











2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

## ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

Transcri	pt of Pi	roceedings
	P* O* * *	. occounings

August 11, 2025

In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC

UT-250544

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN • DEPOSITIONS

1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON		
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
3 4 5 6 7 8	In the Matter of the Application of Qwest (Corporation d/b/a (CenturyLink QC, (CenturyLink		
10 11	PREHEARING CONFERENCE		
12			
13			
14	CONNOR THOMPSON, Administrative Law Judge		
15 16	Proceedings Taken Via Zoom Videoconference		
17	2:00-3:12 PM		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	DATE: August 11, 2025		
25	REPORTED BY: Lori K. Haworth, RPR License No.: 2958		

1	APPEARANCES		
2	CONNOD THOMBCON Administrative Law Indee		
3	CONNOR THOMPSON, Administrative Law Judge		
4	ANN PAISNER, Administrative Law Judge		
5	For Commission Staff:	JEFF ROBERSON, AAG Office of the Attorney General	
6		P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504-0128	
7		360.522.0614 jeff.roberson@atg.wa.gov	
8	For CenturyLink QC:	ADAM SHERR	
9		Lumen Technology, Inc. 120 Lenora Street, Floor 5	
10		Seattle, Washington 98121-2210 206.398.2507	
11		adam.sherr@lumen.com	
12	For Public Counsel:	ROBERT SYKES, AAG Office of the Attorney General	
13		800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104-3188	
14		206.521.3211 robert.sykes@atg.wa.gov	
15	For Forged Fiber 37		
16	LLC:	RICHARD BUSCH Busch Law Firm	
17		22500 Southeast 64th Place Suite 130	
18		Issaquah, Washington 98027-8111 425.458.3940	
19		rich.busch@wirelesscounsel.com	
20	For Communication Workers of America,		
21	District 7:	HOOMAN HEDAYATI DAVID HYDE	
22	UTC Members:	JONATHON CHURCH	
23	PATRICK SEEGMILLER SEAN BENNETT		
24	TIM ZAWISLAK REBECCA BEATON		
25		STACEY BREWSTER RYAN SMITH	

1	APPEARANCE	S - (Continued)
2		
3	Also Present for CenturyLink QC: BROOK	VILLA
4	Also Present for	
5	Forged Fiber 37 LLC: ANNA 1	CAPETANAKOS MASCARO
6	ISABE	LLE SALGADO EN JEFFREYS
7		
8	Also Present for Public Counsel: CALLA	JANI MODIVACII
9		IAN MORITASO
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Let's go ahead and please 2. be on the record. Thank you. 3 Good afternoon. We are here today for a 4 prehearing conference in Docket UT-250544. This case is 5 captioned "In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation doing business as CenturyLink QC, For an 6 Order Authorizing the Transfer of Assets or a 7 Determination That an Order Authorizing the Transfer is 8 9 Not Required. 10 My name is Connor Thompson. I am an 11 Administrative Law Judge with the Commission, and I will 12 be presiding in this matter, along with Administrative 13 Law Judge Ann Paisner, who is also on the line today. 14 The Commissioners may preside in this matter. I have not made that determination yet, and we will do so after 15 16 the prehearing conference today. Regardless, the Commissioners will not be joining us at this prehearing 17 18 conference. 19 Let's go ahead and begin by taking appearances, 20 and we will go ahead and begin with CenturyLink. 21 ADAM SHERR: Good afternoon, your Honor. 22 Adam Sherr on behalf of CenturyLink. 23 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 24 And for Commission Staff. 25 JEFF ROBERSON: Good afternoon, Judge.

```
1
     Jeff Roberson, AAG, appearing on behalf of Commission
 2
     Staff.
 3
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
                                    Thank you very much.
 4
              And for Public Counsel.
 5
                   ROBERT SYKES: Good afternoon. Rob Sykes
 6
     on behalf of Public Counsel.
 7
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
              And for Forged Fiber 37 LLC.
 8
 9
                   RICHARD BUSCH: Good afternoon. Richard
10
     Busch, Busch Law Firm, representing Forged Fiber 37.
11
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
12
              And for Communication Workers of America,
13
     District 7.
14
                   HOOMAN HEDAYATI: Hello. Hooman Hedayati.
     I am here on behalf of CWA, District 7. And also, David
15
16
     Hyde, our district staff rep, is on the Zoom, as well.
17
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much.
18
              At this time, are there any other organizations
19
     on the call that want to give a verbal notice of
20
     appearance here today?
                   RICHARD BUSCH: If I may. On behalf of
21
22
     Forged Fiber, there are four additional observers: Anna
23
     Kapetanakos of AT&T; Carla Mascaro of AT&T; Isabelle
24
     Salgado of AT&T; and Maureen Jeffreys with Arnold
25
     & Porter.
```

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very much. 2 Okay. That brings us to Petitions For 3 Intervention. I believe we have already asked this, but 4 I will ask one last time. Are there any Petitions for 5 Intervention, other than those from Forged Fiber 37 LLC and from the Communications Workers of America, which 6 have been filed in writing? 7 Hearing none, let's go ahead and 8 9 proceed. 10 I have reviewed the Petitions to Intervene from 11 both parties. At this point in time, I'd like to ask, 12 are there any objections to either petition? 13 ADAM SHERR: Yes, your Honor. CenturyLink 14 objects to the intervention of CWA. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yeah. Go ahead. Please. 16 ADAM SHERR: Okav. 17 CWA has filed a Petition to Intervene. We were not served with that. It was only by happenstance that 18 19 I happened to check the Commission docket late last week and noticed that it had been filed. CWA did not satisfy 20 21 the requirements of WAC 480-07-355. Specifically, 22 Subsection (1)(c)(iv) requires CWA to state whether they 23 intend to broaden the scope of the proceeding. 24 very clear that CWA is aiming to broaden the scope of

the proceeding and lacks a substantial interest in the

proceeding.

This is actually a very narrow case. This is not a merger. This is not an acquisition. This is — what is before the Commission to consider here, at most, is the transfer of assets related to a relatively few number of fiber associated electronics — that number can be found in paragraph 8 of the Petition, it's confidential, but it's a pretty small number — that CenturyLink uses to provide voice service via the technology we refer to "POTS over PON." So that's plain old telephone service that is provided with fiber rather than over copper. It's a very small number relative to the number of voice customers. All those customers are going to remain our customers after the transfer, in terms of the voice service.

It's beyond the Commission's jurisdiction to consider the transfer of assets related to Internet services because the Commission doesn't regulate it. So the entirety of this transaction, which is a significant sized transaction, is not before the Commission. Really the only thing, at very most, that's before the Commission are these relatively few number of fibers that CenturyLink uses to provide voice service.

What CWA is asking is for the UTC to consider labor issues. Point you to paragraph -- I am sorry,

page 3. There were paragraph numbers in the -- in the Petition. But page 3 of CWA's Petition says AT&T has not committed to respecting the Collective Bargaining Agreements between CWA and Lumen for the small number of employees that are being transferred to AT&T. CWA is also concerned that the remaining workers at Lumen face the complete elimination of their good union jobs as Lumen exits the residential market in the next few years.

Leaving factual assumptions there aside. The Commission has repeatedly stated that it does not evaluate labor relations issues when considering -- at all. They specifically -- the Commission applies a zone of interest test to determine an organization's purpose and an interest protected by a Washington statute within the Commission's jurisdiction. The WUTC has consistently held that labor unions do not meet the standard. The union's primary purpose is as a bargaining representative of its members, and intervention here would serve its members' interests, such interests, related solely to collective bargaining issues, and not the jurisdiction of the Commission.

What I just read is really almost a direct quote from the Commission's Avista decision in Docket U-170970, Order Number 3, and that is from 2017. The

2.2

Commission said similar things in a Verizon case in 2009. I can give you the reference for that, as well. It's UT-9- -- I'm sorry. UT-090842.

So the labor issues which CWA is asking to inject into this proceeding are beyond the Commission's jurisdiction and not a basis for an intervention.

The other issue that CWA mentions in passing, without any detail on its Petition, relates to service quality issues. And I -- Lumen would -- or, CenturyLink would put forward that CWA is not properly or uniquely qualified or positioned to address those issues; although, Counsel and Staff are in a superior position and fully sufficient to address those issues. As your Honor may know, there is an open service quality proceeding, a complaint filed by Staff, and so those issues are being considered. They don't need to be considered here. And to the extent they would, it would be extraordinarily narrow, again, to those few number of POTS over PON fiber customers, voice customers who will remain with CenturyLink.

If CWA is interested in staying close to this case, which it's absolutely entitled to, CenturyLink has no objection to CWA being involved an as interested person. That will not affect filings in the case, service, et cetera.

And as a final note, I would note that CWA is not represented by counsel. WAC 480-07-345(1) only permits employees of an organization to seek -- or, to represent an organization if the Commission permits it. I don't believe an attorney is present or an attorney filed the Petition, and there hasn't been a showing that the representatives who filed the Petition have the requisite degree of legal training, experience, or skill to appear in a representative capacity. Thank you for allowing me to speak to the Petition. And CenturyLink asks the Commission to deny CWA's Petition for those reasons. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Ms. Haworth, did you have a question something that was said? (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And I will give CWA an opportunity to respond. But prior to doing so, I just want to go around the rest of the room and see if there are any other objections to any of the Petitions to Intervene. I will go ahead and start with Staff.

JEFF ROBERSON: Staff has no objection to either of the Petitions.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Public counsel? 1 ROBERT SYKES: Public Counsel has no 2. 3 objections. 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 5 And Forged Fiber? Thank you. We echo the 6 RICHARD BUSCH: comments from Adam, but more particularly, CWA raises 7 two issues. The first is service quality but related 8 9 precisely to broadband, the residential broadband market 10 after the transaction would be completed. And as you 11 know, the Commission does not regulate residential 12 broadband. It is non-regulated service. So to the 13 extent that there is service quality issues related to 14 residential broadband post closing, it's not something that's within the jurisdiction of the Commission. 15 16 And likewise, the second reason they asked to 17 intervene is for labor issues, which is beyond the 18 Commission's jurisdiction. So we are on the same page 19 as CenturyLink. Thank you. 20 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 21 And now, CWA, if you have any response to the 2.2 objections, I'd appreciate hearing them. 23 HEDAYATI HOOMAN: Yes, your Honor. was a lot of items mentioned, so I may miss some of 24

them, but yes. First, I would like to just clarify a

minute to explain this in the first opportunity I got to speak that I don't -- I am not here -- I am not here as CWA's counsel. We are in the process of identifying the Washington State Bar attorney that would represent us in this docket. We saw the public notice published with a three-day deadline for intervention, so that's why we quickly submitted our intervention before having identified the counsel to make an appearance for us here.

But the specific issues that was mentioned.

I'm trying to remember specific ones. We did mention we believe this transaction will cause harm to our CWA membership, but we are not interested to intervene just for that reason.

CWA also believes that this transaction, as structured, would harm consumers, meaning as in Washington state. We have concerns that Lumen, this -- if this transaction, if approved, it will make it much easier for Lumen/CenturyLink to exit the residential consumer market in this state and around the country, so we believe these are things that needs to be fully investigated. CWA members have a lot of experience working maintaining networks for both AT&T and Lumen, and I believe CWA is able to contribute to the Commission's understanding of issues that are happening

here. CWA -- well, not in Washington state. We, our members and our locals, have intervened in many UTC service quality investigations or other telecom related dockets around the country, and we try to use our members' expertise to be able to provide information to help with the process.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you for that.

I will take this under advisement, and we will make a ruling in the Prehearing Conference Order.

I just want to note and ask for input.

CenturyLink is generally correct, as is Forged Fiber, that in the past, the Commission, in granting intervention to labor unions, has limited that intervention to include things such as service quality, safety, et cetera, and not considered labor issue disputes and Collective Bargaining Agreements, as that falls outside of the Commission's jurisdiction. And so if intervention were granted, does CenturyLink or any other party have any limiting factors that they would ask the Commission place on CWA's potential intervention?

ADAM SHERR: Thank you, your Honor.

Obviously, we ask that -- your Honor to deny intervention. But if limited intervention is allowed, then as Mr. Busch said on behalf of Forged Fiber,

participation has to be limited to jurisdictional assets 1 and jurisdictional issues. So we are -- really, again, 2 3 are focusing on that small number of -- that small number of customer connections -- or partial customer 4 connections that are being sold to AT&T here. We think 5 the number can be added to the Petition. 6 To the extent there are service quality issues 7 that related to those few number, then it certainly 8 9 would be a focus. Again, I think Public Counsel and 10 Staff are perfectly capable and competent to consider 11 this. 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. 13 Does any other party have anything to add on 14 that point? RICHARD BUSCH: Nothing further for Forged 15 16 Fiber. Thank you. 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. As mentioned, I will take that under advisement, and we will rule on 18 19 CWA's intervention in the Prehearing Conference Order. 20 I do not believe that I have received a 21 proposed schedule before today's conference call. 22 the parties had an opportunity to discuss a proposed 23 schedule in this matter? 24 ADAM SHERR: Your Honor, yes. CenturyLink

just proposed a schedule to Public Counsel and to Staff.

```
1
     We did get some feedback. I didn't receive any feedback
     from Public Counsel, got some feedback from Staff, but
 2
 3
     we are, as of this moment, not on the same page --
 4
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
                                    Okay.
 5
                   ADAM SHERR: -- I think it's fair to say.
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Would it be
 6
     helpful for me to go off the call for a brief period of
 7
     time so that the parties can discuss the procedural
 8
     schedule, and then a member of Staff or Counsel can let
 9
10
     me know when the parties are ready for me to come back
11
     on?
12
                   JEFF ROBERSON: I think that would be
13
     helpful.
14
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Wonderful.
15
              And Mr. Roberson, would you send me a message
16
     when you are ready for me to return?
17
                   JEFF ROBERSON: (Nodded.)
18
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Wonderful.
19
     go ahead and be off the record at this point in time,
20
     and I will leave the Zoom room at this point in time.
21
                                Thank you, judge.
                   ADAM SHERR:
22
                             (Short recess.)
23
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: Let's go ahead and please
24
     be back on the record.
25
                     Have the parties agreed to a procedural
              Okay.
```

1 schedule or are we still at an impasse on a procedural schedule at this point? 2 ADAM SHERR: Yes, your Honor, we have. 3 4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Wonderful. And for the sake of the record, Mr. Sherr, would you mind 5 reading that into the record. 6 ADAM SHERR: I'd be happy to, and I will 7 also email it to your Honor and the parties afterwards 8 9 if that would make it easier. 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: That would be much 11 appreciated. Thank you. 12 ADAM SHERR: Okay. And I am going to try 13 to speak slowly, but please slow me down. 14 The first date on the schedule will be the 15 application filed, which was July 7, 2025. 16 Prehearing conference August 11, 2025. 17 CenturyLink and AT&T testimony and exhibits 18 August 22, 2025. 19 Parties-only settlement conference October 1, 20 2025. 21 Response testimony from Staff, Public Counsel, 22 and Interveners, if any, November 21, 2025. And at that 23 point, discovery responses will reduce from 10 business 24 days to seven business days. 25 Next, CenturyLink and AT&T rebuttal and Staff,

Public Counsel, Intervener cross-answering testimony would be December 19, 2025, and, at that point, discovery responses reducing from seven to five business days.

A discovery deadline of December 30, 2025.

The exhibit lists, cross-examination exhibits, witness lists, time estimates, and exhibit errata on January 9, 2026.

And an evidentiary hearing with closing arguments in lieu of post hearing briefs on January 15 and 16, 2026. It may be that it only needs one day, but just to be safe, we would ask the Commission to schedule two days.

And that is the hearing. That is the schedule we have agreed on.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Wonderful. Thank you.

Before we move on, I would just like to get a sense from the parties. I mentioned earlier that we have not yet determined whether or not the Commissioners will be presiding on this case. And I am not asking for any party to take a firm position. You won't be held to this at a later point in time. But is it -- Staff -- particularly, for Staff and Public Counsel. Do you believe we are going to get to the evidentiary hearing

2.2

or is this similar in nature to perhaps the transfer that just was finished up a couple of weeks ago where Staff and Public Counsel need to be able to get into the data before making a determination on the overall case?

JEFF ROBERSON: Yeah. Speaking for Staff,

they have seen the Petition but none of the underlying data. They don't really want to opine on the potential for settlement at this point.

ROBERT SYKES: And Public Counsel is going to need to see the underlying data too.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. And the reason I ask is just so that I can go back to the Commissioners and let them know my assessment of whether or not I believe they will be needed in this proceeding.

I appreciate the parties coming to a procedural schedule. I will note that if the Commissioners are going to be presiding during the evidentiary hearing, I will need to check their calendars and insure that the proposed hearing date works for the Commissioner calendars.

JEFF ROBERSON: So, Judge Thompson, to the extent it matters, Staff is fine with the initial hearing being in front of two presiding ALJs or one presiding ALJ. I don't know that we necessarily prefer

that the Commissioners sit for this one.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for that. Does any other party have a position on that topic?

I see head shakes. Okay. Well, thank you very much. We will go ahead and clarify in the Prehearing Conference Order whether the Commissioners intend to sit or not, and I will take a look at their calendars to see if there is any conflicts, and perhaps let the parties know if there are any conflicts, prior to issuing the Prehearing Conference Order so that if that weighs on whether or not the party has a preference for the Commissioners sitting or not, that can be made known at that point in time.

Okay. For data requests. We have already discussed deadlines and shortening those deadlines throughout the proceeding, and we will incorporate those in the procedural schedule.

I am also aware that parties often request that any data requests and responses be shared with every other party. Would it make it easier on the parties to include such a requirement in the Prehearing Conference Order?

JEFF ROBERSON: From Staff's perspective, yes.

1	ADAM SHERR: Agreed. From CenturyLink	
2	perspective, yes.	
3	ROBERT SYKES: Agreed.	
4	ADAM SHERR: If I could ask real quickly.	
5	So I am just making sure you're invoking the discovery	
6	rule. We'd request you to do that. I just want to	
7	confirm that that would be part of the Prehearing	
8	Conference Order.	
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: That's correct.	
10	ADAM SHERR: Thank you. Appreciate that.	
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: And for Forged Fiber?	
12	RICHARD BUSCH: That is correct.	
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Wonderful.	
14	And CWA?	
15	HEDAYATI HOOMAN: Yes.	
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	
17	Would the parties like a Protective Order in	
18	this docket?	
19	ADAM SHERR: Yes, your Honor.	
20	CenturyLink	
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. We will go ahead	
22	and issue a separate Protective Order.	
23	ADAM SHERR: If I may ask a question	
24	regarding that, your Honor?	
25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please do.	

ADAM SHERR: The application filed by

CenturyLink does include some confidential information.

I believe, if memory serves, we referenced the rule and not a Protective Order. That didn't yet exist. I don't know if it's necessary to go back and refile it with references to the Protective Order or if the labeling that's on it is sufficient.

JUDGE THOMPSON: We can address that in the Protective Order, I think, similar in nature to what we did in the Protective Order in the other CenturyLink proceeding before the Commission now where we referenced that, those confidential designations, so they didn't have to be redone.

ADAM SHERR: That works great. Thank you so much.

JUDGE THOMPSON: You're welcome.

Okay. Electronic filing and electronic service. The Commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal proceedings. We will also be requiring -- well, we typically require the parties to submit five paper copies of testimony, exhibits, and briefs within two business days of electronically filing these same documents. Typically, spreadsheets and models are exempted if they exceed five pages.

Do the parties have a preference for waiving

2.2

```
1
     paper copies in this proceeding?
 2
                   ADAM SHERR:
                                CenturyLink has that
     preference, your Honor.
 3
 4
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: As to Staff?
 5
                   JEFF ROBERSON:
                                   Yeah.
                                  Public Counsel agrees.
 6
                   ROBERT SYKES:
 7
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
                                    Thank you very much.
 8
                   RICHARD BUSCH:
                                   Forged Fiber agrees.
 9
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
                                    And CWA?
10
                   HEDAYATI HOOMAN:
                                     Same for CW, yes.
11
                   JUDGE THOMPSON:
                                    Okay.
                                           Thank you.
                                                        Wе
     will go ahead and waive the paper requirement at this
12
13
     point in time. I will note that if the Commissioners
14
     are presiding in this docket, that may be amended later
          If we are planning on an ALJ-only evidentiary
15
     hearing on January 15th and 16th, then we will make due
16
17
     with electronic copies.
18
                   ADAM SHERR: Your Honor, I have one just
     small administrative matter, if I could ask. I don't
19
20
     believe I am on the service list for the case.
21
     didn't -- I'd just ask if you could confirm that I am on
22
     it along with Bill Magness, who signed the application,
23
     as well, on behalf of CenturyLink.
24
                   JUDGE THOMPSON: I will check on that in
25
     just a moment.
                     Yes.
```

1 I appreciate that. ADAM SHERR: 2 I will note that the JUDGE THOMPSON: 3 Commission's rules provide for electronic service, and 4 we do ask that parties serve one another electronically 5 when making a filing at the Commission. In regards to the service list. You should be 6 able to find that under the docket number for this 7 proceeding. And service would need to be made on all of 8 9 those individuals listed on the service list. 10 That brings us to the service list. If any 11 party has not yet designated a lead representative for 12 service, please do so via email me -- via email to me or 13 Judge Paisner. My email is 14 "Connor.Thompson@utc.wa.gov." That's 15 C-o-n-n-o-r.T-h-o-m-p-s-o-n-@-u-t-c.w-a.g-o-v. And 16 Judge Paisner's email is "Ann.Paisner@utc.wa.gov." "Paisner" is P-a-i-s-n-e-r. I will check the service 17 18 list here in just a second for representatives for 19 CenturyLink. 20 If you have or would like to add names or email 21 addresses for other representatives or support staff who 22

should receive electronic courtesy copies of all documents filed in this proceeding, please email that to us, as well.

We have established a deadline for errata

23

24

- 1 sheets, and so I don't believe that we need to go over that at this point in time. I will just check the 2 3 docket and the service list. If you could bear with me 4 for a moment. 5 JEFF ROBERSON: Records has Mr. Sherr. He is on the service list. 6 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I am seeing that, as well. And we will go ahead and have Mr. Magness 8 9 added, as well.
  - ADAM SHERR: I appreciate that very much.

    JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. At this point in time, I do want to go back to Interveners and intervention.

I remembered, while I was off the Zoom, that I have not made a ruling on the intervention of Forged Fiber. I will grant Forged Fiber 37 LLC status as an Intervener, as no party objected to Forged Fiber's intervention and they sufficiently laid out their interest in this proceeding in their Petition to Intervene.

As mentioned earlier, I will continue to take CWA's intervention under advisement and include a ruling on that in the Prehearing Conference Order.

Is there anything else we need to address today? I will just go ahead and start with CenturyLink.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Thank you, your Honor. ADAM SHERR: No. 2 I appreciate it. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. 4 Staff? 5 JEFF ROBERSON: Not from Staff. 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. 7 Public Counsel? Nothing from Public 8 ROBERT SYKES: 9 Counsel. 10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Forged Fiber? 11 Nothing from Forged Fiber. RICHARD BUSCH: 12 Thank you. 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. 14 And CWA? 15 HEDAYATI HOOMAN: Nothing from CWA. 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you very Okay. 17 much. We will plan on issuing an Order shortly 18 19 containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines 20 for the disposition of this case. 21 CWA, if you obtain counsel and have them 22 intervene on your behalf in this docket and enter their 23 appearance, we will take that into consideration if it 24 occurs before issuance of the Prehearing Conference 25 Order.

```
And with that, we are adjourned.
 1
                                                     Thank you
 2
     very much.
               And we can go off the record.
 3
                                (Proceedings ended at 3:12 PM.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss	
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE )	
5		
6 7	I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify: That the foregoing was taken stenographically before me and reduced to a typed	
8	format under my direction;	
9	That I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel or participant and that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the action or the	
10	outcome herein;	
11	That the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings, and said transcript was	
12	prepared pursuant to the Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135 preparation guidelines;	
13		
14	/s/LORI K. HAWORTH, RPR, CCR	
15	State of Washington CCR #2958 My CCR certification expires on 7/17/26	
16	B&A Litigation Services biia@balitigation.com	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	<u> </u>	
1	9	appearance 5:20 12:8 25:23 appearances 4:19
4 40:40	0.47.0	appearing 5:1
<b>1</b> 16:19 <b>10</b> 16:23	<b>9</b> 17:8	application 4:5 16:15 21:1 22:22
	Α	applies 8:13
<b>11</b> 16:16		appreciated 16:11
<b>15</b> 17:10	<b>AAG</b> 5:1	approved 12:18
<b>15th</b> 22:16	absolutely 9:22	arguments 17:10
<b>16</b> 17:11	acquisition 7:3	Arnold 5:24
<b>16th</b> 22:16	<b>Adam</b> 4:21,22 6:13,16 11:7 13:22 14:24 15:5,21 16:3,7,12 20:1,4,10,	
<b>19</b> 17:2	19,23 21:1,14 22:2,18 23:1 24:10	asks 10:11
2	<del></del>	assessment 18:14
	— <b>add</b> 14:13 23:20	assets 4:7 7:5,17 14:1
<b>2009</b> 9:2	<b>added</b> 14:6 24:9	assumptions 8:10
<b>2017</b> 8:25	additional 5:22	<b>AT&amp;T</b> 5:23,24 8:2,5 12:23 14:5 16:17,25
<b>2025</b> 16:15,16,18,20,22 17:2,5	address 9:11,13 21:8 24:24	attorney 10:5 12:4
<b>2026</b> 17:8,11	addresses 23:21	August 16:16,18
<b>21</b> 16:22	adjourned 26:1	Authorizing 4:7,8
<b>22</b> 16:18	administrative 4:11,12 22:19	Avista 8:24
3	<b>advisement</b> 13:8 14:18 24:22	<b>aware</b> 19:19
	affect 9:24	
<b>3</b> 8:1,2,25	afternoon 4:3,21,25 5:5,9	В
<b>30</b> 17:5	<b>agreed</b> 15:25 17:15 20:1,3	back 15:10,24 18:13 21:5 24:12
<b>37</b> 5:8,10 6:5 24:16	Agreements 8:4 13:16	Bar 12:4
<b>3:12</b> 26:4	agrees 22:6,8	bargaining 8:3,19,21 13:16
4	<b>ahead</b> 4:1,19,20 6:8,15 10:21 15:19, 23 19:6 20:21 22:12 24:8,25	basis 9:6
4	aiming 6:24	<b>bear</b> 24:3
<b>480-07-345(1)</b> 10:2	ALJ 18:25	<b>begin</b> 4:19,20
<b>480-07-355</b> 6:21	<b>ALJ-ONLY</b> 22:15	behalf 4:22 5:1,6,15,21 13:25 22:23
7	ALJS 18:24	25:22
·	allowed 13:24	believes 12:15
<b>7</b> 5:13,15 16:15	allowing 10:10	Bill 22:22
	amended 22:14	<b>briefs</b> 17:10 21:22
8	— <b>America</b> 5:12 6:6	<b>brings</b> 6:2 23:10
<b>8</b> 7:7	<b>Ann</b> 4:13	broadband 11:9,12,14
	Ann.paisner@utc.wa.gov. 23:16	broaden 6:23,24
	Anna 5:22	<b>Busch</b> 5:9,10,21 11:6 13:25 14:15 20:12 22:8 25:11

Page 28Index: 1..Busch

In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink QC Prehearing Conference, Vol 1 - August 11, 2025 Page 29Index: business..earlier **business** 4:6 16:23.24 17:3 21:22 **CWA's** 8:2 10:12 12:3 13:20 14:19 completed 11:10 24:22 concerned 8:6 C concerns 12:17 D conference 4:4,16,18 13:9 14:19, C-o-n-n-o-r.t-h-o-m-p-s-o-n-@-u-21 16:16,19 19:7,11,22 20:8 24:23 t-c.w-a.g-o-v. 23:15 data 18:4.7.10 19:15.20 25:24 date 16:14 18:20 calendars 18:19,21 19:8 confidential 7:8 21:2,12 call 5:19 14:21 15:7 **David** 5:15 confirm 20:7 22:21 day 17:11 capable 14:10 **conflicts** 19:9.10 capacity 10:9 days 16:24 17:4,13 21:22 connections 14:4,5 deadline 12:6 17:5 23:25 captioned 4:5 Connor 4:10 deadlines 19:16 **Carla** 5:23 Connor.thompson@utc.wa.gov. December 17:2.5 case 4:4 7:2 9:1,22,24 17:21 18:4 23:14 22:20 25:20 decision 8:24 consideration 25:23 **Centurylink** 4:6,20,22 6:13 7:9,23 degree 10:8 considered 9:16,17 13:15 9:9,20,22 10:11 11:19 13:11,18 14:24 16:17,25 20:1,20 21:2,10 deny 10:11 13:23 consistently 8:17 22:2,23 23:19 24:25 designated 23:11 consumer 12:20 cetera 9:25 13:15 designations 21:12 consumers 12:16 **check** 6:19 18:19 22:24 23:17 24:2 detail 9:8 continue 24:21 clarify 11:25 19:6 determination 4:8,15 18:4 contribute 12:24 clear 6:24 determine 8:14 **copies** 21:21 22:1,17 23:22 **close** 9:21 determined 17:20 copper 7:12 **closing** 11:14 17:9 direct 8:23 Corporation 4:6 collective 8:3.21 13:16 discovery 16:23 17:3,5 20:5 **correct** 13:11 20:9,12 comments 11:7 discuss 14:22 15:8 counsel 5:4,6 9:12 10:2 11:1,2 12:3, **Commission** 4:11,24 5:1 6:19 7:4, 8 14:9,25 15:2,9 16:21 17:1,24 18:3, discussed 19:16 18.20.22 8:11.13.22 9:1 10:4.11 9 22:6 25:7.9.21 discussion 10:16 11:11,15 13:12,20 17:12 21:11,18 country 12:20 13:4 disposition 25:20 couple 18:2 Commission's 7:16 8:16,24 9:5 disputes 13:16 11:18 12:25 13:17 23:3 courtesy 23:22 district 5:13.15.16 Commissioner 18:20 cross-answering 17:1 docket 4:4 6:19 8:24 12:5 20:18 **Commissioners** 4:14,17 17:20 cross-examination 17:6 22:14 23:7 24:3 25:22 18:13,17 19:1,7,13 22:13 customer 14:4 dockets 13:4 committed 8:3 **customers** 7:13,14 9:19 documents 21:19,23 23:23 Communication 5:12 **CW** 22:10 due 22:16 Communications 6:6 **CWA** 5:15 6:14,17,20,22,24 7:24 competent 14:10 8:4,5 9:4,7,10,21,23 10:1,18 11:7,21 Ε

12:12,15,22,24 13:1 20:14 22:9

BA LITIGATION SERVICES

25:14,15,21

earlier 17:19 24:21

complaint 9:15

complete 8:7

Page 30Index: easier..intervened

easier 12:19 16:9 19:21 feedback 15:1.2 happening 12:25 happenstance 6:18 echo 11:6 fiber 5:8,10,22 6:5 7:6,11 9:19 11:5 13:11,25 14:16 20:11 22:8 24:16 electronic 21:17,18 22:17 23:3,22 **happy** 16:7 25:10,11 electronically 21:22 23:4 harm 12:12,16 Fiber's 24:17 electronics 7:6 Haworth 10:14 fibers 7:22 elimination 8:7 **head** 19:5 filed 6:7,17,20 9:15 10:6,7 16:15 21:1 23:23 email 16:8 23:12,13,16,20,23 hearing 6:8 11:22 17:9,10,14,25 18:18,20,24 22:16 filing 21:17,18,22 23:5 **employees** 8:5 10:3 **Hedayati** 5:14 11:23 20:15 22:10 filings 9:24 **ended** 26:4 25:15 enter 25:22 final 10:1 held 8:17 17:22 entirety 7:19 find 23:7 helpful 15:7,13 fine 18:23 entitled 9:22 **Honor** 4:21 6:13 9:14 11:23 13:22, finished 18:2 errata 17:7 23:25 23 14:24 16:3,8 20:19,24 22:3,18 established 23:25 firm 5:10 17:22 **Hooman** 5:14 11:23 20:15 22:10 estimates 17:7 focus 14:9 25:15 evaluate 8:12 focusing 14:3 Hyde 5:16 evidentiary 17:9,25 18:18 22:15 Forged 5:8,10,22 6:5 11:5 13:11,25 14:15 20:11 22:8 24:15,16,17 25:10, ı **exceed** 21:24 11 exempted 21:24 **formal** 21:19 identified 12:8 **exhibit** 17:6,7 forward 9:10 identifying 12:3 exhibits 16:17 17:6 21:21 found 7:7 impasse 16:1 **exist** 21:4 front 18:24 include 13:14 19:22 21:2 24:22 exit 12:19 fully 9:13 12:21 incorporate 19:17 exits 8:8 individuals 23:9 G **experience** 10:8 12:22 **information** 13:5 21:2 expertise 13:5 generally 13:11 **initial** 18:23 explain 12:1 give 5:19 9:2 10:18 inject 9:5 extent 9:17 11:13 14:7 18:23 **qood** 4:3,21,25 5:5,9 8:7 input 13:10 extraordinarily 9:18 **insure** 18:19 grant 24:16 granted 13:18 intend 6:23 19:7 F granting 13:12 interest 6:25 8:14,15 24:19 face 8:6 great 21:14 interested 9:21,23 12:13 **factors** 13:19 guidelines 25:19 **interests** 8:20,21 factual 8:10 Internet 7:17 Н fair 15:5 intervene 6:10.17 10:21 11:17 12:13 24:20 25:22 **falls** 13:17 happened 6:19 intervened 13:2

Page 31Index: Intervener..objections

renearing Conference, voi 1 - Augu	St 11, 2025	Page 3 findex: intervenerobjection
Intervener 17:1 24:17	labor 7:25 8:12,17 9:4 11:17 13:13,	members 8:19 12:22 13:2
Interveners 16:22 24:12	15	members' 8:20 13:5
intervention 6:3,5,14 8:20 9:6 12:6,	lacks 6:25	membership 12:13
7 13:13,14,18,21,24 14:19 24:13,15, 18,22	laid 24:18	memory 21:3
investigated 12:22	late 6:19	mention 12:11
investigations 13:3	<b>Law</b> 4:11,13 5:10	mentioned 11:24 12:10 14:17 17:19
invoking 20:5	<b>lead</b> 23:11	24:21
involved 9:23	<b>leave</b> 15:20	mentions 9:7
Isabelle 5:23	Leaving 8:10	merger 7:3
	legal 10:8	message 15:15
issuance 25:24	lieu 17:10	<b>mind</b> 16:5
issue 9:7 13:15 20:22	likewise 11:16	minute 12:1
<b>issues</b> 7:25 8:12,22 9:4,9,11,13,16 11:8,13,17 12:10,25 14:2,7	limited 13:13,24 14:1	models 21:24
issuing 19:10 25:18	limiting 13:19	moment 15:3 22:25 24:4
items 11:24	list 22:20 23:6,9,10,18 24:3,6	<b>move</b> 17:18
	listed 23:9	
J	lists 17:6,7	N
January 17:0 10 20:16	<b>LLC</b> 5:8 6:5 24:16	names 23:20
January 17:8,10 22:16	locals 13:2	narrow 7:2 9:18
<b>Jeff</b> 4:25 5:1 10:23 15:12,17 18:5,22 19:24 22:5 24:5 25:5	<b>lot</b> 11:24 12:22	<b>nature</b> 18:1 21:9
Jeffreys 5:24	<b>Lumen</b> 8:4,6,8 9:9 12:17,23	necessarily 18:25
jobs 8:7	Lumen/centurylink 12:19	needed 18:15
joining 4:17		networks 12:23
judge 4:1,11,13,23,25 5:3,7,11,17	M	Nodded 15:17
6:1,15 10:13,17,25 11:4,20 13:7 14:12,17 15:4,6,14,18,21,23 16:4,10	made 4:15 19:13 23:8 24:15	non-regulated 11:12
17:16 18:11,22 19:2 20:9,11,13,16,	Magness 22:22 24:8	note 10:1 13:10 18:17 22:13 23:2
21,25 21:8,16 22:4,7,9,11,24 23:2, 13,16 24:7,11 25:3,6,10,13,16	maintaining 12:23	notice 5:19 12:5
	<b>make</b> 12:8,18 13:9 16:9 19:21 22:16	noticed 6:20
July 16:15	making 18:4 20:5 23:5	November 16:22
<b>jurisdiction</b> 7:16 8:16,22 9:6 11:15, 18 13:17	market 8:8 11:9 12:20	number 7:6,8,12,13,22 8:4,25 9:18
jurisdictional 14:1,2	Mascaro 5:23	14:3,4,6,8 23:7
	matter 4:5,12,14 14:23 22:19	numbers 8:1
K	matters 18:23	
Kapetanakos 5:23	Maureen 5:24	0
	meaning 12:16	objected 24:17
L	meet 8:17	<b>objection</b> 9:23 10:23
Lab allow or or o	member 15:9	objections 6:12 10:20 11:3,22
labeling 21:6	member 19.3	·

Page 32Index: objects..reduce protected 8:15 **petition** 6:12,17 7:7 8:2 9:8 10:6,7, 11.12 14:6 18:6 24:19 **Protective** 20:17,22 21:4,6,9,10 **Petitions** 6:2,4,10 10:21,24 provide 7:9,23 13:5 23:3 **place** 13:20 provided 7:11 plain 7:10 **public** 5:4,6 11:1,2 12:5 14:9,25 15:2 16:21 17:1,24 18:3,9 22:6 25:7, plan 25:18 planning 22:15 published 12:5 **PM** 26:4 purpose 8:14,18 point 6:11 7:25 14:14 15:19,20 16:2, **Order** 4:7,8 8:25 13:9 14:19 19:7,11, 23 17:2,23 18:8 19:14 22:13 24:2,11 **put** 9:10 23 20:8,17,22 21:4,6,9,10 24:23 PON 7:10 9:19 Q Porter 5:25 position 9:12 17:22 19:3 QC 4:6 positioned 9:11 qualified 9:11 post 11:14 17:10 quality 9:9,14 11:8,13 13:3,14 14:7 potential 13:20 18:7 question 10:14 20:23 **POTS** 7:10 9:19 quickly 12:7 20:4 precisely 11:9 **quote** 8:24 **prefer** 18:25 Qwest 4:5 preference 19:12 21:25 22:3 R prehearing 4:4,16,17 13:9 14:19 16:16 19:6,11,22 20:7 24:23 25:24 raises 11:7 present 10:5 read 8:23 preside 4:14 reading 16:6 presiding 4:12 17:21 18:18,24,25 ready 15:10,16 22:14 parties 6:11 14:22 15:8,10,25 16:8 real 20:4 pretty 7:8 17:19 18:16 19:9,19,21 20:17 21:20, reason 11:16 12:14 18:12 primary 8:18 reasons 10:12 **prior** 10:19 19:10 procedural 15:8,25 16:1 18:16 rebuttal 16:25 19:18 25:19 receive 15:1 23:22 proceed 6:9 received 14:20 proceeding 6:23,25 7:1 9:5,15 recess 15:22 18:15 19:17 21:11 22:1 23:8,23

Parties-only 16:19

participation 14:1

party 13:19 14:13 17:22 19:3,12,21

23:11 24:17 passing 9:7

objects 6:14

**obtain** 25:21

occurs 25:24

**October** 16:19

opportunity 10:18 12:1 14:22

Ρ

open 9:14

**opine** 18:7

25:18,25

organization 10:3,4 organization's 8:14

organizations 5:18

P-A-I-S-N-E-R 23:17

Paisner 4:13 23:13,17

paper 21:21 22:1,12

paragraph 7:7,25 8:1

pages 21:24

part 20:7

25 23:4

partial 14:4

Paisner's 23:16

observers 5:22

past 13:12

perfectly 14:10

period 15:7

**permits** 10:3,4

person 9:24

**perspective** 19:24 20:2

proceedings 21:19 26:4

process 12:3 13:6

properly 9:10

proposed 14:21,22,25 18:20

record 4:2 10:16 15:19,24 16:5,6 26:3

Records 24:5 **redone** 21:13

reduce 16:23

Page 33Index: reducing..submitted

reducing 17:3
refer 7:10
reference 9:2
referenced 21:3,11
references 21:6
refile 21:5

regulate 7:18 11:11

**related** 7:5,17 8:21 11:8,13 13:3 14:8

relates 9:8
relations 8:12
relative 7:12
remain 7:14 9:20
remaining 8:6

remember 12:11 remembered 24:14

**rep** 5:16

repeatedly 8:11 represent 10:4 12:4

**representative** 8:19 10:9 23:11 **representatives** 10:7 23:18,21

represented 10:2 representing 5:10 request 19:19 20:6 requests 19:15,20 require 21:20

Required 4:9

requirement 19:22 22:12

requirements 6:21 requires 6:22 21:18 requiring 21:20 requisite 10:8

residential 8:8 11:9,11,14 12:19

respecting 8:3 respond 10:18

response 11:21 16:21

responses 16:23 17:3 19:20

rest 10:19 return 15:16 reviewed 6:10

**Richard** 5:9,21 11:6 14:15 20:12 22:8 25:11

**Rob** 5:5

**Roberson** 4:25 5:1 10:23 15:12,15, 17 18:5,22 19:24 22:5 24:5 25:5

**ROBERT** 5:5 11:2 18:9 20:3 22:6

25:8

room 10:20 15:20 rule 14:18 20:6 21:3

rules 23:3

ruling 13:9 24:15,22

S

safe 17:12safety 13:15sake 16:5Salgado 5:24satisfy 6:20

**schedule** 14:21,23,25 15:9 16:1,2, 14 17:12,14 18:17 19:18 25:19

scope 6:23,24 seek 10:3 send 15:15 sense 17:19 separate 20:22 serve 8:20 23:4 served 6:18

**service** 7:9,11,15,23 9:8,14,25 11:8, 12,13 13:3,14 14:7 21:18 22:20 23:3,6,8,9,10,12,17 24:3,6

services 7:18

serves 21:3

settlement 16:19 18:8

**shakes** 19:5 **shared** 19:20 **sheets** 24:1

**Sherr** 4:21,22 6:13,16 13:22 14:24 15:5,21 16:3,5,7,12 20:1,4,10,19,23 21:1,14 22:2,18 23:1 24:5,10 25:1

**short** 15:22

shortening 19:16

shortly 25:18 showing 10:6 signed 22:22

significant 7:19

similar 9:1 18:1 21:9

sit 19:1,7 sitting 19:13 sized 7:20 skill 10:8 slow 16:13

**slowly** 16:13

small 7:8,12 8:4 14:3 22:19

**sold** 14:5 **solely** 8:21

**speak** 10:10 12:2 16:13

Speaking 18:5 specific 12:10,11 specifically 6:21 8:13 spreadsheets 21:23

**staff** 4:24 5:2,16 9:12,15 10:22,23 14:10,25 15:2,9 16:21,25 17:23,24 18:3,5,23 22:4 23:21 25:4,5

**Staff's** 19:24 **standard** 8:18 **start** 10:22 24:25

stated 8:11

state 6:22 12:4,17,20 13:1

status 24:16 statute 8:15 staying 9:21 structured 12:16 submit 21:21 submitted 12:7

Page 34Index: Subsection..Zoom Subsection 6:22 underlying 18:6,10 **Zoom** 5:16 15:20 24:14 substantial 6:25 understanding 12:25 **sufficient** 9:13 21:7 union 8:7 sufficiently 24:18 union's 8:18 superior 9:12 unions 8:17 13:13 support 23:21 uniquely 9:10 **Sykes** 5:5 11:2 18:9 20:3 22:6 25:8 **UT-090842** 9:3 UT-250544 4:4 Т **UT-9-** 9:3 **UTC** 7:24 13:2 taking 4:19 technology 7:10 ٧ telecom 13:3 telephone 7:11 verbal 5:19 terms 7:15 Verizon 9:1 test 8:14 voice 7:9,13,15,23 9:19 testimony 16:17,21 17:1 21:21 W thing 7:21 **things** 9:1 12:21 13:14 WAC 6:21 10:2 **Thompson** 4:1,10,23 5:3,7,11,17 waive 22:12 6:1,15 10:13,17,25 11:4,20 13:7 waiving 21:25 14:12,17 15:4,6,14,18,23 16:4,10 17:16 18:11,22 19:2 20:9,11,13,16, Washington 8:15 12:4,17 13:1 21,25 21:8,16 22:4,7,9,11,24 23:2 week 6:19 24:7,11 25:3,6,10,13,16 weeks 18:2 three-day 12:6 **weighs** 19:11 **time** 5:18 6:4,11 15:8,19,20 17:7,23 19:14 22:13 24:2,12 Wonderful 15:14,18 16:4 17:16 20:13 today 4:3,13,16 5:20 24:25 workers 5:12 6:6 8:6 today's 14:21 working 12:23 topic 19:4 works 18:20 21:14 training 10:8 writing 6:7 **transaction** 7:19,20 11:10 12:12, 15.18 **WUTC** 8:16 transfer 4:7,8 7:5,14,17 18:1 Υ transferred 8:5 typically 21:20,23 years 8:9 U Ζ U-170970 8:25 **zone** 8:13