BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Investigation Into U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s Compliance With Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. UT-003022

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

LORI A. SIMPSON

for

QWEST CORPORATION

RE: CHECKLIST ITEM 2 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS – PLATFORM

COMBINATIONS; AND

CHECKLIST ITEM 6 – UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS – SWITCHING

FEBRUARY 26, 2001

TESTIMONY INDEX

<u>Page</u>

I.		IDENTIFICATION OF AFFIANT1
II.		PURPOSE OF REBUTTAL TESTIMONY1
III.		EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
IV. PRO	VIS	QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF WCOM CONCERNING SGAT SIONS FOR UNE-P COMBINATIONS
	a.	Availability of UNE-P Combinations in Certain High-Density Wire Centers – Issue UNEP-1
	b.	Availability of UNE-P Line-Splitting and UNE-P with Qwest DSL Service – Issue UNEP-2
	C.	Branding of Directory Assistance and Operator Services – Issue UNEP- 3
	d.	Collection of TLA in Conversions to UNE Combinations - Issue UNEP-45
	e.	Contacts with CLEC End User Customers by Qwest, and Vice Versa – SGAT Section 9.23.3.17 - Issue UNEP-57
	f.	Pricing for Unbundled Elements and Combinations – Issue UNEP-69
	g.	Listing Information from CLECs – SGAT Section 9.23.5.5 - Issue UNEP-7
V. PRO	VIS	QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF AT&T CONCERNING SGAT SIONS FOR UNE-P COMBINATIONS
	a.	Availability of Features with UNE-P Combinations - SGAT Sections 9.23.3.2 through 9.23.3.6 - Issue UNEP-811
	b.	Conversion of CLEC's Resale End User Customers to UNE-P Combinations – SGAT Section 9.23.3.13 - Issue UNEP-913
	c.	Changes in Service Provider – SGAT Section 9.23.5.6 - Issue UNEP-10
	d.	Alleged Limitations on UNE-Switching in Combinations – SGAT Section 9.23.3.18 – Issue UNEP-1114
VI. PRO	VIS	QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF WCOM CONCERNING SGAT SIONS FOR UNE-SWITCHING
	a.	Availability of Switch Features – SGAT Section 9.10.1.1 & 9.10.2.2 - Issue SW-1
VII. PRO	VIS	QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF AT&T CONCERNING SGAT SIONS FOR UNE-SWITCHING17

a.	Alleged Problems with Feature Availability with UNE-Switching and Access to AIN Features - SGAT Exhibit E and Sections 9.11.2.1 and 9.11.1.8 – Issue SW-2	17
b.	Alleged Problems with SGAT Sections 9.10 and 9.11 – Issue SW-3	23
C.	Process for Requesting Activation of Switch Features - SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 – Issue SW-4	24
d.	Allegations that the "Special Request Process" is not Available for Review to Determine if it is Appropriate or Adequate to Request Switch Feature Activation SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 – Issue SW-5	27
e.	Identification of Wire Centers Included in Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-6	28
f.	Access to EELs and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching; – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-7	29
g.	Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8	30
h.	Interruption of Service and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-9	31
i.	End User Customer Location and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-10	32
j.	Centrex Service and Availability of Administrative Features – Issue SW- 11	34
k.	Notification of Changes to the Switch – Issue SW-12	34
I.	Unbundled Local Tandem Switching – SGAT Section 9.10 – Issue SW- 13	35
m.	Unbundled Local Tandem Switching – "Connections" - SGAT Section 9.10.2.1 – Issue SW-14	36
n.	Unbundled Local Tandem Switching – SGAT Section 9.10.2.2 – Issue SW-15	37
	CONCLUSION	38
	b. c. d. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m.	 9.11.1.8 - Issue SW-2 b. Alleged Problems with SGAT Sections 9.10 and 9.11 - Issue SW-3 c. Process for Requesting Activation of Switch Features - SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 - Issue SW-4 d. Allegations that the "Special Request Process" is not Available for Review to Determine if it is Appropriate or Adequate to Request Switch Feature Activation SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 - Issue SW-5 e. Identification of Wire Centers Included in Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-6 f. Access to EELs and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching; - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-7 g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-8 h. Interruption of Service and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-8 i. End User Customer Location and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-10 j. Centrex Service and Availability of Administrative Features - Issue SW-11 k. Notification of Changes to the Switch - Issue SW-12 k. Notification of Changes to the Switch - Issue SW-12 i. Unbundled Local Tandem Switching - "Connections" - SGAT Section 9.10.2.1 - Issue SW-14 n. Unbundled Local Tandem Switching - SGAT Section 9.10.2.2 - Issue SW-15

1		
Т		

I. IDENTIFICATION OF AFFIANT

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, EMPLOYER, AND
3		BUSINESS ADDRESS.

4 A. My name is Lori A. Simpson. I am a Director in the Qwest Corporation

- 5 (Qwest), formerly known as U S WEST Communications, Inc., Wholesale
- ⁶ Markets organization. My office is located at 301 West 65th Street,
- 7 Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 8 I hereby adopt the previously filed direct testimony and exhibits of Karen A.
- 9 Stewart regarding checklist items 2 Unbundled Network Elements –
- 10 Platform Combinations, and checklist item 6 Unbundled Network Elements

11 – Switching.

12

II. PURPOSE OF REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

13 Q. PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the certain issues raised in the testimony of Dayna D. Garvin for WorldCom, Inc. (WCom) and Rex Knowles of XO Washington, Inc., f/k/a NEXTLINK Utah, Inc. (XO), and issues raised in the comments of AT&T, concerning Qwest's satisfaction of checklist item 2, Unbundled Network Elements – Platform Combinations, and checklist item 6, Unbundled Network Elements – Switching.

2

Q.

III. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

Α. My direct and rebuttal testimony filed in this matter establish that Qwest has 3 satisfied the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Telecom 4 Act) and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) orders for UNE-P 5 Combinations and UNE Switching, which are prerequisites for Qwest's entry 6 7 into the interLATA long distance market in Washington. Qwest meets these 8 requirements in Washington through its SGAT and Commission-approved 9 interconnection agreements, which fulfill Qwest's obligation to provide access to UNE-P Combinations and UNE-Switching under concrete and 10 11 specific legally binding terms and conditions that meet the requirements of the Telecom Act and FCC rules. 12 This rebuttal testimony provides additional evidence of Qwest's compliance 13 with the Telecom Act and FCC rules regarding access to UNE-P 14 Combinations and UNE-Switching in response to issues raised by 15 intervenors. 16

17IV.QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF WCOM CONCERNING18SGAT PROVISIONS FOR UNE-P COMBINATIONS.

Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO WCOM'S TESTIMONY CONCERNING UNE-P COMBINATIONS.

- A. WCom makes a number of claims and suggestions concerning the terms of
- 22 the Washington SGAT for UNE-P Combinations. I will respond to each of
- 23 WCom's claims in turn.

a. Availability of UNE-P Combinations in Certain High-Density Wire Centers – Issue UNEP-1

3	WCom proposes that Qwest make UNE-P Combinations available in those
4	situations where Qwest is not required to provide UNE Switching with
5	market- based rates applied to the Unbundled Switching element of the
6	UNE-P Combination. ¹
7	
8	In a change of policy, Qwest agrees to voluntarily provide UNE-P
9	Combinations in those situations where it is not required to provide UNE-
10	Switching. ² Qwest is in the process of determining the market-based rates
11	that will apply for UNE-P service provided under this circumstance, and will
12	provide that information when it is available.
13	
14	I also propose modifying the following SGAT provisions to address this
15	change in Qwest's policy:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	9.11.2.5.7 When a CLEC's <u>end user</u> customer with three lines or fewer served by UNE-P or unbundled switching adds lines so that is has four or more lines, CLEC shall <u>do one of the following within sixty (60)</u> <u>days from the date the fourth line is added: 1) CLEC may retain such</u> <u>UNE-P lines as UNE-P Combinations with a market rate for the</u> <u>unbundled switching component as shown in Exhibit A to this</u> <u>Agreement; or 2) CLEC shall</u> convert such lines from UNE-P lines or unbundled switching to resale rates or other appropriate arrangement
24	within 60 days.

I propose deleting the following provisions from the Washington SGAT:

¹ Testimony of Dayna D. Garvin from WorldCom ("Testimony of Garvin") at page 13.

² Qwest is not required to provide UNE-Switching in the 14 high-density zone 1 wire centers in Qwest's region that are designated by the FCC when an end user has more than three lines, so long as Qwest offers EELs in those wire centers.

Docket UT-003022 Rebuttal Testimony of Lori A. Simpson Exhibit LAS-21T February 26, 2001 Page 4

1	9.11.2.5.3 Reserved for future use. UNE-P is not available for
2	end user customers with four or more access lines located within the
3	Wire Centers specified above.
4 5	9.23.3.18 Reserved for future use. Local circuit switching is not available as a UNE in certain circumstances. Where unbundled local
6	circuit switching is one of the elements in a combination of elements,
7	<u>CLEC will not request UNE-P where the following conditions exist: The</u> end-user customer to be served with the UNE Combination is an end-
8 9	user customer with four access lines or more and the lines are located in
10	density zone 1 in specified MSAs as defined earlier in this UNE Section.
11 12	9.23.3.18.1 Access lines will be measured at the DS0 equivalent
13 14	b. Availability of UNE-P Line-Splitting and UNE-P with Qwest DSL Service – Issue UNEP-2
15	WCom notes that the SGAT does not contain a provision for UNE-P Line-
16	Splitting. WCom also recognizes that this service would properly be
17	discussed in another workshop, and not in the UNE Combinations
18	workshop. ³
19	
20	As WCom suggests, line-splitting should be discussed at the UNE-Loop
21	workshop, and any SGAT changes should also be discussed in that forum.
22	
23	On the same topic, AT&T claims that CLECs should be able to order UNE-P-
24	POTS and UNE-P-ISDN with the addition of high speed xDSL data. AT&T
25	asserts that Qwest "has admitted in Enhanced Services workshops that
26	packet switching must be unbundled." AT&T goes on to suggest that UNE-
27	P-POTS and UNE-P-ISDN with xDSL would add unbundled packet switching

³ Testimony of Garvin at page 16.

1	to "normal" POTS and to "normal" IDSN-BRI.4
2	
3	Again, the subject of line-splitting with UNE-P should be discussed in the
4	Unbundled Loops workshop.
5	c. Branding of Directory Assistance and Operator Services – Issue UNEP-3
6	WCom comments on the language in Section 9.23.3.11.2 concerning
7	branding options for directory assistance and operator services, suggesting
8	changes to the language. ⁵
9	
10	I propose modifying Section 9.23.3.11.2 to address WCom's concerns:
11	9.23.3.11.2 If Qwest provides and CLEC accepts operator services,
12	directory assistance, and intraLATA long distance as a part of the basic
13	exchange line, it will be offered with standard Qwest branding. CLEC is
14	not permitted to alter the branding of these services in any manner when
15	the services are a part of the UNE-P line without the prior written approval of Qwest. However, at the request of CLEC and where
16 17	technically feasible, Qwest will rebrand operator services and directory
17	assistance in CLEC's name, in CLEC's choice of name, or in no name,
19	in accordance with terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.
20	d. Collection of TLA in Conversions to UNE Combinations - Issue UNEP-4
21	WCom comments on Section 9.23.3.12 of the SGAT, suggesting that the
22	provision has "problems," stating that Qwest should not be allowed to
23	attempt to enforce its tariffs or contracts via the SGAT; that there would be
24	practical difficulties billing termination liability before a contract is terminated;
25	and, that this provision would be "detrimental" to CLECs and end users who

4

- 1 wish to have a choice of providers. WCom suggests the provision should be
- 2 stricken from the SGAT.⁶

- 4 While I do not agree with WCom's assertions, and do not agree to strike the
- 5 provision in total, I suggest the following modifications and believe these
- 6 changes fully address WCom's concerns:
- 9.23.2.12 If CLEC has existing resold services under an 7 arrangement or agreement that includes the application of termination 8 liability assessment (TLA) or minimum period charges, and if CLEC 9 wishes to convert such resold services to UNE Combination service, 10 TLA or minimum period charges will apply, and the conversion of 11 services will not be delayed due to the applicability of TLA or minimum 12 period charges. If a retail contract or tariff agreement exists between 13 Qwest and the end user customer or reseller utilizing the combination of 14 elements, all applicable Termination Liability Assessment (TLA) or 15 minimum period charge whether contained within tariffs, contracts or any 16 other applicable legal document, will apply and must be paid in full by 17 the responsible Party before the combination of elements is available 18 for conversion into a UNE Combination. 19
- 20 AT&T also commented on this Section of the SGAT, making similar
- statements to those made by WCom.⁷ The proposed changes noted above
- 22 should satisfy AT&T's concerns.
- 23 XO also comments on the application of termination liability. XO suggests
- that "[a]mong the issues to be addressed in the Commission's new generic
- 25 cost docket is [sic] any nonrecurring charges, including termination liability,
- 26 applicable to conversions of tariff services to EELs and other UNE

⁵ Testimony of Garvin at page 18.

⁶ Testimony of Garvin pages 18-19

1	combinations." XO goes on to state that because Qwest proposed "no such
2	nonrecurring charge for EEL conversions in its August 2000 direct testimony
3	in that docket", Qwest should be precluded from imposing any termination
4	liability, "other than any demonstrable costs Qwest incurs on a forward-
5	looking basis to make the necessary billing and records changes."8
6	
7	XO suggests that early termination charges are nonrecurring charges.
8	However, termination liability charges are not nonrecurring charges. If a
9	service is provided to an end user or CLEC, and if termination liability
10	charges would apply in the event of an earlier termination of the service than
11	contemplated by the terms of the agreement for the service, the termination
12	charges that may apply are essentially a surrogate for the monthly recurring
13	charges that are lost by Qwest due to the breach of the agreement entered
14	into by the end user or CLEC and Qwest. Accordingly, XO's testimony does
15	not correctly depict the application of termination charges. Furthermore, I
16	recommend modifying the application of TLA as noted above, and perhaps
17	these changes will satisfy XO's concerns.
18	e. Contacts with CLEC End User Customers by Qwest, and Vice Versa –

- 19 SGAT Section 9.23.3.17 Issue UNEP-5
- 20 WCom states in its testimony that while it agrees with certain portions of 21 Section 9.23.3.17 of the SGAT, it does not agree with the portion of this

⁸ Testimony of Knowles at page 3.

⁷ Comments of AT&T at page 64.

1	section that states "nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to prohibit
2	Qwest from discussing its products and services with CLEC's end user
3	customers who call Qwest." 9 WCom suggests adding the phrase "and
4	requests information regarding Qwest products and services" to the end of
5	the last sentence in SGAT Section 9.23.3.17 in order to cure what WCom
6	sees as the section's problem. ¹⁰
7	
8	The addition of nearly identical language as that proposed by WCom, to an
9	identical provision in the resale section of the Washington SGAT, has been
10	discussed at length in the 271 workshops regarding resale, and the parties
11	did not reach agreement. Qwest believes it has a right to discuss its
12	products and services with callers to its offices. Furthermore, Qwest
13	believes that it would be nearly impossible to implement rules capable of
14	being followed that define when it would be acceptable to discuss products
15	and services with end user customers of the other party, and when it would
16	not be acceptable to do so. For these reasons, Qwest does not agree to add
17	the suggested language.
18	

- However, the matching provision in the resale section of the Washington
 SGAT was modified with the agreement of Qwest, AT&T, and WCom, in
 order to address some of the concerns expressed by WCom and AT&T, and
 - ⁹ Testimony of Garvin at page 20.

¹⁰ Testimony of Garvin at page 21.

- 1 I suggest it would be appropriate to make those same changes in this
- 2 section of the SGAT. These changes clarify the parties' responsibilities
- 3 concerning handling misdirected calls and make the provision reciprocal, and
- 4 I suggest that Section 9.23.3.17 be modified to match the agreed-upon
- 5 changes to the resale provision. Accordingly, I propose the section be
- 6 changed as follows:

9.23.3.17 CLEC, or CLEC's agent, shall act as the single point of 7 contact for its end user customers' service needs, including without 8 limitation, sales, service design, order taking, provisioning, change 9 orders, training, maintenance, trouble reports, repair, post-sale servicing, 10 billing, collection and inquiry. CLEC's shall inform its end user customers 11 that they are end user customers of CLEC. CLEC's end user customers 12 contacting Qwest will be instructed to contact CLEC, and Qwest's end 13 user customers contacting CLEC will be instructed to contact Qwest. In 14 responding to calls, neither Party shall make disparaging remarks about 15 each other. To the extent the correct provider can be determined, 16 misdirected calls received by either Party will be referred to the proper 17 provider of local exchange service; however, unless specifically provided 18 otherwise, nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to prohibit Qwest 19 or CLEC from discussing its products and services with CLEC's or 20 Qwest's end user customers who call the other PartyQwest. 21

- **f.** Pricing for Unbundled Elements and Combinations Issue UNEP-6
- 23 WCom comments that it "is particularly concerned with the level of prices
- 24 proposed by Qwest in Washington for both the non-recurring and monthly
- recurring charges associated with UNE-P combinations." WCom goes on to
- 26 note that it recognizes that pricing will not be decided in this specific
- 27 workshop, and that such issues will be addressed as part of the cost docket.
- 28 g. Listing Information from CLECs SGAT Section 9.23.5.5 Issue UNEP-7
- 29 Section 9.23.5.5 of the SGAT provides:
- 30 9.23.5.5 CLEC shall provide Qwest with complete and accurate end

1 2 3	user customer listing information for Directory Assistance, Directory Listings, and 911 Emergency Services for all end-user customers served by UNE Combinations.
4	This language requires that CLECs provide complete end user listings
5	information for directory listings and 911 Emergency Services when it
6	submits service requests for UNE Combinations. However, WCom asserts
7	that CLECs should not be required to provide listing information as part of
8	service requests for UNE Combinations if the CLEC does not wish to make a
9	change in its end user's existing listing, and that the SGAT section should be
10	modified by adding the following sentence: "However, for migration of
11	customers 'as is', Qwest will make no change in existing Directory
12	Assistance, Directory Listings, and 911 Emergency Services unless
13	requested to do so by the CLEC." ¹¹
14	First, as a threshold matter, CLECs are responsible to provide accurate and
15	up-to-date end user listings information to Qwest, and it is appropriate for the
16	SGAT to state this. Second, as to WCom's suggested SGAT language,
17	which essentially says that Qwest will follow a CLEC's instructions as to the
18	CLEC's end user's listings, adding such language to this section of the
19	SGAT is not necessary as complete terms and conditions for listings are
20	included in the Ancillary Services section of this agreement.
21	

¹¹ Testimony of Garvin at page 22.

1V.QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF AT&T CONCERNING2SGAT PROVISIONS FOR UNE-P COMBINATIONS.

Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO AT&T'S TESTIMONY CONCERNING UNE-P COMBINATIONS.

A. AT&T makes a number of claims and suggestions concerning the terms of
 the Washington SGAT for UNE-P Combinations. I will respond to each of
 AT&T's claims in turn.

a. Availability of Features with UNE-P Combinations - SGAT Sections 9.23.3.2 through 9.23.3.6 - Issue UNEP-8

- 10 AT&T complains about UNE-P-POTS, stating that "this section is not clear."
- AT&T does not identify to which section of the SGAT it refers, but it
- 12 presumably refers to Section 9.23.3.2. AT&T goes on to state that "the
- 13 language suggests that Qwest may withhold features from UNE-P-POTS"
- because the language is not sufficient to indicate that CLECs may order any
- and all combinations, functions, and capabilities of the switch.¹²
- 16

17 While I believe the language is adequate and correct as written, and Qwest

- does not withhold features from UNE-P-POTS service, in response to
- 19 AT&T's comments, I suggest the following modifications to these provisions:

^{209.23.3.2} UNE-P-POTS:Retail and/or Resale1FR/1FB lines are21available to CLEC as a UNE Combination.UNE-P POTS is comprised22of the following unbundled network elements: Analog - 2 wire voice23grade loop, Analog Line Side Port, and Shared Transport and, if desired,24all compatible Vertical Features.25technically feasible for POTS are available with UNE-P-POTS.

¹² Comments of AT&T at page 56.

Docket UT-003022 Rebuttal Testimony of Lori A. Simpson Exhibit LAS-21T February 26, 2001 Page 12

1	9.23.3.3 UNE-P-PBX: Retail and/or resale PBX Trunks -are available to
2	CLEC as a UNE CombinationThere are two types of UNE-P-PBX:
3	Analog Trunks and Direct Inward Dialing (DID) Trunks. UNE-P-PBX is
4	comprised of the following unbundled network elements: 2/4 Wire
5	Analog Loop, Analog/DID Trunks, and Shared Transport. <u>All the Vertical</u>
6	Switch Features that are technically feasible for Analog and DID PBX
7	Trunks are available with UNE-P-PBX.
8	9.23.3.4 UNE-P-DSS: Retail and/or Resale Digital Switched Service
9	(DSS) isare available to CLEC as a UNE Combination UNE-P-DSS is

- (DSS) <u>Isare</u> available to CLEC as a UNE Combination. UNE-P-DSS is
 comprised of the following unbundled network elements:_DS1 Capable
 Loop, Digital Line-Side Port and Shared Transport. <u>All the Vertical</u>
 <u>Switch Features that are technically feasible for Digital Switched Service</u>
 <u>are available with UNE-P-DSS</u>.
- 149.23.3.5UNE-P-ISDN: Retail and/or resale ISDN lines are available to15CLEC as a UNE Combination. All the Vertical Switch Features that are16technically feasible for ISDN are available with UNE-P-ISDN.17two types of UNE-P-ISDN:
- 18a)Basic rate (UNE-P-ISDN-BRI) is comprised of the following19unbundled network elements: Basic ISDN Capable Loop, BRI Line20Side Port and Shared Transport; and -
- 21b) Primary rate (UNE-P-ISDN-PRI) UNE-P-ISDN-PRI is comprised22of the following unbundled network elements: Basic ISDN Capable23Loop, Digital Line Side Port and Shared Transport.
- 9.23.3.6 UNE-P-Centrex: Centrex Service is available to CLEC as a 24 UNE Combination. Centrex is comprised of the following unbundled 25 network elements: Analog - 2 wire voice grade loop, Analog Line Side 26 Port, and Shared Transport. All the Vertical Switch Features that are 27 technically feasible for Centrex service are available with UNE-P-28 Centrex. Centrex Common Block and, if desired, the Centrex Features 29 supported by the switch. Because of the numerous varieties of Centrex 30 and the complexity of the products, CLEC must contact its account 31 32 representative to arrange for ordering and processing of the appropriate variety of Centrex. 33
- 349.23.3.6.1CLEC may also request a service change from Centrex3521, Centrex Plus or Centron service to UNE-P-POTS. The UNE-P-36POTS line will contain the UNEs established in Section 9.23.3.2 of37this Agreement.
- 389.23.3.6.2Qwest will provide access to Customer Management39System ("CMS") with UNE-P-Centrex.

b. Conversion of CLEC's Resale End User Customers to UNE-P Combinations – SGAT Section 9.23.3.13 - Issue UNEP-9

3	AT&T claims that when a CLEC requests conversion of existing resold
4	service to UNE-P Combination service, the CLEC should be billed at the
5	UNE-P rate as of the due date requested by the CLEC for the conversion or
6	the standard interval, whichever is longer.13
7	
8	If a CLEC requests the conversion of resold service to UNE-P service with a
9	standard or longer interval, and if a delay in the conversion of the resold
10	service to UNE-P Combination service is due to Qwest's actions, then Qwest
11	agrees to begin billing the CLEC at the UNE-P rate, and to stop billing the
12	CLEC at the resold rate, on the due date requested by the CLEC for the
13	conversion, or the standard interval, whichever is longer. Accordingly, I
14	suggest modifying the SGAT as follows:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9.23.3.13 For installation of new UNE Combinations, CLEC will not be assessed UNE rates for UNEs ordered in combination until access to all UNEs that make up such combination haves been provisioned to CLEC as a combination, unless a UNE is not available until a later time and CLEC elects to have Qwest provision the other elements before all elements are available. For conversions of existing resold services to UNE-P Combinations, CLEC will be billed at the UNE-P rate, and billing at the resold rate will cease, on the due date scheduled for the conversion, so long as the due date of the conversion was a standard or longer interval, unless CLEC has caused or requested a delay of the
25	conversion.

26 c. Changes in Service Provider – SGAT Section 9.23.5.6 - Issue UNEP-10

AT&T claims that Qwest should modify Section 9.23.5.6 of the SGAT, which

¹³ Comments of AT&T at page 65.

1	provides that: "Qwest will not provide CLEC with the name of the [new]
2	service provider selected by the end user," to state also that Qwest will not
3	provide the name of the current provider of an end user's service to Qwest
4	marketing personnel. ¹⁴
5	The safe harbor rules contained in Section 222(a) and (b) of the Act apply to
6	every telecommunications carrier and need not be incorporated into the
7	SGAT. Qwest's obligations, and the obligations of every other
8	telecommunications carrier, regarding confidentiality of
9	proprietary/competitively sensitive information are independent of the SGAT
10	and there is no need to add additional language. It would be simpler and
11	more appropriate to delete the last sentence of Section 9.23.5.6. I propose
12	that change below.
13 14 15	9.23.5.6 When Qwest's end user customer or the end user customer's new service provider orders the discontinuance of the end user customer's existing service in anticipation of moving to another service

d. Alleged Limitations on UNE-Switching in Combinations – SGAT Section
 9.23.3.18 – Issue UNEP-11

other service provider selected by the end user customer.

provider, Qwest will render its closing bill to the end user customer

effective with the disconnection. If Qwest is not the local service

provider, Qwest will issue a bill to CLEC for that portion of the service

provided to CLEC should CLEC's end user customer, a new service

provider, or CLEC request service be discontinued to the end user

customer. Qwest will notify CLEC by FAX, OSS interface, or other

agreed upon processes when an end user customer moves to another service provider. Qwest will not provide CLEC with the name of the

- 27 AT&T asserts that Section 9.23.3.18 "unlawfully imposes limitations on the
- use of UNE switching in some situations." The only example provided by

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

14

Comments of AT&T at page 67.

1	AT&T is Qwest's now former policy not to provide UNE-P Combinations
2	under the circumstances in which FCC has established the exception to
3	unbundled local switching. ¹⁵
4	
5	As I described above in this testimony, AT&T's concern has been addressed
6	inasmuch as Qwest agrees to provide access to UNE-P Combinations in
7	those wire centers where Qwest is not required to offer UNE-Switching.
8	

¹⁵ Comments of AT&T at pages 65-66

2VI.QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF WCOM CONCERNING3SGAT PROVISIONS FOR UNE-SWITCHING.

4 Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO WCOM'S TESTIMONY CONCERNING UNE-P

5 COMBINATIONS.

1

A. WCom makes a number of claims and suggestions concerning the terms of
 the Washington SGAT for UNE-Switching. I will respond to each of WCom's
 claims in turn.

9 a. Availability of Switch Features – SGAT Section 9.10.1.1 & 9.10.2.2 - Issue 10 SW-1

- 11 WCom comments that it is concerned that certain language in Sections
- 9.10.1.1 and 9.10.2.2 of the Washington SGAT may limit the switch features
- 13 that should be included with UNE-Switching. Specifically, WCom states that
- 14 it is concerned about use of the phrase "centralized in local tandem
- 15 switches" when referring to functions of the local tandem switching
- 16 unbundled element because use of this phrase "may be interpreted to
- 17 exclude legitimate tandem switching features that should be included in this
- 18 unbundled element should Qwest decide to provide those features using an
- adjunct device to the switch."¹⁶
- 20
- 21 To address WCom's concerns, I propose the following changes to these 22 sections of the SGAT:

¹⁶

Testimony of Garvin at pages 11-12.

Docket UT-003022 Rebuttal Testimony of Lori A. Simpson Exhibit LAS-21T February 26, 2001 Page 17

9.10.1.1 Access to The local tandem switching element includes the 1 facilities connecting the trunk distribution frames to the switch and all the 2 features, functions, and capabilities of the switch itself, including those 3 facilities that establish a temporary transmission path between two other 4 switches, but does not include the transport needed to complete the call. 5 The local tandem switching element also includes the features, 6 functions, and capabilities that are centralized in local tandem switches 7 and their adjuncts, if any, rather than in separate end-office switches. 8

9.10.2.2 The requirement to provide access to unbundled local tandem 9 switching includes: (i) trunk-connect facilities, including but not limited to 10 the connection between trunk termination at a cross-connect panel and 11 a switch trunk card; (ii) the base switching function of connecting trunks 12 to trunks; and (iii) the features, functions, and capabilities that are 13 centralized in local tandem switches and their adjuncts, if any, (as 14 distinguished from separate end-office switches), including but not 15 limited to call recording, the routing of calls to operator services, and 16 signaling conversion features. Qwest shall unbundle access to call 17 recording equipment only to the extent any such recording equipment is 18 installed in a Qwest local tandem. 19

20

21VII.QWEST'S RESPONSE TO THE TESTIMONY OF AT&T CONCERNING22SGAT PROVISIONS FOR UNE-SWITCHING.

23 Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO AT&T'S TESTIMONY CONCERNING UNE-

- 24 SWITCHING.
- A. AT&T makes a number of claims and suggestions concerning the terms of
- the Washington SGAT for UNE-Switching. I will respond to each of AT&T's
- claims in turn.

a. Alleged Problems with Feature Availability with UNE-Switching and Access to AIN Features - SGAT Exhibit E and Sections 9.11.2.1 and 9.11.1.8 – Issue

30 **SW-2**

31 AT&T claims to be aware of other CLECs' experiences with UNE-P

32 Combinations, and AT&T states "there are several problems with Qwest's

1	implementation of features with UNE-P." AT&T further states that it has
2	heard that "Qwest is not providing all features with the unbundled switch or
3	the combination of switch and signaling." AT&T also claims that it is
4	attempting to find out from Qwest which features will not be provided. ¹⁷
5	
6	Concerning AT&T's questions about the features available with UNE-
7	Switching, Qwest makes all activated and unactivated switch features
8	available to CLECs. Qwest also voluntarily offers to make unloaded switch
9	features available to CLECs, to the extent technically feasible. Furthermore,
10	I am attaching to this rebuttal testimony as Exhibit LAS-22 a copy of the
11	updated SGAT Exhibit E, which provides a list of all loaded vertical switch
12	features, as well as the USOC for each. This list is available on Qwest's web
13	site, ¹⁸ and the web site also contains the current availability status of each
14	feature. I believe Qwest fully satisfies the FCC's requirements for providing
15	access to vertical switch features.
16	
17	AT&T also states that "there seems to be some issue with respect to which
18	customer features are provided by the switch and which features are
19	provided by AIN capabilities in the Qwest signaling network" and goes on to
20	state that Qwest must provide a matrix matching Qwest's AIN features to
21	corresponding vertical switch features. Additionally, AT&T states that there

¹⁷ Comments of AT&T at page 67.

¹⁸ The web site address is <u>http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/solutions/clecFacility/une_p_c.html</u>.

1	"must be some discussion as to why certain features are provided by AIN
2	and not by the switch." ¹⁹ Finally, AT&T requests that Qwest provide
3	clarification as to whether a CLEC is "prohibited from using Qwest's AIN
4	features or AIN capabilities."20
5	
6	First, Qwest does not control what features switch manufacturers make
7	available for their switches. Second, Qwest makes all vertical switch
8	features available to CLECs. Third, CLECs have access to the AIN platform
9	and database so that CLECs may develop their own AIN features. Thus, not
10	only do CLECs have access to all vertical switch features, but they also have
11	control over their access to AIN features. This is the same position that
12	Qwest is in.
13	
14	Furthermore, in order to accommodate CLECs that may be using or wish to
15	use particular existing vertical switch features that may be migrated to
16	Qwest's AIN for its own use, Qwest agrees to leave the switch software for
17	such existing features on a switch. I propose the following SGAT change to
18	describe this practice:
19 20 21 22 23 24	9.11.1.8 Vertical features are software attributes on end office switches. Vertical features are available separately and are listed in Exhibit E of the this Agreement. If features that are loaded on Qwest's switch(es) are migrated to AIN for Qwest's own use, the switch software for such features will be retained on the Qwest switch(es) for the use of CLEC and CLEC's end user customers. for the Analog Line Side Port are

¹⁹ Comments of AT&T at page 32.

²⁰ Comments of AT&T at page 38.

available separately as follows:

- 2 Qwest does not provide access to its own AIN features with UNE-Switching.
- 3 Qwest complies with the FCC's rulings in this matter. In the UNE Remand
- 4 Order, the FCC stated:

5 We agree with Ameritech that unbundling AIN service software such as "Privacy Manager" is not "necessary" within the meaning of the standard 6 in section 251(d)(2)(A). In particular, a requesting carrier does not need 7 to use an incumbent LEC's AIN service software to design, test, and 8 implement a similar service of its own. (820) Because we are 9 unbundling the incumbent LECs' AIN databases, SCE, SMS, and STPs, 10 requesting carriers that provision their own switches or purchase 11 unbundled switching from the incumbent will be able to use these 12 databases to create their own AIN software solutions to provide services 13 similar to Ameritech's "Privacy Manager." They therefore would not be 14 precluded from providing service without access to it. Thus, we agree 15 with Ameritech and BellSouth that AIN service software should not 16 **be unbundled.(**821)²¹ (Emphasis added.) 17

- 18 The FCC has determined that an ILECs AIN features should not be
- unbundled when ILECs make the AIN platform available for CLECs to
- 20 develop their own AIN features. Qwest provides access to the Service
- 21 Creation Environment (SCE), SMS, STPs, and AIN database for CLECs to
- 22 develop their own AIN features. This is consistent with the FCC order that
- ²³ specifically stated ILECs are not required to unbundle AIN features.²²
- 24 Because CLECs can develop their own AIN features, this restriction in no
- ²⁵ way disadvantages CLECs in their providing features with UNE-Switching.
- 26
- 27 The FCC's determination on unbundled switching is not dependant on

²¹ UNE Remand Order ¶419. Footnotes 820 and 821 were omitted.

²² UNE Remand Order ¶419.

making a determination of whether AIN features are proprietary. However, 1 Qwest's AIN features are proprietary to Qwest. While Qwest uses platforms 2 developed by Telcordia for the development and deployment of all Qwest 3 AIN services, those platforms have a component, called SPACE (Service 4 Provisioning and Creation Environment), that is used to create new and 5 unique services. SPACE is software owned by Telcordia and is proprietary 6 to Telcordia. SPACE is a programming language that Qwest uses to 7 compile and create its own AIN features. SPACE converts computer 8 programs written in a text format into computer code. AIN features are 9 programs that Qwest's engineers create and write. Qwest has developed 10 the AIN services and features it has deployed. The former Advanced 11 Technologies (AT) organization within Qwest wrote the service requirements 12 and design documents. In all cases but one, the AT organization did the 13 development (that is, the "coding") of the service using the SPACE software 14 15 mentioned above. This one exception was due to a resource constraint at AT, and the work was contracted to Telcordia to do the actual "coding" of the 16 service on SPACE.²³ In all cases for all services, AT then did the product 17 18 testing and deployment of the service into the Qwest network.

19

The AIN features that Qwest has developed are also unique as to their actual design based on unique aspects of Qwest's retail business. Qwest has specified the requirements for all such features based on its unique retail

²³

This exception was a work for hire.

1	end user customer base, based on the unique aspects to the demographics
2	in Qwest's particular region, and in some cases, based on state PUC
3	requirements. In addition, feature implementation is also unique because of
4	the framework that Qwest has developed for the execution and support of
5	AIN services. For example, Qwest has developed several feature managers
6	(for which a patent was granted in 1995) that allows Qwest to provision more
7	than one AIN service to an end user customer.

Furthermore, Qwest has received patents from the United States Patent 9 Office for some AIN features, and some patent applications are pending with 10 the patent office. It is important to be clear that all Qwest's AIN features are 11 proprietary to Qwest regardless of whether Qwest has formally filed for 12 and/or received a patent. Attached as Confidential and Proprietary Exhibit 13 LAS-24C is a list that identifies Qwest AIN patents and patent applications. 14 All of the patents that have a seven digit number preceding them, all of 15 which start with the number "5", are existing patents. All of the patents that 16 have a two digit number (like "08" or "09"), followed by a backslash and a six 17 18 digit number, are pending patents as of October 26, 2000. Qwest also has trademarks on several of the service names. 19

20

21 Qwest generally substantially completes work on patents before a patent 22 application is filed. An exception to this rule is patent number 5,448,631, 23 which is listed as a patent under every AIN feature. This patent was filed

1	before AIN was deployed. This patent covers the basic concept of how
2	Qwest sets up more than one AIN feature on a line and allows AIN features
3	to be added on an automated basis. It also resolves execution conflicts
4	among AIN features regarding which one should execute first (e.g., the "No
5	Solicitation" feature vs. the "Do Not Disturb" feature).
6	
7	As noted above, AT&T suggests that Qwest must provide a matrix identifying
8	AIN features and corresponding vertical switch features. There is no
9	requirement that Qwest provide CLECs with access to vertical switch
10	features that match Qwest's own AIN features; Qwest provides access to <u>all</u>
11	vertical features that can possibly be provided from each switch – it can do
12	no more in that regard. Furthermore, the capability of switches to provide or
13	not provide specific features is not in Qwest's control. The matrix AT&T
14	requests would serve no purpose and will not be supplied.
15	b. Alleged Problems with SGAT Sections 9.10 and 9.11 – Issue SW-3
15 16	 b. Alleged Problems with SGAT Sections 9.10 and 9.11 – Issue SW-3 AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to
16	AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to
16 17	AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to provide the required access to the switch port when, for example, a CLEC is
16 17 18	AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to provide the required access to the switch port when, for example, a CLEC is providing its own loop. AT&T goes on to note that "the primary flaw of the
16 17 18 19	AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to provide the required access to the switch port when, for example, a CLEC is providing its own loop. AT&T goes on to note that "the primary flaw of the SGAT language on unbundled switching is that the SGAT focuses on
16 17 18 19 20	AT&T complains that Sections 9.10 and 9.11 of the SGAT are inadequate to provide the required access to the switch port when, for example, a CLEC is providing its own loop. AT&T goes on to note that "the primary flaw of the SGAT language on unbundled switching is that the SGAT focuses on unbundled switching as an <i>element</i> and does not actually address <i>access</i> to

- provided to the switch, including GR303 and GR008, or any other interface 1 used by Qwest." AT&T comments that the SGAT must be amended to 2 include these types of access.²⁴ 3 4 In order to address AT&T's concern about "access" to UNE-Switching, I 5 propose the following modifications to Sections 9.10.1.1 and 9.11.1.1: 6 9.10.1.1 Access to The local tandem switching element includes the 7 facilities connecting the trunk distribution frames to the switch and all the 8 features, functions, and capabilities of the switch itself, including those 9 facilities that establish a temporary transmission path between two other 10 switches, but does not include the transport needed to complete the call. 11 The local tandem switching element also includes the features, 12 functions, and capabilities that are centralized in local tandem switches 13 and their adjuncts, if any, rather than in separate end-office switches. 14 9.11.1.1 Access to Uunbundled Local Switching encompasses line-side 15 and trunk-side facilities, plus the features, functions, and capabilities of 16 The features, functions, and capabilities of the switch the switch. 17 include the basic switching function, as well as the same basic 18 capabilities that are available to Qwest's end-users customers.
- 19capabilities that are available to Qwest's end-userscustomers20Unbundled Local Switching also includes access to all vertical features21that the switch is capable of providing, as well as any technically-feasible22customized routing functions. Moreover, CLEC may purchase23Unbundled unbundled Local Switching in a manner that permits CLEC to24offer, and bill for, exchange access and termination of EAS/local traffic.

c. Process for Requesting Activation of Switch Features - SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 – Issue SW-4

- AT&T states that Qwest should modify Section 9.11.1.9.2 of the Washington
- 28 SGAT to describe the process for identification of the features loaded and/or
- 29 activated in particular switches. AT&T also comments that Qwest must have
- 30 a predetermined process to allow CLECs to request activation of currently-

²⁴ Comments of AT&T at page 32.

- nonactivated switch features that includes a process for Qwest to respond to
 such requests.²⁵
- 4 AT&T apparently cites the wrong paragraph of the SGAT, and meant to discuss Section 9.11.2.1. In response to AT&T's comments, I point out that 5 features vary between switches, based on differences among switches from 6 7 different manufacturers, and based on the software release version that is loaded in each particular Qwest switch. For these reasons, Qwest provides 8 CLECs with on-line access to information about individual switch features by 9 CLLI code and by NPA NXX. There are several methods for obtaining this 10 information. 11
- 12

13 First, the information may be obtained via the internet. The web site address

14 for accessing this information is

http://www.uswest.com/wholesale/guides/index.html. Using the pull-down
 menu shown called "Tariff & Network Info," a link is available called
 "Interconnection Databases." Once Interconnection Databases has been

selected, a CLEC would select "Central Office Find." This allows the CLEC

- 19 to use the end users NPA NXX to pull information about the serving wire
- 20 center. The information includes wire center switch code or "CLLI" code,
- switch type, and switch generic. The CLLI code has an additional link for
- 22 more specific information about the wire center. Additionally, the CLLI code

²⁵ Comments of AT&T at page 39.

1	can be used on another link from this screen called "Switch Features" to get
2	a complete listing of all the available features in the particular switch.
3	
4	Or, a CLEC can access the on-line Interconnection and Resale Resource
5	Guide (IRRG), and by selecting "Switch Features" using the CLLI code of the
6	serving wire center, obtain features for a particular switch. This is a shorter
7	link to the complete listing of the serving switch features.
8	
9	Second, CLECs can also use the Interconnection Mediated Access-
10	Graphical User Interface (IMA-GUI) to obtain feature availability in switches.
11	A feature availability function in the IMA-GUI provides all features and
12	functions available from each Qwest switch.
13	
14	AT&T also suggested, as noted above, that Qwest must have a process for
15	CLECs to request activation of loaded but nonactivated switch features.
16	Qwest has such a process, called "Special Request Process," and it is
17	described in Exhibit F to the Washington SGAT. Exhibit F describes the
18	process for requesting activation of switch features. The "Special Request
19	Process" applies for ordering switch features that are loaded, but not
20	activated on a switch, as well as ordering switch features that are not loaded
21	on a switch. The SGAT Exhibit F, "Special Request Process," is attached to
22	this testimony as Exhibit LAS-23. I propose modifying Section 9.11.2.1 as
23	follows:

Docket UT-003022 Rebuttal Testimony of Lori A. Simpson Exhibit LAS-21T February 26, 2001 Page 27

9.11.2.1 CLEC may purchase access to all vertical features that are 1 loaded in Qwest's end office switch. CLEC may request features that 2 are not activated and/or not loaded in a Qwest end office switch utilizing 3 the BFR Special Request Process contained in Section 17 Exhibit F of 4 this Agreement. If CLEC requests activation and/or loading of features 5 in a switch that are loaded, but not activated in a Qwest end office 6 switch, appropriate recurring and nonrecurring charges will apply. 7 Features provided through AIN capabilities in Qwest's signaling network 8 are not available. 9

d. Allegations that the "Special Request Process" is not Available for Review to Determine if it is Appropriate or Adequate to Request Switch Feature Activation SGAT Section 9.11.2.1 – Issue SW-5

- 13 AT&T states that the "Special Request Process" is not part of the SGAT and
- that AT&T has "no way to confirm whether the process is a lengthy or
- 15 expensive process that is unreasonable, discriminatory and unnecessary."²⁶
- Additionally, AT&T states that "Qwest should make this language available
- and explain how it satisfies Qwest's obligation to provide all the features and
- ¹⁸ functions of an unbundled switch."²⁷ The "Special Request Process" (SRP) is
- 19 described in Exhibit F to the SGAT, and Exhibit F is provided with this
- 20 testimony as Exhibit LAS-23. The SRP satisfies the FCC's criteria for the
- 21 process to be used when CLECs wish to order unloaded or unactivated
- vertical features for the first time. Specifically, the FCC held as follows:
- We recognize that, before offering a vertical feature for the first time, a BOC will want to ensure that the requested feature will not cause adverse network reliability effects. Furthermore, a BOC will need to modify its systems to accept orders for these new features, and develop maintenance routines to resolve problems. Therefore, we find that a BOC can require a requesting carrier to submit a request for such a vertical feature through a predetermined process that gives the BOC an

²⁶ Comments of AT&T at page 33.

²⁷ Comments of AT&T at page 37.

- opportunity to ensure that it is technically feasible and otherwise develop the necessary procedures for ordering those features. The process cannot be open ended and it should not be used to delay the availability of the vertical feature. A BOC must provide the requesting carrier with a response within a reasonable and definite amount of time. Furthermore, BOC must demonstrate that the access it provides to competing carriers satisfies its duty of nondiscrimination.²⁸
- 8 Again, Qwest's SRP process meets the requirements outlined by the FCC.

9 e. Identification of Wire Centers Included in Exception to Requirement to 10 Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-6

- 11 AT&T claims that in Section 9.11.2.5, Qwest "imperfectly captures the FCC's
- 12 exception" regarding providing UNE-Switching in certain high density wire
- 13 centers." AT&T goes on to state that Qwest must confirm that the wire
- centers identified in Qwest's Section 9.11.2.5 meet the FCC's criteria, and
- 15 that Qwest must confirm whether the identified wire centers include other
- density zones and, if they do, Qwest should make clear in its SGAT that
- 17 CLEC end user customers in such density zones are not covered by the
- 18 exclusion, even if their lines are located in the named wire centers.²⁹
- 19
- 20 The wire centers identified in the Washington SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 meet
- the FCC's criteria and are in zone 1 and do not include any end user
- 22 customers outside of zone 1 density area as defined by the FCC. Qwest's
- 23 SGAT accurately captures the FCC's criteria for this exception. Qwest
- suggests, however, modifying the SGAT to include a reference to the FCC's

²⁸ Louisiana II Order ¶ 220.

²⁹ Comments of AT&T at page 34.

- ruling regarding which wire centers may be considered to be in density zone
- 2 **1.**

9.11.2.5 Unbundled Switching (and therefore Shared Transport) does
not constitute a UNE, and is therefore not available at UNE rates when
the end-user customer to be served with Unbundled Local Switching has
four access lines or more and the lines are located in density zone 1 in
specified Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs). This exception applies
to density zone 1 as it was defined by Qwest on January 1, 1999.

9 f. Access to EELs and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching; 10 – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-7

AT&T complains that the exception to the local switching unbundling 11 requirement only applies if CLECs have "nondiscriminatory, cost-based 12 access to the EEL." AT&T also comments that the restriction on unbundled 13 14 switching should not apply in offices that have space or capacity limitations. AT&T goes on to state that "if space in the Qwest office is insufficient for 15 multiplexing, concentration or the additional equipment needed for providing 16 17 transport facilities, there should be no restriction on CLEC use of unbundled switching," and that if Qwest has insufficient interoffice facilities to provide 18 the transport capability for EELs, there should be no restriction on CLEC use 19 of unbundled switching. AT&T also asserts that restrictions should not apply 20 where Qwest provides service using remote switching modules.³⁰ 21 22 The FCC's unbundled switching exemption is not dependent upon capacity 23 availability for other services in impacted Qwest wire centers. The FCC 24 made it clear that Qwest has no obligation to build unbundled dedicated 25

³⁰ Comments of AT&T at page 36.

1	transport, so the suggestion to link the switching exemption with sufficient
2	transport facilities is not based on any obligation placed on Qwest. The
3	FCC, after a detailed analysis, determined that CLECs had adequate
4	alternatives to unbundled switching in wire centers in density zone 1 of the
5	top 50 MSAs. The FCC did not limit its analysis to wire centers without
6	exhaust issues. The FCC did require ILECs to offer EELs in those wire
7	centers, but it did not condition the switching exception on a CLEC
8	specific/wire center specific analysis of facility exhaustion. The focus
9	regarding whether a particular CLEC has access to a particular EEL or
10	collocation is misplaced. The FCC's analysis is based upon the alternatives
11	available to CLECs in the aggregate, and not as to whether a particular
11	
12	CLEC has access to a desired transport element.
	CLEC has access to a desired transport element. g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8
12 13	g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-
12 13 14	g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8
12 13 14 15	g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8 AT&T comments that if a "CLEC is currently serving a customer using a
12 13 14 15 16	 g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8 AT&T comments that if a "CLEC is currently serving a customer using a loop/switch combination, and the customer adds a fourth (or more) line, then
12 13 14 15 16 17	 g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8 AT&T comments that if a "CLEC is currently serving a customer using a loop/switch combination, and the customer adds a fourth (or more) line, then a CLEC should be able to continue to serve that customer using loop/switch
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8 AT&T comments that if a "CLEC is currently serving a customer using a loop/switch combination, and the customer adds a fourth (or more) line, then a CLEC should be able to continue to serve that customer using loop/switch
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	g. Loop/Switch Combinations and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-8 AT&T comments that if a "CLEC is currently serving a customer using a loop/switch combination, and the customer adds a fourth (or more) line, then a CLEC should be able to continue to serve that customer using loop/switch combinations." ³¹

³¹ Comments of AT&T at page 35.

1	the UNE-Switching exempt wire centers (with the inclusion of a market-
2	based rate for the unbundled switching (including vertical switch features)
3	portion of the UNE-P Combination) should satisfy AT&T's concern.
4 5	h. Interruption of Service and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE- Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-9
6	ATRT states that Caption 0.11.2.5 "should also contain an eventoes provision.
0	AT&T states that Section 9.11.2.5 "should also contain an express provision
7	requiring that in no event may Qwest disconnect from service any CLEC

³² Comments of AT&T at page 35.

1	With the change in Qwest's policy regarding the availability of UNE-P in the
2	UNE-Switching exempt wire centers, AT&T's concern here should be
3	lessened or eliminated. However, the addition of such a provision to the
4	SGAT is not appropriate for the following reasons. CLECs, and not Qwest,
5	are in control of arrangements that will provide uninterrupted service for their
6	end user customers. CLECs place service requests to disconnect, install, or
7	convert the CLECs' end user customers' services, not Qwest, and CLECs
8	must do so in a manner that ensures their end user customers' service is not
9	disrupted.
10	i. End User Customer Location and Exception to Requirement to Provide UNE-Switching – SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 – Issue SW-10
11	UNE-Switching - SGAT Section 9.11.2.5 - Issue SW-10
11 12	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that
12	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that
12 13	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE-
12 13 14	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE-
12 13 14 15	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE- Switching exclusion be limited to single end user locations. ³³
12 13 14 15 16	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE- Switching exclusion be limited to single end user locations. ³³ The exclusion does apply to single end user customers. However, the
12 13 14 15 16 17	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE- Switching exclusion be limited to single end user locations. ³³ The exclusion does apply to single end user customers. However, the exclusion applies to all locations of the end user customer within the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AT&T suggests that Section 9.11.2.5 be modified to add language that provides that counting a CLEC's lines for purposes of applying the UNE- Switching exclusion be limited to single end user locations. ³³ The exclusion does apply to single end user customers. However, the exclusion applies to all locations of the end user customer within the designated wire center, and, accordingly, the SGAT modification suggested

^{229.11.2.5} Unbundled Switching (and therefore Shared Transport)does23not constitute a UNE, and is therefore not available at UNE rates when

³³ Comments of AT&T at page 35.

the end-user customer to be served with Unbundled Local Switching has 1 four access lines or more and the lines are located in density zone 1 in 2 specified Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs). This exception applies 3 to density zone 1 as it was defined by Qwest on January 1, 1999. 4 9.11.2.5.1 For the purposes of the above paragraph, the following 5 Wire Centers constitute density zone 1 in each of the specified MSAs: 6 CLLI Wire Center MSA 7 Seattle/Tacoma STTLWA06 Seattle Main 8 STTLWAEL Seattle Elliott 9 9.11.2.5.1.1 For end user customers located within the Wire 10 Centers specified above, CLEC will determine whether end 11 user customers it intends to serve with UNEs have four access 12 lines or more in advance of submitting an order to Qwest for 13 Unbundled Local Switching at UNE rates. If the end user 14 customer is served by four access lines or more, CLEC will not 15 submit an order to Qwest for Unbundled Local Switching at 16 UNE rates. 17 9.11.2.5.2 This exclusion will be calculated using the number of 18 DS0-equivalent access lines CLEC intends to serve an end user 19 customer within a Wire Center specified above. For end user customers 20 with four or more access lines located within the Wire Centers specified 21 above, Qwest will charge market rates for Shared Transport in 22 accordance with Exhibit A. 23 9.11.2.5.3 Reserved for future use. UNE-P is not available for 24 end user customers with four or more access lines located within the 25 Wire Centers specified above. 26 9.11.2.5.4 Only dial-tone lines shall be used in counting the 27 exclusion. Private line type data lines, alarm or security lines, or any 28 other type of non-dial-tone lines shall not be used in the count. 29 9.11.2.5.5 The high frequency portion of a loop shall not count as a 30 second line. 31 9.11.2.5.6 End-users shall be considered individually in MDU 32 buildings or any other multiple use or high-rise building or campus 33 configuration, as long as they are individually billed as the customer of 34 record. 35 9.11.2.5.7 When a CLEC's end user customer with three lines or 36 fewer served by UNE-P or unbundled switching adds lines so that is has 37

Docket UT-003022 Rebuttal Testimony of Lori A. Simpson Exhibit LAS-21T February 26, 2001 Page 34

1 2 3	four or more lines, CLEC shall <u>do one of the following within sixty (60)</u> <u>days from the date the fourth line is added:</u> 1) CLEC may retain such UNE-P lines as UNE-P Combinations_and the rate for such UNE-P
4	Combinations is currently under developmenta; or 2) CLEC shall
5	convert such lines from UNE-P lines or unbundled switching to resale
6	rates or other appropriate arrangement within 60 days.
7	9.11.2.5.8 A BRI ISDN line counts as one line.
8	j. Centrex Service and Availability of Administrative Features – Issue SW-11
9	AT&T suggests that Qwest must include provisions in the Washington SGAT
10	for unbundling Centrex management and control features of the switch when
11	a CLEC purchases access to UNE-Switching ³⁴
12	
13	Qwest has agreed to provide access to all central office-based Centrex
14	features and functions, and Qwest has included such features on the list of
15	available vertical switch features. Additionally, my testimony above
16	concerning the availability of Centrex features with UNE-P-Centrex affirms
17	this point. However, I propose adding the following provision to the
18	Washington SGAT to address AT&T's suggestion:
19 20	9.11.2.10 Qwest will provide access to Centrex Customer Management System ("CMS") with Unbundled Switching.
21	k. Notification of Changes to the Switch – Issue SW-12
22	AT&T claims that Qwest should modify the Washington SGAT to include a
23	provision providing for Qwest notification to CLECs of changes to the switch,
24	including generic software upgrades.35

³⁴ Comments of AT&T at page 37.

³⁵ Comments of AT&T at page 37.

2

of generic software upgrades when there is a process in place to provide 3 such network disclosure, and such disclosure is required by the FCC's Open 4 Network Architecture rules, in the spirit of cooperation, Qwest agrees to add 5 the following provision to the Washington SGAT: 6 9.11.2.9 Qwest will comply with the FCC's Open Network Architecture 7 (ONA) rules for Network Disclosure. Should the ONA rules be modified 8 so that Network Disclosure is no longer required, this Agreement shall 9 be modified to include provision for disclosure of network interface 10 changes. 11 I. Unbundled Local Tandem Switching – SGAT Section 9.10 – Issue SW-13 12 AT&T asserts that Section 9.10 should be modified to refer only to "tandem 13 switches" and not to "local tandem switches" because this would "track the 14 FCC's requirements" more closely as no FCC rule or order distinguishes 15 between local and other types of tandem switches.³⁶ AT&T suggests that 16

While it is not necessary to add language to the SGAT regarding notification

- 17 references to the term "local tandem switches" be changed to "tandem
- switches" because it claims that the FCC does not use the term "local

19 tandem switches."

- 20
- AT&T's assertion that no FCC order or rule on this issue distinguishes between local and other kinds of tandem switches or uses the term "local tandem switching" is incorrect. Appendix C to the UNE Remand Order contains the most recent version of FCC Rule 51.319. The current version

³⁶ Comments of AT&T at page 38.

- of Rule 51.319 refers specifically to "local tandem switching." FCC rule
- 2 **51.319(c)** states:

(c) Switching Capability. An incumbent LEC shall provide
 nondiscriminatory access, in accordance with §51.311 and section
 251(c)(3) of the Act, to local circuit switching capability and local
 tandem switching capability on an unbundled basis. . .. (emphasis
 added)

- 8
- 9 Additionally, 51.319(c)(2) is even titled "Local Tandem Switching Capability".
- 10 The FCC's own rules dictate that it is local tandem switching capability that is
- required to be unbundled. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to modify or
- 12 expand Section 9.10 to include unbundling of access tandems.
- 13
- 14 However, in an updated policy, Qwest agrees that in the event that a Qwest
- 15 wire center subtends only an access tandem, and does not subtend a local
- 16 tandem, Qwest will provide unbundled access to such access tandem. I
- suggest adding the following section to the Washington SGAT:
- 189.10.1.2 In the event that a Qwest Wire Center subtends only an access19tandem, and does not subtend a local tandem, Qwest will provide20unbundled access to such access tandem.

m. Unbundled Local Tandem Switching – "Connections" - SGAT Section 9.10.2.1 – Issue SW-14

- AT&T asserts that in Section 9.10.2.1 Qwest must provide more detail
- regarding what specific "connections" for tandem-to-tandem connections
- 25 between Qwest and third party tandem providers are necessary, how they

The connections referred to in Section 9.10.2.1 are to be provided by the CLEC, and LIS-type trunking is required for tandem-to-tandem connections between Qwest's tandem and a third party's tandem. I propose the following change to the Washington SGAT to clarify this requirement:
 9.10.2.1 If CLEC obtains its local tandem switching from a third party tandem provider, tandem to tandem connections will be required between Qwest and the third party tandem provider. The tandem-to-tandem connections must be local interconnection trunk-type trunk connections, and will be provided by CLEC. CLEC may provide the trunks itself, purchase them from a third party, or may purchase them from Qwest.
 AT&T questions Qwest's addition to Section 9.10.2.2 of the sentence "Qwest shall unbundle access to call recording equipment only to the extent

will be provided, and by whom.³⁷

- any such recording equipment is installed in a Qwest local tandem." AT&T
- requests that Qwest clarify what it means by the word "installed." In addition,

³⁷ Comments of AT&T at page 39

- 1 AT&T requests that Qwest clarify why this proposal applies solely to a "local
- 2 tandem."³⁸
- 3
- 4 In response to AT&T's first point, I suggest modifying Section 9.10.2.2 to
- 5 clarify that call recording equipment in Qwest's local tandems will be
- 6 unbundled. The change would be as follows:

9.10.2.2 The requirement to provide access to unbundled local tandem 7 switching includes: (i) trunk-connect facilities, including but not limited to 8 the connection between trunk termination at a cross-connect panel and 9 a switch trunk card; (ii) the base switching function of connecting trunks 10 to trunks; and (iii) the features, functions, and capabilities that are 11 centralized in local tandem switches and their adjuncts, if any, (as 12 distinguished from separate end office switches), including but not 13 limited to call recording, the routing of calls to operator services, and 14 signaling conversion features. Qwest shall unbundle access to call 15 recording equipment only to the extent any such recording equipment is 16 installed in a Qwest local tandem. 17

- 18 Concerning AT&T's second point, I refer AT&T to my comments above
- 19 concerning Qwest's obligation to provide unbundled access to local tandems
- 20 versus access tandems.
- 21

22

- VIII. CONCLUSION
- 23 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.
- A. My rebuttal testimony provides additional evidence that Qwest has satisfied
- the requirements of the Telecom Act, and the FCC's orders and rules for
- 26 providing access to UNE-P Combinations and UNE-Switching.
- 27 Because Qwest has satisfied these requirements, the Commission should find that

Qwest has conditionally satisfied the checklist item 2 requirements for access to
 unbundled network element – platform combinations, and checklist item 6, access
 to unbundled network element - switching, pending the outcome of the Third Party
 OSS Test.

5 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

6 A. Yes, it does.