UE-991262/PacifiCorp December 1, 1999 Public Counsel Data Request No. 51

Public Counsel Data Request No. 51:

Provide the historical availability factor, equivalent availability factor, forced outage rate, and capacity factor for Centralia for each year since commercial operation.

Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 51:

The requested information is provided as Public Counsel Data Request Attachment Response 51.

WUTC DOCKET NO. UE-991255

EXHIBIT NO. 236

ADMIT W/D REJECT

Year	Availability Factor	Equiv. Availability Factor	Forced Outage Rate	Capacity Factor
1974	56.30	56.30	15.00	37.06
1975	71.53	71.53	11.69	53.92
1976	70.49	66.47	21.34	53.74
1977	79.67	73.16	7.58	71.60
1978	69.90	64.06	20.92	55.99
1979	77.32	68.50	15.78	67.05
1980	79.76	72.54	7.38	64.01
1981	90.38	83.55	4.14	62.87
1982	84.46	79.90	5.57	48.77
1983	79.79	75.31	6.65	55.02
1984	74.50	71.55	3.11	57.81
1985	87.96	85.38	1.03	70.91
1986	86.43	85.38	3.19	45.53
1987	94.07	93.22	2.64	73.46
1988	89.34	86.76	3.29	77.79
1989	83.69	80.76	4.69	76.64
1990	89.43	89.20	5.49	65.66
1991	90.92	90.35	2.81	68.77
1992	92.90	92.50	2.03	83.45
1993	83.37	82.55	12.49	76.48
1994	90.43	86.93	3.54	83.28
1995	87.32	85.49	2.94	49.87
1996	96.87	95.41	2.41	68.48
1997	92.18	88.76	2.68	59.30
1998	92.37	91.22	1.61	79.16
1999	93.17	91.42	4.21	69.96

RECEIVED

237

EDWARD A. HUNTER JOHN M. ERIKSSON Stoel Rives LLP 201 South Main #1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 328-3131

DEC 10 3 40 PM *53

SERVICE COMMISSION

Attorneys for PacifiCorp

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PACIFICORP, DBA UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF STANDARD RATES FOR PURCHASES OF POWER FROM QUALIFYING FACILITIES HAVING A DESIGN CAPACITY OF 1,000 KILOWATTS OR LESS)))))	Submission of Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 37 DOCKET NO. 97-2035-02
---	-----------	---

PacifiCorp, dba Utah Power & Light Company ("PacifiCorp" or "Company"), hereby submits for approval by the Public Service Commission of the State of Utah ("Commission") the Company's proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 37, and in support thereof as follows:

1. This filing is made pursuant to the Commission's October 20, 1999 Order issued in this docket, directing the Company to resubmit its proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 37 based on the recommendations of the Division of Public Utilities ("Division"). PacifiCorp's revised proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 37, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, establishes standard avoided cost prices for purchases of power from qualifying facilities located in the State of Utah with a design capacity of 1,000 kW or less.

1 - SUBMISSION OF PACIFICORP

WUTC DOCKE	TNO. UE-991255
EXHIBIT NO	237
ADMIT	W/D REJECT

As described in more detail in Exhibit B, which is attached hereto, the proposed 2. avoided cost prices are based on PacifiCorp's Fourth Resource and Market Planning Program model (RAMPP-4), with known and measurable changes to inputs and assumptions.

PACIFICORP

WHEREFORE, PacifiCorp respectfully requests that the Commission issue its Order Approving PacifiCorp's revised proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 37.

DATED this 10th day of December, 1999.

Respectfully submitted,

STOEL RIVES LLP

John M. Eriksson

Attachment 4

PacifiCorp Avoided Costs RAMPP-4/5 Update (Utah) Summary

	Year	Avoided Firm Capacity Costs (\$/kW-mo)	Avoided Energy Costs \$/MWh (2)	Total Avoided Costs 75% CF \$/MWh (3)	Total Avoided Costs 85% CF \$/MWh	Total Avoided Costs 95% CF \$/MWh
~~		0.00	14.24	14.24	14.24	14.24
1	1999	0.00	15.03	15.03	15.03	15.03
2	2000	0.00	14.65	14.65	14.65	14.65
3	2001 2002	0.00	16.57	16.57	16.57	16.57
4	2002	2.40	17.49	21.86	21.35	20.94
5	2003	2.48	18.71	23.24	22.70	22,28
6 7	2004	2.56	18.77	23.45	22.90	22.46
	2005	2.65	22,37	27.21	26.64	26.19
8	2007	2.74	21.86	26.87	26,28	25.81
10	2008	5.13	23,76	33.14	32.04	31.17
	2009	5.29	24.54	34.20	33.06	32.16
11 12	2010	5.45	25.38	35.33	34.16	33.24
13	2011	5.61	26,24	36.49	35.28	34.33
14	2012	5.78	27.16	37.72		35.50
15	2013	5.95	28.03	38.90		36.61
16	2014	6.13	29.03	40.23		37.87 39.15
17	2015	6.32	30.04	41.57		40.43
18	2016	6.50	31.05	, 42.94		41.80
19	2017	6.70	32.14	44.38		43,22
20	2018	6.90	33.27	45.88		44.69
21	2019	7.11	34.44	47.42		46.20
22	2020	7.32	35.65	49.02		47.77
23	2021	7.54	36.90	50.67		49.39
24	2022	7.77	38.19	52.38		51.07
25	2023	8.00	39.54	54.15		52.81
26	2024	8.24	40.92	55.97		54.60
27	2025	8.49	42.36	57.86		56.46
28	2026	8.74	43.85	59.82		58.37
29	2027	9.00	45.39	61.84		80.33
30	2028	9.27	46.99	63.93		62.41
31	2029	9.55	48.64	66.09		64.54
32	2030	9.84	50.35	68.3		66.73
33	2031	10.13	52.12	70.63		69.01
34	2032	10.44	53.95	73.03		71.36
35	2033	10.75	55.85	75.49	9 73.18	/1.30

Column Notes:

- (1) The fixed cost of a simple cyle combustion turbine.
- (2) Combined cycle fuel cost and capitalized fixed cost of a combined cycle combustion turbine which is in excess of a simple cycle combustion turbine.

i

- (3) Combined costs, assuming a 75% capacity factor.
- (4) Combined costs, assuming a 85% capacity factor.
- (5) Combined costs, assuming a 95% capacity factor.

CMC Capital Expenditures (100%)

_
afe
=
ĕ
ă
CD.

3.0%

5- yr Plan Direct Costs - 100% Actual Expenditures nominal \$ 8cc. factor es 0.0 11,630 112.6% 0.1 14,826 106.1% 0.0 15,497 103.0% 2003 2002 2001 2000

Actual Direct Costs - 100%

		esc to 1999	6.055		5,777						63,699	7,962	
	200	esc, factor	126.7%	123.0%	119.4%	115.9%	112.6%	109.3%	106.1%	103.0%	ý	8 year average in 1999\$	
Actual	Expenditures	nominal \$ esc, factor	4,780	4,457	4,838	19,990	2,241	6,649	6,116	6,733	total all years	уваг ачега	
	Ш		1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	-	ω	

PacifiCorp Share use for modeling after 2003

WUTC DOCKET NO. U.E. 99/255 EXHIBIT NO. 23 F ADMIT Z WD REJECT

escal

Capex

		1	Veare	Cals											T																										0	0	0				
			2003	200-2				1																													922	900	1,900		3,622	008	4,422	112.55%	4,977	2,364	•
	Direct Project Cost (\$000)		2002																										750	9009	169	6,783	006	168	120	115					9,605	800	10,405	109.27%	11,370	5,401	
	Direct Proje		2001											811	909	340	250	169	19	750	400	006	5,956		827	1,495	168	115		5.		4,414									17,297	800	18,097	106.09%	19,199	9,119	
			2000								307	100	405	8																											930	800	1,730	103.00%	1,782	846	
	ų.		1999	650	810	1	1.157	626		102																													- 0,00	2,816	3,842	865	7,523	100.00%	7,523	3,574	٠
		Prior	Years						3	LS.				8				89	163					1,812							80	13			s					1,500	2,064	0	2,064				
		Overhauf	Related *x*			S, VALVES, ETC.		CILITY RAIL SPUR	R 2000 COMPLIAN	SYSTEM CONTRO	DEMIN ROOM		JLS	×	×	Ē		×	×	×	r #2		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	GALLERY SYSTEM	ALARMS								3.0%		are	
CENTRALIA PLANT	100% DIRECT COST	Project	Description	COMMON, REPLACE 10TH FLOOR ROOF	COMMON, REPLACE CRAWLER 10	COMMON, REPLACE MISC PUMPS, MOTORS, VALVES, ETC.	UNIT #2, REPLACE COOLING TOWER FILL	COMMON, EXTEND COAL UNLOADING FACILITY RAIL SPUR	COMMON, REPLACE EQUIPMENT FOR YEAR 2000 COMPLIANCE	COMMON, REPLACE FLYASH TRANSPORT SYSTEM CONTROLS	COMMON, REPLACE ROOF ON TURB AND DEMIN ROOM	COMMON, REPLACE JLG MANLIFT	COMMON, REPLACE AUX BOILER CONTROLS	UNIT #2, REPLACE DISSIMILAR METAL WI	UNIT #2, REPLACE PCB TR's	COMMON, REPLACE DROTT MOBILE CRANE	COMMON, REPLACE 930 LOADER	UNIT #2, REPLACE HYDROGEN COOLERS	UNIT \$2, REPAIR STRUCTURAL STEEL AN	UNIT #2, CRITICAL PIPING REPAIR (REPLA	COMMON, REPLACE CEMS, UNIT #1 & UNIT #2	UNIT #2, INSTALL MILL INERTING SYSTEM	UNIT #2, REWIND GENERATOR (PHASE II	UNIT #2, REPLACE GENERATOR ROTOR (UNIT #2, REPLACE GENERATOR ROTOR (UNIT #2, REPLACE AIR PREHEATER BASK	UNIT #2, REPLACE LODGE PRECIP AVC'S	UNIT #2, REPLACE #21 AND #22 AUX TUR	UNIT #1, CRITICAL PIPING REPAIR (REPLA	UNIT #1, REPLACE PCB TR's	UNIT #1, REPLACE HYDROGEN COOLERS	UNIT #1, REWIND GENERATOR	UNIT #1, INSTALL MILL INERTING SYSTEM	UNIT #1, REPLACE LODGE PRECIP AVC'S	COMMON, UPGRADE COAL RECLAIM AND GALLERY SYSTEMS	COMMONI DEBI ACE CAT SAT ACCURATE	COMMON DEPLACE CAL 827 SCRAPER	UNIT #1 REPI ACE COOLING TOWER EIL	OTHER	SUBTOTAL BLANT BBO JECTS SAGGE	SOBICIAL FLANT FROJECTS / INCh	SUBTOTAL PLANT PROJECTS <100K	TOTAL PLANT CAPITAL PROJECTS	Escalation Factor	Escalated Capital Cost	Capital Projects @ PacifiCorp 47.5% Share	



From:

<mschaer@wutc.wa.gov>

To: Date: "George Galloway" < GMGALLOWAY@stoel.com>

Date: Subject: Monday, January 24, 2000 12:51 PM Exhibit 239: Bench Request 11

RECEIVED RECORDS IN VIOLENT

00 JAN 26 AM 11: 16

STATE OF WASH. UTIL. AND TRANSP. COMMISSION

Dear Mr. Galloway:

Mr. Adams filed with the Commission on January 21, 2000, a letter questioning the admissibility of the PacifiCorp response to Bench Request No. 11 (Exhibit 239). The letter indicates that the response was provided to the parties after the close of the hearings in the Centralia applications.

I have checked with the Commission Record Center, and we are unable to find a copy of the PacifiCorp response to Bench Request No. 11. As a Bench Request, this should have been filed with the Commission. Please file a copy of this response as soon as possible.

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

Marjorie R. Schaer] Administrative Law Judge

CC:

PDX.SMTP("lavitt@workerlaw.com", "matth@summitlaw.c...

JAN 2 5 2000

Enclosed for filing are 20 copies of PacifiCorp's response to Bench Request No. 11.

WUTC DOCKET NO. 0e-99/255EXHIBIT NO. 239ADMIT W/D REJECT From:

George Galloway

To:

"bcedarba@wutc.wa.gov"@PDX.SMTP, "mschaer@wutc.wa...

Subject:

Re: Centralia Cross-Examination Estimates

Attached is PacifiCorp's response to Bench Request No. 11. A hard copy is being mailed to you and the parties today as well.

>>> <mschaer@wutc.wa.gov> 01/06 8:41 AM >>>

Please send in your time estimates asap. Also, the Comissioners would like to know the order of witnesses past Friday--please provide the order of staff witnesses, and the order in which the intervenors will appear. Finally, I do not have exhibit lists for each party, which was requested. ms

Bob Cedarbaum 01/05/2000 02:35 PM

To: Marjorie Schaer/WUTC@WUTC

cc: chucka@atg.wa.gov, gdahlke@painehamblen.com, <a href="mailto:gmailto:

Subject: Centralia Cross-Examination Estimates

Staffs preliminary assessment of its time estimates for cross examination are as follows:

No Questions

Ely, Perks, Johnson (Avista)

Weaver (Pacificorp)
Lazar (Public Counsel)
Wolverton (ICNU)

Hirsh (NW Energy Coalition)

Howins (Local 612)

10-20 minutes each

Gaines, Karzmar (PSE)

Miller, Wright (Pacificorp) Dukich, McKenzie (Avista)

CC:

"chucka@atg.wa.gov"@PDX.SMTP, "dunwei@ibm.net"@PD...

Mail Envelope Properties (3884C9A4.698 : 5 : 27022)

Subject:

Re: Centralia Cross-Examination Estimates

Creation Date:

Tue, Jan 18, 2000 12:14 PM

From:

George Galloway

Created By:

PDX.PORTLAND1:GMGALLOWAY

Recipients

Action

Date & Time

Post Office Internet

Transferred

01/18 12:14 PM

"andrea.kelly@pacificorp.com" BC (Internet

"rodger.weaver@pacificorp.com" BC (Interne

Post Office PDX.SMTP

Transferred

01/18 12:14 PM

"bcedarba@wutc.wa.gov" ("bcedarba@wutc.wa

"chucka@atg.wa.gov" CC ("chucka@atg.wa.gov

"dunwei@ibm.net" CC ("dunwei@ibm.net"@PDX.

"gdahlke@painehamblen.com" CC ("gdahlke@pa

"lavitt@workerlaw.com" CC ("lavitt@workerl

"matth@summitlaw.com" CC ("matth@summitlaw

"mschaer@wutc.wa.gov" ("mschaer@wutc.wa.g

"nancy@nwenergy.org" CC ("nancy@nwenergy.o

Domain.Post Office

Delivered

Route

Internet

PDX.SMTP

Internet

PDX.SMTP

Files

Size

Date & Time

WUTC Bench Request 11 Response.xls

22016

Tuesday, January 18,

2000 12:09 PM

MESSAGE

4023

Tuesday, January 18, 2000 12:14 PM

Options

Auto Delete:

No

Expiration Date:

None

Notify Recipients:

Yes

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard

To Be Delivered:

Immediate

Status Tracking:

Delivered & Opened

PacifiCorp's Response to Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Bench Request 11 in Docket No. UE-991262

Request: Please provide results of Base Case 23-year analysis for power value only

Response: PacifiCorp's analysis of the benefits to customers is a present value of revenue requirement analysis. It incorporates return of a portion of the gain on sale to customers

It also includes removal of the mine and plant rate base and other fixed cost elements of Centralia-related revenue requirement requirement

This procedure, while appropriate, makes simple "power value only" analysis somewhat difficult. The variable power cost impact of selling Centralia, called system net power cost in PacifiCorp's analysis, is addressed by rebalancing and redispatching the remaining system to meet load at lowest possible cost

Below is a tabulation of the value of power impacts of selling Centralia. It consists of:

Computing the increase in net power cost (only) resulting from the sale and rebalancing and redispatching the remaining system to meet load, then subtracting the plant and other fixed costs associated with power produced by Centralia, and adding in sell case incremental transmission costs.

The result is a measure of the impact on the value of power to PacifiCorp's customers of selling the Centralia Plant and Mine

NOTE:

The value of the cristmoods there of their particular to Company.

The value of the customer's share of gain on sale under the Company's proposal is \$87 million. When added to the analysis below, this results in net benefit to customers of \$42 million.

Power Value Only (Benefit) / Disbenefit of Sale of Centralia Excludes impact of gain on sale of Plant and Mine	Removal of Mine & Plant Non-fuel Revenue Requirement /2 Add in Sell Case Incremental Transmission Expense /3	BASE CASE increase in System Net Power Cost if Centralia is Sold /	Discount Rate:
44,736	(526,815) 77,882	493,669	7.82%
5,640	(36,318) 6,171	35,786	(\$000) 2000
(3,104)	(46,568) (51,628) 6,262 6,357	37,202	2001
(3,104) (6,134) (1,470)	(51,628) 6,357	39,138	2002
(1,470)	(46,889) 6,087	39,332	2003
454	(48,196) 6,482	42,168	2004
122	(46,896) 6,181	40,836	2005
4,398	(46,130) 6,660	43,869	2006
4,008	(45,669) 6,604	43,074	200Z
4,112	(49,361) 7,284	46,190	2008
3,818	(49,492) 7,060	46,250	2009
5,382	(49,847) 7,188	48,041	2010

^{/1} From Centralia Model and Back Tab: System Net Power Costs BASE case "without" minus BASE case "with" Resulting from Rebalancing and Redispatching.

Does not include removal of plant from rate base or other fixed cost effects Does not include transmission cost changes.

^{/2} From Centralia Model and Back Tab: Keep RevReq Line 32 plus Line 54/3 From Centralia Model and Back Tab: Sell RevReq Line 5

(50,434) 7,323

(51,204) 7,552

(51,946) 7,683

(48,128) 7,821

(48,885) .7,632

(49,467) 8,070

(49,939) 8,263

(50,523) 8,405

(51,050) 8,557

(51,360) 8,711

(50,811) 8,868

(49,599) 9,027

(49,180) 9,190

5,701

4,223

4,215

4,206

3,603

1,515

5,852

6,473

5,993

10,614

10,354

9,802

9,452

8,639

9,177

9,951

11,604

13,938

15,501

5,701

4,223

4,215

4,206

3,603

1,515

48,963

50,125

50,257

50,922

51,608

51,198

51,128

50,756

51,670

52,600 . 53,547

54,511

2023 55,492

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

D	
ag	
0	
2	
으	