# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Determining the Proper Carrier Classification of, and Complaint for Penalties against:

WESTSIDE WASTE, LLC

DOCKET TG-190672

ORDER 03

ORDER CLASSIFYING RESPONDENT AS A SOLID WASTE CARRIER; ORDER IMPOSING AND SUSPENDING PENALTIES

## BACKGROUND

- On November 8, 2019, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) entered Order 01, Order Instituting Special Proceeding; Complaint Seeking to Impose Penalties; and Notice of Prehearing Conference pursuant to RCW 81.04.510, initiating this docket on its own motion. The Complaint alleged that Westside Waste, LLC, (Westside Waste or Company) violated RCW 81.77.040 on 113 occasions by hauling solid waste for compensation without first obtaining from the Commission a certificate of public convenience and necessity.
- On December 20, 2019, the Commission convened a prehearing conference in this matter before Administrative Law Judge Rayne Pearson at its headquarters in Lacey, Washington. On December 23, 2020, the Commission entered Order 02, Prehearing Conference Order, which, among other things, scheduled a brief adjudicative proceeding for March 16, 2020.
- On March 5, 2020, the Commission's regulatory staff (Staff)<sup>1</sup> notified the Commission that the parties had reached a settlement in principle and requested the procedural schedule be suspended. March 11, 2020, the Commission issued a Notice Suspending Procedural Schedule and Notice Requiring Filing of Settlement Documents or Status

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In formal proceedings, such as this, the Commission's regulatory staff participates like any other party, while the Commissioners make the decision. To assure fairness, the Commissioners, the presiding administrative law judge, and the Commissioners' policy and accounting advisors do not discuss the merits of the proceeding with the regulatory staff, or any other party, without giving notice and opportunity for all parties to participate. *See* RCW 34.05.455.

#### **DOCKET TG-190672 - ORDER 03**

Report by March 20, 2020. On March 20, 2020, Staff filed a settlement agreement on behalf of the parties (Settlement).

- As part of the Settlement, Westside Waste admits that it violated RCW 81.77.040 on 113 occasions between June 3, 2019, and August 6, 2019, by hauling roofing debris to a Snohomish County transfer station without holding a certificate from the Commission required to conduct such operations. The parties agree that the Commission should enter an order classifying Westside Waste as a solid waste collection company and requiring the Company to cease and desist hauling solid waste until the Company applies for and receives a certificate from the Commission. The Settlement notes that Westside Waste currently has a solid waste certificate application pending in Docket TG-190653.
- 5 The parties further agree that the Commission should assess a penalty of \$1,000 for each violation alleged in the Complaint, for a total penalty of \$113,000, and that it should suspend a \$105,500 portion of the penalty for a period of two years, and then waive it, subject to the condition that Westside Waste refrains from providing all forms of solid waste service that require a certificate from the Commission. Finally, the parties agree that the Company should pay the remaining \$7,500 portion of the penalty in six consecutive monthly installments of \$1,250, the first of which will be due on the first day of the month following the entry of this Order.
- Jeff Roberson, Assistant Attorney General, Lacey, Washington, represents Staff. Steven
  Dietrich, Smith & Dietrich Law Offices PLLC, Lacey, Washington, represents Westside
  Waste.

#### **DISCUSSION AND DECISION**

- 7 WAC 480-07-750(1) states in part: "The commission will approve settlements when doing so is lawful, the settlement terms are supported by an appropriate record, and when the result is consistent with the public interest in light of all the information available to the commission." Thus, the Commission considers the individual components of the Settlement Agreement under a three-part inquiry, asking:
  - Whether any aspect of the proposal is contrary to law.
  - Whether any aspect of the proposal offends public policy.
  - Whether the evidence supports the proposed elements of the Settlement Agreement as a reasonable resolution of the issue(s) at hand.

- 8 The Commission must determine one of three possible results:
  - Approve the proposed settlement without condition.
  - Approve the proposed settlement subject to conditions.
  - Reject the proposed settlement.
- 9 We approve the Settlement without condition. Because Westside Waste admits to the 113 violations alleged in the Complaint, the Commission classifies Westside Waste as a solid waste collection carrier and orders the Company to cease and desist such conduct, as required by RCW 81.04.510.
- We find that the \$113,000 penalty, a \$105,500 portion of which is suspended for two years subject to the condition the Company complies with the cease and desist order, is reasonable, both in terms of the \$7,500 penalty the Company must pay according to the terms of the installment plan agreed to in the Settlement, and in terms of the substantial suspended amount it must pay if it fails to comply with the Order.
- 11 The terms of the Settlement are not contrary to law or public policy and reasonably resolve all issues in this proceeding. The Settlement supports the Commission's goal of deterring illegal operations and permits the Company to pay a reduced penalty contingent on the Company refraining from prohibited operations for two years, unless it obtains the required certificate, which provides an incentive for ongoing compliance. Given these factors, we find the Settlement is consistent with the public interest and should be approved as filed.

#### ORDER

#### THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

- (1) The settlement agreement is approved without condition, is attached as Exhibit A to, and incorporated into, this Order, and is adopted as the final resolution of the disputed issues in this docket.
- 13 (2) Westside Waste, LLC, is classified as a solid waste carrier within the state of Washington.
- 14 (3) Westside Waste, LLC, is ordered to immediately cease and desist providing all forms of solid waste collection services in the state of Washington without first obtaining a certificate from the Commission.

#### **DOCKET TG-190672 – ORDER 03**

15	(4)	Westside Waste, LLC, is assessed a penalty of \$113,000 for 113 violations of
		RCW 81.77.040. A \$105,500 portion of the penalty is suspended for a period of
		two years from the effective date of this Order subject to the condition that
		Westside Waste, LLC, complies with the terms of this Order.
16	(5)	Westside Waste, LLC, must pay the \$7,500 portion of the penalty that is not
		suspended in six equal monthly installments of \$1,250. The first payment is due
		on the first day of the month following the entry of this Order

17 (6) The Commission retains jurisdiction to effectuate the terms of this Order.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective March 25, 2020.

### WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

/s/ *Rayne Pearson* RAYNE PEARSON Administrative Law Judge

#### NOTICE TO PARTIES

This is an Initial Order. The action proposed in this Initial Order is not yet effective. If you disagree with this Initial Order and want the Commission to consider your comments, you must take specific action within the time limits outlined below. If you agree with this Initial Order and you would like the Order to become final before the time limits expire, you may send a letter to the Commission waiving your right to petition for administrative review.

WAC 480-07-610(7) provides that any party to this proceeding has 21 days after service of this initial order to file a petition for administrative review (Petition). Section (7)(b) of the rule identifies what you must include in any Petition as well as other requirements for a Petition. WAC 480-07-610(7)(c) states that any party may file a response to a Petition within 7 days after service of the Petition.

WAC 480-07-830 provides that before the Commission enters a final order any party may file a petition to reopen a contested proceeding to permit receipt of evidence that is essential to a decision, but unavailable and not reasonably discoverable at the time of hearing, or for other good and sufficient cause. The Commission will give other parties in the proceeding an opportunity to respond to a motion to reopen the record, unless the Commission determines that it can rule on the motion without hearing from the other parties.

WAC 480-07-610(9) provides that an Initial Order will become final without further Commission action if no party seeks administrative review of the Initial Order and if the Commission does not exercise administrative review on its own motion.

Any Petition or response must be electronically filed through the Commission's web portal as required by WAC 480-07-140(5).

# Exhibit A Settlement Agreement