Exhibit No. 1913 (MLT-13)
Docket No. TO-011472
Witness: Maurice L. Twitchell

### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Washington Utilities and	)	DOCKET NO. TO-011472
Transportation Commission,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Olympic Pipe Line Company, Inc.,	)	
<b>.</b>	)	
Respondent	)	
	)	
	)	

### **EXHIBIT OF**

### MAURICE L. TWITCHELL

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

EXCERPT FROM THE DEPOSITION OF MS. HAMMER Tr. 1-4, 8, 11, 21, 25, 52

May 24, 2002

May 24, 2002

E. 10. 19/3

ADMIT ₩/D □ REJEUT □

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON

UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) Docket No. TO-011472	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	
Complainant, )	C 11
v )	21 17 17
OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY, )	f*
INC.,	:
Respondent. )	Ċ
DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION	
OF	
CYNTHIA A. HAMMER	
12:37 p.m.	
April 23, 2002	
411 108th Avenue, N.E., Suite 1800	
Bellevue, Washington	
· 	_
COP)	1
Barbara L. Nelson, CCR	
Court Reporter	

# A P P E A R A N C E S

2		,
3	FOR THE WUTC:	DONALD T. TROTTER
4		LISA WATSON
5		Assistant Attorneys General
6		1400 Evergreen Park Dr., S.W.
7		P.O. Box 40128
8		Olympia, Washington 98504-0128
9		
10	FOR OLYMPIC PIPE LINE:	STEVE MARSHALL
11		Attorney at Law
12		Perkins Coie
13		One Bellevue Center
4		411 108th Avenue, N.E.
15		Suite 1800
16		Bellevue, Washington 98004
17		
18	FOR TESORO REFINING &	ROBIN BRENA
19	MARKETING COMPANY:	Attorney at Law
20		310 K Street, Suite 601
21		Anchorage, Alaska 99501
22		
23		
24		
25		

3

¿ TOSCO CORP.: EDWARD A. FINKLEA

Attorney at Law

526 N.W. 18th Avenue

Portland, Oregon 97209

ALSO PRESENT:

)

L

2

3

5

MAURICE TWITCHELL

ROBERT COLBO

### INDEX

EXAMINATION:		PAGE:	
вч	MS.	WATSON:	5
вч	MR.	TROTTER:	101
вч	MS.	WATSON:	112
ΒY	MR.	FINKLEA:	118

#### EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION:			PAGE:			
Number 1	Ex	hibit C	AH-2,	General	Rate Case	6
Number 2	Ex	hibit C	AH-4,	General	Rate Case	6
Number C-	-3 01	ympic's	Respo	onses to	DRs 303	30
umber C-	-4 Sc	hedule	303.2	- Housto	on	38
	(W	(Withdrawn page 45)				
Number 5	2/	22/02 I	∟etter	to Danny	y Kermode	46
Number C	-6 Sc	hedule	306.1			53
Number C	-7 To	Decemb	er 13	, 2000 B	oard Minutes	61
Number C	-8 St	aff DR	360 a	nd Supp.	Response	65
Number C	-9 St	aff DR	307			69
Number C	-10 St	aff DR	311 -	Oil Los	ses Calc.	84
Number C	:-11 Sc	chedule	315			97
Number C	!-12 St	aff DR	29			112

)

2

5

- Q. Ms. Hammer, do you plan on replacing
- 2 Schedule 22 that appears in CAH-4?
- A. Yes, that would be replaced.
- Q. And do you have any other changes that
- 5 you'll be making, other than the ones that you've
- 6 listed?
- 7 A. I haven't finished going through all of the
- 8 testimony, but those are the ones I'm aware of at
- 9 this time.
- 10 Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit CAH-3 and
- 11 CAH-4, are you the witness who will stand
- 12 cross-examination concerning those exhibits?
- A. Partially. I believe Brett Collins would also be involved with the testimony on these
- 15 exhibits.
- 16 Q. And did you prepare those exhibits?
- 17 A. Actually, Brett Collins prepared them.
- Q. Okay. As the controller of Olympic Pipe
- 19 Line, did you provide Mr. Collins with the
- 20 information he needed to make the adjustments to the
- 21 test period shown in those exhibits?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- 23 Q. Did you provide all the information?
- 24 A. I believe I provided most of the
- 25 information.

- 1 information provided to Mr. Collins in preparing the
- 2 Exhibits CAH-3 and CAH-4?
- A. Mm-hmm.
- 4 Q. You stated that you provided Mr. Collins
- 5 information and you also stated that you got that
- 6 information from Olympic's financial statements and
- 7 historical data. Could you elaborate a little bit on
- 8 that, exactly what they were and where you got them?
- 9 A. Most of the information was taken from the
- 10 financial statements that were prepared when BP took
- 11 over operatorship. Prior to that, they were taken
- 12 from financial statements that were prepared under
- 13 the Equilon operatorship that I had received when I
- came to work for Olympic.
- Q. The information that you provided, did that
- 16 go all the way back to 1983?
- 17 A. No, it did not. I provided the information
- 18 from 1999 through 2001. Prior to that, I believe Mr.
- 19 Collins accumulated that information from FERC Form
- 20 6.
- 21 Q. So Mr. Collins provided information prior
- 22 to 1999?
  - 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And he got that information from the FERC Form Sixes?

- And they were adjusted for the projected Q. throughput?
  - Α. You'd need to ask Brett Collins.
- Have the results of operations been Ο. adjusted for FERC rate-making adjustments? 5
  - I'd defer that to Mr. Collins, as well.
- Are you familiar with what FERC adjustments Ο. 7 are? 8
- FERC adjustments in -- as in -- can you Α. 9 explain that a little bit? 10
- Well, why don't I list a series of seven Ο. 11 items, and after each item, you tell me if it's a 12
- Α. Okay.

FERC adjustment --

6

13

1

15

- -- to the best of your ability. AFUDC? 0.
- AFUDC, you'd need to ask Mr. Collins. 16 Α.
- Would that be the same for amortized AFUDC 17 Q. and accumulated amortized AFUDC? 18
  - Α. Yes.
  - What about net writeup of starting rate Q. base?
    - That would be Mr. Collins, also. Α.
    - Net deferred return? Q.
    - That would -- also Mr. Collins. Α.
    - The same with amortized deferred return? Q.

- A. Can you restate that again?
- Q. Sure. I'll try it this way. These
- 3 operating expenses have been adjusted to the level of
- 4 expenses found in Olympic's budget report for the 12
- 5 months ending December 31st, 2002; correct?
- A. That's correct, based on -- they were
- 7 adjusted for the 2002 budget based on historical --
- 8 either historical actuals or known and measurable
- g changes.

1

- 10 Q. Now, the two-star note at the bottom of
  - Schedule 2 reads, Adjustments to base period for
    - changes that are known and measurable within nine
- months subsequent to the base period. Do you see
- 14 that?

11

12

18

- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is it your testimony that an increase in
- 17 operating expenses is known and measurable if the
  - next year's budget reports an increase?
    - A. No.
    - Q. What is known and measurable?
    - A. Known and measurable are items that are reasonably estimated that are known to increase. For example, insurance costs are adjusted for known increases to insurance, salaries are adjusted for known increases in salary.

Operating Expenses. Are you able to testify about this schedule?

- A. I went too far. Sorry. Yes.
- Q. Is this the summary development of the test period expense levels the company is relying on to support its 62 percent rate increase?
  - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. And does Schedule 21 begin with the base period balances on the first column showing dollars?
- 10 A. Yes.

8

- Q. Are the balances then updated to more current levels for the test period?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And the base period reflects actual results for the 12-month period ending September 20 -- or I'm sorry, September 2001; correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it true that the majority of the ending values in the test period column are budgeted numbers from Olympic's 2002 operating budget?
  - 21 A. The majority of them, yes.
  - Q. Lines one and nine of Schedule 21 refer to Olympic's wage and salary expense; correct?
  - 24 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And Schedule 21.3 of Exhibit CAH-4 shows