

Service Date: December 10, 2020

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of the Petition of

PACIFICORP, d/b/a PACIFIC POWER &
LIGHT COMPANY,

For an Order Approving Deferral of Costs
Associated with the COVID-19 Public
Health Emergency

DOCKET UE-200234

ORDER 01

GRANTING ACCOUNTING
PETITION, IN PART, AND
DENYING IN PART, SUBJECT
TO CONDITIONS

BACKGROUND

- 1 On April 17, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-23.2, which prohibits all energy companies from (1) disconnecting residential service due to nonpayment, (2) refusing to reconnect residential customers who were disconnected due to nonpayment, and (3) charging late fees or reconnection fees. Proclamation 20-23.4, issued on May 29, 2020, also requires utilities to develop COVID-19 Customer Support Programs consistent with state guidance from the Governor's office and that will address payment plan options for residential customers who are in arrears due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 2 In June, the Commission formed a COVID-19 response workgroup of stakeholders in Docket U-200281 to facilitate development of guidelines for ensuring that customers experiencing economic hardship as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic maintain access to essential services after Proclamation 20-23 expires and the moratorium on disconnections and late fees is no longer in effect. Workgroup members exchanged proposed term sheets and participated in several workshops. The participants agreed on some of the issues presented but were unable to reach consensus on a single proposed set of guidelines or requirements. Accordingly, Commission staff (Staff) prepared a term sheet that reflected the terms on which the workgroup agreed and Staff's recommended resolutions of the disputed issues (Term Sheet).
- 3 On October 6, 2020, the Commission conducted a recessed open meeting to address the Term Sheet. Staff revised the Term Sheet to reflect the Commission discussion during the recessed open meeting (Revised Term Sheet). The Commission heard additional comment on the Revised Term Sheet at its regularly scheduled open public meeting on October 15, 2020.

DOCKET UE-200234
ORDER 01

PAGE 2

4 On October 20, 2020, the Commission entered Order 01 in Docket U-200281, which adopted the Revised Term Sheet with several modifications. As relevant here, the Commission adopted guiding principles related to COVID-19 deferred accounting for “use in evaluating the deferred accounting petitions the utilities have filed or intend to file with respect to their recovery of costs related to responding to the COVID-19 pandemic.”¹ The Commission expressly adopted the following four guiding principles:

- Petitions must identify specific categories of expenditures and certain revenues and must not be overly broad.
- Companies must establish regulatory asset accounts that provide for specific expenditure and revenue categories and regulatory liability accounts for identified benefits, but are not required to provide in their petitions estimated deferral amounts.
- Recovery of any deferred costs will be subject to a prudence review per the Commission’s standard practice.
- Companies must file reports that itemize their costs approved for deferral in the docket in which their accounting petition was filed. The first report should be filed by December 1, 2020, and should cover the period from March 1, 2020, to September 30, 2020. Subsequent reports should be filed 30 days after the close of each quarter and must include information from the previous quarter to continue until the conclusion of the proceeding in which the company seeks recovery of the deferred costs or until such time as the Commission determines the reports are no longer beneficial.

5 The Commission declined, however, to adopt Staff’s proposed categories of costs appropriate for deferred accounting treatment. Those categories are: (1) specific, incremental COVID costs, (2) bad debt expense, (3) late payment fees, (4) reconnections charges, and (5) costs associated with bill payment assistance programs. The Commission determined instead that it will consider each utility’s deferred accounting petition in the docket in which it was filed, and that “[u]ltimately, the Commission will consider each

¹ *In the Matter of Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic*, Docket U-200281, Order 01 ¶23 (Oct. 20, 2020).

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 3

ORDER 01

petition on its merits and render a decision based on the record compiled in each docket.”²

6 On March 20, 2020, PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Company, (PacifiCorp or Company) filed a Petition for an Order Approving Deferral of Costs Associated with the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency. The Company filed a revised petition on November 9, 2020, consistent with the requirements set out in the Revised Term Sheet (Revised Petition).

7 In its Revised Petition, PacifiCorp seeks to defer:

- Direct costs incurred as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, including incremental costs associated with personal protective equipment (PPE), cleaning supplies and services, contact tracing, medical testing, financing costs to secure liquidity, IT updates, equipment for remote work, and the administrative costs of implementing the Revised Term Sheet. Direct costs are net of savings, credits, payments, or other benefits received by the Company from a federal, state, or local government that are directly related to a COVID-19 direct cost, including federal, state, or local tax credits or benefits.
- Any amount of bad debt incurred in 2020-2022 above the bad debt baseline, defined as the amount currently being collected from customers for bad debt, as determined in the Company’s last general rate proceeding, as of October 1, 2020.
- For calendar year 2020, the average annual amount of late payment fees collected over the previous five years (2015-2019) less the actual amount collected by the Company from January 1 to March 1, 2020. For 2021, PacifiCorp seeks to defer the average annual amount of late payment fees collected from 2015-2019, prorated monthly for the period January 1, 2021, through the resumption date plus 180 days. If the resumption date plus 180 days extends into 2022, the Company proposes to defer late payment fees in 2022 using the same formula used for 2021.

² *Id.* at ¶26.

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 4

ORDER 01

- For calendar year 2020, the average amount of reconnection charges collected over the previous five years (2015-2019) less the actual amount collected by the company from January 1 to March 1, 2020.
- Costs to fund a COVID-19 bill payment assistance program, as described in Order 01 in Docket U-200281.

- 8 PacifiCorp proposes to record the costs identified above as a regulatory asset in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Account 182.3 (Other Regulatory Assets) and credit various accounts to which the costs were originally charged. PacifiCorp further requests that it be allowed to accrue interest on the unamortized balance at a rate equal to its authorized rate of return as approved in the Company's most recent general rate case in Docket UE-152253.
- 9 Staff reviewed PacifiCorp's Revised Petition and recommends the Commission grant it subject to conditions. First, Staff argues that PacifiCorp should defer its costs to FERC Account 186 (Miscellaneous Deferred Debits) rather than Account 182.3 (Other Regulatory Assets) because it is uncertain these costs will be shown to be incremental and, therefore, appropriate for recovery. Staff further notes that utilities may be legally precluded from recovering some of the costs that PacifiCorp seeks to defer. Staff contends that FERC Account 182.3 is more appropriate for recording costs when it is probable the amounts will be approved for recovery in future rates, and that FERC Account 186 is appropriate for recording costs when the final disposition is uncertain.
- 10 Second, Staff argues that PacifiCorp should not be authorized to accrue interest on the unamortized balance of its deferral because the Company's request exceeds the scope of an accounting petition – *i.e.*, accounting petitions address deferral of certain amounts, not amounts that may be recovered. Staff further contends that deferred accounting is already an extraordinary measure that provides utilities with an opportunity for cost recovery that would normally be forgone, and that requesting ratepayers to provide a profit margin in addition to requesting deferred accounting treatment is inappropriate.
- 11 On November 19, 2020, the Office of the Washington Attorney General Public Counsel Unit (Public Counsel) and The Energy Project (TEP) (together, Joint Commenters) filed a joint response to the accounting petitions filed by each regulated energy company. The Joint Commenters argue that the companies' petitions each propose accounting deferrals that would "insulate shareholders from responsibility for a variety of costs and reduced revenues ... and further burden Washington residents with such costs in the future, while

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 5

ORDER 01

providing immediate financial benefits to the utilities' shareholders, with no showing of financial need or hardship to justify" the requested relief.³ The Joint Commenters argue that the utilities should view any authorized deferral and future cost recovery with the "spirit of shared sacrifice,"⁴ and urge the Commission to significantly narrow the scope of any deferrals.

12 The Joint Commenters further argue that only two categories are appropriate for deferred accounting treatment: (1) customer assistance programs and (2) bad debt. The Joint Commenters expressly advocate that the Commission decline to approve: (1) lost revenues arising from customer usage due to the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) late fees, disconnection fees, and reconnection fees that were not charged during the moratorium; (3) direct costs related to the COVID-19 pandemic, such as personal protective equipment and cleaning supplies, which should be offset by reduced costs related to decreased employee travel and training, among other items; (4) COVID-19 relief cost savings, including any benefits received from federal, state, or local government relief programs; (5) labor costs or payroll taxes, because normalized, ongoing levels of employee labor and benefits expenses are fully recovered in currently effective rates; and (6) carrying charges or interest on authorized regulatory deferrals.

13 In the event that the Commission allows the deferral of direct costs, the Joint Commenters request the Commission adopt a rebuttable presumption that direct costs are offset by savings, thus requiring utilities to present evidence in future proceedings demonstrating that realized cost reduction offsets did not exceed such incremental costs incurred in 2020 or 2021, and that the Commission require a broad tracking of offsetting cost savings. Finally, the Joint Commenters recommend that any deferred accounting petition the Commission approves should be subject to an earnings test and specific reporting requirements.

14 On December 4, 2020, Earthjustice, on behalf of Puget Sound Sage and Front and Centered, filed comments recommending the Commission deny the accounting petitions filed by each regulated energy company. Earthjustice argues that public interest considerations weigh strongly in favor of denial due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Washington consumers. Earthjustice echoes the Joint Commenters' request

³ Joint Comments ¶3.

⁴ *Id.*

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 6

ORDER 01

that the Commission should issue a statement calling for “shared sacrifice” between utilities and their customers.⁵

15 On December 7, 2020, the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers (AWEC) filed comments expressing support for the Joint Commenters’ proposals. Specifically, AWEC supports the Joint Commenters’ recommendation that only certain categories of costs should be deferred, and that the Commission should require an earnings test prior to allowing recovery of any deferred amounts. In the event the Commission allows utilities to defer incremental bad debt expense, AWEC recommends the Commission make clear that such incremental amounts will not factor into the historical average that is included in base rates in future rate cases because these are outlier amounts for which the utilities will have received full recovery.

16 On December 8, 2020, the NW Energy Coalition (NVEC) filed comments expressing support for the Joint Commenters’ proposals.

17 The Commission also received 483 comments from interested persons who are opposed to the accounting petitions filed by each utility. The commenters raised various issues, most commonly noting that the financial hardship created by the COVID-19 pandemic has been much greater for consumers than for utilities. Other commenters noted that rate increases disproportionately impact individuals with fixed incomes, that many small businesses have been forced to close due to the pandemic, and that local foodbanks are experiencing an increased need. Finally, commenters criticized the petitions for being overly broad, for seeking reimbursement for discriminatory fees prohibited by the Governor, and for failing to track savings as closely as they track costs.

DISCUSSION

18 We grant PacifiCorp’s Revised Petition subject to the conditions described herein. Many of the Joint Commenters’ positions, addressed in more detail below, are concerned with the recovery of deferred amounts rather than the deferrals themselves. Accordingly, those arguments should be raised at the time PacifiCorp seeks to recover the deferred costs in rates. As the Joint Commenters correctly observe, this Order does not pre-approve or guarantee recovery of any of the costs we approve for deferral in this docket. Moreover, this Order does not approve any specific methodology for measuring the costs and revenue identified in the Revised Petition. Any future recovery is subject to prudence

⁵ Earthjustice Comments, p. 7.

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 7

ORDER 01

review; the utility bears the burden of proving not only that the costs in question were prudently incurred, but also that it was confronted with extraordinary hardship at the time the deferral was recorded. We address each of the parties' proposals, including their proposed conditions, in turn.

Direct Costs

19 Staff supports the Company's request to defer direct costs, including PPE and cleaning supplies, for actions taken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Joint Commenters oppose the deferral of direct costs, arguing that these costs are reasonably presumed to be fully offset by pandemic-related cost reductions for expenses such as employee travel, training, office supplies, and office cleaning. In the alternative, the Joint Commenters recommend the Commission adopt a rebuttable presumption that direct costs were offset by such savings. Finally, the Joint Commenters urge the Commission to require broad tracking of offsetting operational cost savings.

20 We agree with Staff that the Company should be allowed to defer direct costs related to actions taken to respond to the pandemic because they are unusual and extraordinary, and decline to adopt a rebuttable presumption that these direct costs were offset by savings. Instead, we adopt the Joint Commenters' recommendation that PacifiCorp track all its operational COVID-19-related savings as a regulatory liability in FERC Account 253 (Other Deferred Credits), which may offset any or all of the direct costs the Company incurs. At the time PacifiCorp seeks recovery of deferred costs, it should simultaneously present its tracked savings and demonstrate how it has used those savings to offset its costs, which will inform the Commission's prudence review. Rather than impose an evidentiary presumption, we require the Company to present a holistic view of its costs and savings for Commission consideration.

Bad Debt

21 Consistent with Staff's recommendation in its Revised Term Sheet, PacifiCorp seeks deferral of bad debt expense accrued in 2020, 2021, and 2022 above the bad debt baseline established in the Company's last general rate case. The Joint Commenters object to the timing of the deferral, recommending the Commission allow the Company to defer these amounts only for 2020 and 2021. The Joint Commenters further recommend refining how bad debt is measured for deferral. We decline to adopt the Joint Commenters' recommendations. It is premature at this juncture to speculate about when the economy, let alone consumers, will recover from the financial impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 8

ORDER 01

Because we authorize only the deferral and not the recovery of these amounts, the Commission will evaluate the appropriate timeframe for deferring bad debt retrospectively at the time PacifiCorp seeks to recover those costs. Such retrospective analysis – rather than prospective speculation – is more appropriate in uncertain, unprecedented circumstances like those created by the current pandemic. Additionally, as stated above, this Order does not approve any specific methodology for measuring deferred costs and revenue. Accordingly, AWEC’s request that the Commission make clear that such incremental amounts will not factor into the historical average that is included in base rates in future rate cases is beyond the scope of the issues presented for resolution in this Docket. AWEC may offer its recommendation in the context of any future proceeding in which the Company seeks to recover these deferred amounts.

Customer Bill Assistance

- 22 The Joint Commenters generally accept PacifiCorp’s proposal to defer the costs of customer bill assistance, but recommend the Commission limit the deferral to the years 2020, 2021, and 2022 to reflect the temporary nature of these relief programs. We decline to adopt this limitation. As noted in the preceding paragraph, we are unable to speculate with any degree of certainty how long the pandemic will continue to negatively impact the economy. The appropriate time to establish the deferral timeframe will be when PacifiCorp seeks to recover these costs in rates. We do, however, agree with the Joint Commenters that the deferral for customer bill assistance should exclude existing bill assistance recovered through tariff rates.

Reconnection and Late Fees

- 23 PacifiCorp proposes to defer the average amount of reconnection and late fee charges collected over the previous five years (2015-2019) less the actual amount collected by the company from January 1 to March 1, 2020. The Joint Commenters oppose this request, arguing that the Governor’s Proclamations prohibit recovery of these revenues. In the event the Commission approves these revenues for deferral, the Joint Commenters request that PacifiCorp be required to offset the lost revenue by savings from avoided trips to disconnect and reconnect service.
- 24 Staff supports deferral of these revenues but notes that there is significant uncertainty about whether the Company will be able to recover them in light of the Governor’s Proclamations. Staff argues, however, that such a determination can be made at a later date. We agree. Deferring revenues in no way guarantees their later recovery, and the

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 9

ORDER 01

Commission can revisit this issue at the time it performs its prudence review. The inclusion of such uncertain revenues lends further support to our requirement, discussed below, that PacifiCorp records all deferrals authorized by this Order in FERC Account 186.

Interest on Deferred Costs

- 25 Both Staff and the Joint Commenters oppose PacifiCorp’s request to accrue interest on deferred costs at the Company’s authorized rate of return. The Joint Commenters argue that “approval of any carrying charges means that future Washington utility customers will not only be paying for deferred utility costs ... but will also be paying utilities a return on those amounts, amounts for which PacifiCorp has provided no estimate.”⁶ We agree. Authorizing interest on these deferred costs and revenues is not consistent with the public interest, and will not result in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable. The Company should have an opportunity to demonstrate that its deferred costs and revenues – which resulted from unusual and extraordinary circumstances that created significant economic hardship for a segment of its customers – were prudently incurred and have been offset appropriately by any savings. The Company’s shareholders, however, should not benefit financially from customer hardship.

Earnings Test and Reporting Requirements

- 26 We decline to adopt the Joint Commenters’ recommendation to require an earnings test as a condition of authorizing deferred accounting treatment. The Joint Commenters propose that PacifiCorp “test” the financial impact of accounting deferral entries each month by calculating its average achieved return on equity on a rolling 12-month basis to quantify the financial impact of the proposed deferrals. Under this system, any deferral entry that would result in excessive earnings would “fail” the test, and PacifiCorp would either reduce the deferred amount or not record the deferral.
- 27 Staff disagrees with the Joint Commenters, arguing that an earnings test for the purpose of determining whether a cost can be deferred is administratively problematic. We agree. By this Order, the Commission authorizes PacifiCorp to defer certain costs, and we decline to condition that deferral on a future earnings state. Instead, the Commission will review the deferred costs at the time PacifiCorp seeks to recover those costs in rates. In the event PacifiCorp over-earns during the deferral period, the Company will be unable to

⁶ Joint Comments ¶64.

DOCKET UE-200234

PAGE 10

ORDER 01

demonstrate that it experienced extraordinary circumstances, or that, absent recovery of the deferred costs, the Company will experience extraordinary financial instability going forward.

- 28 We do, however, agree with the Joint Commenters that PacifiCorp should be required to segregate each category of monthly accounting deferrals within separate subaccounts for tracking purposes, maintain workpapers and documentation, and state any assumptions made and algorithms employed for each monthly entry to these subaccounts. Each entry should be recorded in sufficient detail to facilitate Commission review in later proceedings. PacifiCorp should also work with Commission Staff and other stakeholders to develop an appropriate methodology for tracking its normalized earnings during the deferral period, and should report those earnings at such time as the Company seeks to recover its deferred costs. Rather than require PacifiCorp to file with the Commission quarterly reports summarizing the monthly amounts of the deferrals for each cost category, indicating separately the amounts actually recorded within published financial statements, as the Joint Commenters propose, we require the Company to present that information at the time it requests recovery of the deferrals authorized by this Order. Such information will assist interested parties and the Commission with understanding the impact of COVID-19 on the Company and whether the Company has effectively managed its operations in response to the pandemic.

COVID-19 Relief Cost Savings

- 29 The Joint Commenters recommend that PacifiCorp be required to defer as a regulatory liability all operational cost savings in addition to all cost savings, credits, payments, or other benefits received by the Company from a federal, state, or local government that are directly related to COVID-19 relief programs, including but not limited to federal, state, or local tax credits or benefits. We agree. Tracking these savings will ensure that any deferred COVID-19 costs are appropriately offset, which will assist the Commission in its prudence review.

FERC Accounts

- 30 Staff objects to PacifiCorp's proposal to record any deferred costs authorized by this Order to FERC Account 182.3, which is designated for regulatory assets, not includible in other accounts, that result from the ratemaking actions of regulatory agencies. These assets arise from specific revenues, expenses, gains, or losses that would have been included in net income determination in one period under the general requirements of the

DOCKET UE-200234
ORDER 01

PAGE 11

Uniform System of Accounts but for the probability that such items will be included in a different period(s) for purposes of developing rates the utility is authorized to charge for its services.⁷ As such, recovery of costs recorded to this account is characterized as “probable.”

31 By contrast, FERC Account 186 includes debits not provided for elsewhere, such as unusual or extraordinary expenses, the disposition of which is uncertain. We find that recovery of costs incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency is uncertain rather than likely because each category of costs will require a demonstration that they were incremental, appropriately offset by savings in other areas, prudently incurred for the safe and reliable provision of electric service, and confronted the Company with extraordinary circumstances.

32 FERC Account 186 is also appropriate in this instance because PacifiCorp has not demonstrated that it will appropriately limit the costs it defers. Accordingly, PacifiCorp must record the deferrals authorized by this Order in FERC Account 186 (Miscellaneous Deferred Debits) because it is the appropriate account for recording the categories of costs at issue in this docket, the final disposition of which is uncertain.

33 Finally, we deny PacifiCorp’s request to defer these costs “net of benefits.” As discussed above, in addition to deferring benefits received from federal, state, or local government relief programs, the Company must also track and defer operational savings.

Conclusion

34 PacifiCorp may defer the costs, revenues, and benefits identified in its Revised Petition from the date its original petition was filed, or March 20, 2020, subject to the conditions set out in this Order. With respect to PacifiCorp’s proposal to defer the average amount of reconnection and late payment fee charges collected over the previous five years (2015-2019) less the actual amount collected by the company from January 1 to March 1, 2020, we require PacifiCorp to reduce its deferral by the amount actually collected by the Company from January 1 to March 20, 2020, to coincide with the beginning of the deferral period.

⁷ 18 C.F.R. § 367.1(38); 18 C.F.R. § 367.1823.

DOCKET UE-200234
ORDER 01

PAGE 12

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 35 (1) The Commission is an agency of the State of Washington, vested by statute with authority to regulate rates, rules, regulations, practices, and accounts of public service companies, including investor-owned electric companies.
- 36 (2) PacifiCorp is a public service company regulated by the Commission, providing service as an electric company.
- 37 (3) The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over PacifiCorp.
- 38 (4) WAC 480-07-370(3) allows regulated companies to file petitions, including the Revised Petition PacifiCorp filed in this Docket.
- 39 (5) Staff has reviewed the Revised Petition in Docket UE-200234.
- 40 (6) Staff recommends the Commission grant the Revised Petition subject to the conditions discussed in the body of this Order.
- 41 (7) This matter came before the Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting on December 10, 2020.
- 42 (8) After reviewing PacifiCorp's Revised Petition filed in Docket UE-200234 and giving due consideration to all relevant matters and for good cause shown, the Commission finds that the Revised Petition should be granted subject to the conditions as outlined in this Order.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

- 43 (1) PacifiCorp's, d/b/a Pacific Power & Light, Revised Petition is granted in part, subject to conditions, as described in the body of this Order.
- 44 (2) This Order shall not affect the Commission's authority over rates, services, accounts, valuations, estimates, or determination of costs on any matters that may come before it. Nor shall this Order granting Petition be construed as an agreement to any estimate, determination of costs, valuation of property claimed

DOCKET UE-200234
ORDER 01

PAGE 13

or asserted or to the possible recovery of, or return on, the amounts deferred to the regulatory asset.

- 45 (3) The Commission retains jurisdiction to effectuate the provisions of this Order.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective December 10, 2020.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DAVID W. DANNER, Chair

ANN E. RENDAHL, Commissioner

JAY M. BALASBAS, Commissioner