
14 that I will wait until we have a schedule to do a
15 prehearing conference order establishing that schedule.
16 If there is nothing further, then this meeting is
17 adjourned. Thank you for coming.

18 (Prehearing concluded at 9:55 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

