Qwest

1600 7th Avenue, Room 3206 Seattle, Washington 98191 (206) 398-2504 Facsimile (206) 343-4040

Maura E. Peterson Paralegal Regulatory Law

VIA E-MAIL AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

September 1, 2004

Re: Qwest Master Services Agreement between Qwest Corporation and United Communications dba Unicom

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") and United Communications dba Unicom ("Customer") recently executed a commercial agreement relating to the provisioning of switching and shared transport. The agreement is entitled "Master Services Agreement" and attaches as Exhibit 1 the "Qwest Platform PlusTM Service," which together hereinafter are termed the "QPPTM Agreement."

I am submitting with this letter a courtesy copy of the QPPTM Agreement for informational purposes only. The reason that I am submitting the QPPTM agreement for informational purposes only is because Qwest believes that Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), does not require filing of the QPPTM Agreement for approval with state commissions. As you are likely aware, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals decision in what has been termed *USTA II* became effective on June 16, 2004. In *USTA II*, the D.C. Circuit vacated various rules promulgated by the FCC, including but not limited to, the requirement that incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") unbundle certain network elements pursuant to Section 251(c)(3), including but not limited to switching and shared transport. As stated by the FCC, the Section 252(e) filing obligation applies to "an agreement that creates an *ongoing* obligation pertaining to resale, number portability, dialing parity, access to rights-of-way, reciprocal compensation, interconnection, unbundled network elements, or

¹ United States Telecom Ass'n. v FCC, Case No. 00-1012, (Decided March 2, 2004).

collocation."² Combining the impact of the D.C. Circuit's opinion with the FCC's Declaratory Ruling regarding Section 252(e), there are no Section 251(c)(3) obligations upon the incumbent to provide switching and shared transport as an unbundled network element, and thus there are no Section 252(e) filing obligations associated with the QPPTM Agreement.

The QPPTM Agreement is posted to the Qwest Wholesale website in its entirety and is available to all carriers that assume all of the terms and obligations assumed by Customer. Further, Qwest has filed the Agreement with the FCC under 47 U.S.C. § 211(a).

Please contact me with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

Maura E. Peterson Paralegal

Enclosure

cc: Luba Hromyk w/o enc.

Michael E. Daughtry, United Communications dba Unicom.

_

² In the Matter of Qwest Communications International Inc. Petition for Declaratory Ruling on the Scope of the Duty to File and Obtain Prior Approval of Negotiated Contractual Arrangements under Section 252(a)(1), para. 8 (emphasis that of the FCC).