# ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
RECORDS MAKAGEMENT

05 DEC 22 AM 10: 40

Exhibit \_\_\_\_-T (AM-1T)

STATE OF WASIL UTIL. AND TRANSP.

### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NORTHWEST TELEPHONE, INC.,

DOCKET NO. UT-053081

Complainant,

v.

QWEST CORPORATION,

Respondent.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

OF

ANDREW METCALFE

On Behalf of

Northwest Telephone, Inc.

December 22, 2005

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Andrew Metcalfe. I am President and Chief Executive Officer of Northwest
3		Telephone, Inc. ("NTI"), 250 East Penny Road, Wenatchee, Washington 98801.
4		BACKGROUND
5 6 7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PARTY ON WHOSE BEHALF YOU ARE TESTIFYING.
8	A.	NTI is a competitive local exchange company ("CLEC") that provides facilities-based
9		local and long distance telecommunications services in Washington in competition with
10		Qwest Corporation ("Qwest").
11	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES WITH NTI?
)2 13	A.	As the CEO and President I am the Company visionary and the architect of NTI's Rural
14		Area Network ("RAN"). I also act as Chief Technical Officer for the company.
15	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR BUSINESS AND EDUCATION BACKGROUND?
16	A.	I have a successful track record in building and managing communications networks in
17		rural markets. I have over 20 years of engineering and management experience. Prior to
18		founding NTI, I served as Director of Technical Operations for Cellular One where I was
19		responsible for the successful design, build-out and management of Cellular
20		One's Eastern Washington wireless network. I have held numerous engineering positions
21		with the military and Boeing Company. I have a Bachelor of Science in Technical
22		Management from the University of Southern Illinois in 1987.
23		

2 3	Q.	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE COMMISSION?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
6	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the circumstances that gave rise to NTI's
7		complaint against Qwest and to support the allegations in that complaint. NTI, as a
8		CLEC, is entitled to obtain interconnection facilities from Qwest at cost-based rates and
9		to have Qwest be responsible for the costs of its proportionate share of those facilities.
10		NTI asks the Commission to enforce NTI's rights.
11 2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE NTI'S OPERATIONS IN WASHINGTON AS RELEVANT TO THE COMPLAINT.
13 14	A.	NTI provides local exchange services predominantly in eastern Washington. NTI serves
15		mostly Internet Service Providers ("ISPs"), and its objective is to deliver advanced
16		telecommunications services to and through rural areas of the state. NTI's single switch
17		is located in Wenatchee, but NTI also has points of presence in other cities, including
18		Spokane and Seattle. NTI obtains facilities from Qwest to connect these points of
19		presence to Qwest wire centers, as well as to NTI's switch. A high-level diagram of
20		NTI's basic network configuration in Qwest's service territory is attached as confidential
21		Exhibit (AM-2C).
22 23	Q.	HOW LONG HAS NTI BEEN IN OPERATION AND INTERCONNECTED WITH QWEST IN WASHINGTON?
24 25	A.	NTI began its operations in this state in 2001. NTI installed its switch and entered into an

1 interconnection agreement with Owest that the Commission approved on June 13, 2001. 2 in Docket No. UT-013046. In an effort to interconnect with Qwest as quickly as possible 3 to begin providing service, NTI ordered DS3 entrance facilities from Owest as private 4 line circuits out of Qwest's special access tariff. NTI also ordered additional private line 5 circuits to connect NTI points of presence with various Owest central offices. Such 6 circuits are significantly more expensive than Local Interconnection Service ("LIS") 7 facilities ordered out of the interconnection agreement, but NTI did not want to delay its 8 market entry by trying to obtain the necessary facilities as LIS facilities. Q. ARE THESE FACILITIES USED TO EXCHANGE LOCAL TRAFFIC WITH 10 **OWEST?** 12 Yes. NTI has dedicated some of these facilities to the exchange of local traffic, i.e., calls Α 13 between parties with telephone numbers that have been assigned to the same local calling 14 area. 15 Q. HAS QWEST PAID ANY RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION FOR THIS 16 TRAFFIC OR THE FACILITIES USED TO EXCHANGE THE TRAFFIC? 17 18 A. No. Qwest has never paid its proportional share of the facilities used to exchange local 19 traffic, despite NTI's requests for such compensation. NTI has not requested and Owest 20 has never paid per minute of use reciprocal compensation for the local traffic that Qwest 21 has delivered to NTI for termination, even though such traffic represents the vast majority 22 of the local traffic exchanged between the parties.

WHEN DID NTI RAISE THIS ISSUE WITH QWEST?

23

24

Q.

A. NTI approached Qwest well over a year ago to adjust the interconnection arrangement between the parties. NTI sought to change the method of interconnection to one in which the parties negotiate one or more meet-points and each party pays the costs of constructing the facilities to the meet-point(s). In conjunction with that proposal, NTI requested compensation for the facilities that Qwest had used to deliver local traffic to NTI for termination for the previous two years.

#### Q. WHAT WAS QWEST'S RESPONSE?

A. Qwest did not respond until NTI escalated the issue to Qwest's Regional Vice President for Wholesale Markets in October 2004, but ultimately Qwest rejected NTI's proposal.

Qwest refuses to provision facilities as LIS facilities if the traffic delivered over those facilities is not between two end users who are physically located within the same local calling area, regardless of whether the telephone numbers of the calling and called parties are assigned to the same local calling area. Qwest also has taken the position that the tariff rate applies to the private line facilities that currently are in place to exchange local traffic without any offset for Qwest's use of those facilities.

# Q. DO YOU AGREE THAT TRAFFIC IS ONLY "LOCAL" IF IT IS BETWEEN PARTIES WHO ARE PHYSICALLY LOCATED WITHIN THE SAME LOCAL CALLING AREA?

A. Absolutely not. The telecommunications industry has long rated and routed calls based on the first six digits, or "NPA-NXX," of the ten digit telephone numbers of the calling and called parties. If the NPA-NXX of each party is assigned to the same local calling

1		area, the call is rated as a local call, regardless of whether both parties are physically
2		located within the same local calling area. Indeed, Qwest itself rates as "local" calls
3		between customers who are physically located in different local calling areas if one or
4		both customers subscribe to Qwest foreign exchange or "FX" service.
5 6 7 8	Q.	IS ALL TRAFFIC RATED AS "LOCAL" THAT IS EXCHANGED BETWEEN QWEST AND NTI ACTUALLY TRAFFIC BETWEEN CUSTOMERS WHO ARE PHYSICALLY LOCATED IN THE SAME LOCAL CALLING AREA?
9	A.	No. NTI provides service to its customers that is indistinguishable from the FX service
10		that Qwest offers to its customers. An NTI customer can obtain a telephone number that
11		is rated as local in a particular local calling area even if the customer is not physically
<b>2</b>		located in that local calling area – exactly as a Qwest customer can. NTI customers
13		typically are ISPs who obtain telephone numbers in different local calling areas to enable
14		their customers to access the Internet over a local dial-up connection. NTI does not
15		necessarily know where the ISP customer's modem bank is physically located, but that
16		information is irrelevant for purposes of call rating and routing.
17		
18		An example will illustrate this point. Assume that a Qwest customer physically located
19		in Pasco obtains dial-up Internet access from an ISP who obtains its local service from
20		NTI. All traffic routed to or from any NTI customer passes through NTI's switch in
21		Wenatchee. If the ISP's modem bank were physically located in Pasco, the call would be

routed from the Qwest customer over interconnection facilities to NTI's switch in

22

Wenatchee, where it would then be delivered over NTI facilities to the ISP back in Pasco. If the ISP's modem bank were physically located in Wenatchee, the call still would be routed from the Qwest customer over the same interconnection facilities to NTI's switch in Wenatchee before being delivered to the ISP. From an inter-carrier interconnection perspective, therefore, the physical location of the NTI customer has no bearing on Qwest's obligation to pay for its share of the facilities used to carry its customers' calls to the NTI switch for delivery to NTI's customers.

#### Q. DOES THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT PROHIBIT ROUTING OF THIS TRAFFIC OVER LIS FACILITIES?

A.

No, it does not. Qwest has contended that the parties' current Interconnection Agreement (which is essentially Qwest's Statement of Generally Available Terms or "SGAT") limits traffic over LIS facilities to "Exchange Service" or "Extended Areas Service (EAS)/Local Traffic," which Section 4 defines as "traffic that is originated and terminated within the Local Calling Area as determined by the Commission." Nothing in that definition, however, requires that such origination and termination occur *physically* within the local calling area. Indeed, Section 7 of the parties' Interconnection Agreement governs interconnection and expressly includes ISP-bound traffic, which the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has determined does not "terminate" at the ISP modem but continues on until it reaches the ultimate recipient of the communication. The traffic at issue here falls squarely within the type of traffic to be routed over LIS facilities under the Agreement.

l	Q.	DOES THE AGREEMENT IMPOSE ANY LIMITATIONS ON	QWEST'S
2		OBLIGATIONS TO PAY FOR ITS PROPORTIONAL SHARE	
3		OF INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES?	1.75

A.

A. Section 7.3.1.1.3.1 of the Agreement limits the calculation of each party's proportional use to "non-ISP-bound traffic." Because traffic in excess of a three to one ratio is presumptively ISP-bound traffic, Qwest would be responsible for only 75% of the costs of the interconnection facilities. The Commission, however, has since concluded that ISP-bound traffic should not be excluded from the relative use calculation. That conclusion should also apply to NTI, and Qwest should be responsible for the total percentage of the facilities used to deliver calls from Qwest customers to NTI for termination to its customers.

# Q. WHAT ABOUT THE FACT THAT THE CURRENT INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES ARE PURCHASED AS PRIVATE LINE CIRCUITS?

That fact is irrelevant. FCC Rule 51.703 requires Qwest to "establish reciprocal compensation arrangements for transport and termination of local telecommunications traffic." In other words, Qwest is responsible for compensating NTI for the costs NTI incurs to receive and complete calls from Qwest's customers, and vice versa. More specifically in the context of interconnection facilities, Rule 51.709(b) states, "The rate of a carrier providing transmission facilities dedicated to the transmission of traffic between two carriers' networks shall recover only the costs of the proportion of that trunk capacity used by an interconnecting carrier to send traffic that will terminate on the providing carrier's network." Qwest, therefore, must pay the costs of the facilities that Qwest uses

to send its local calls to NTI, including facilities that NTI has obtained from Qwest as private line circuits. Once NTI leases a private line from Qwest, that circuit belongs to NTI. NTI, not Qwest, provides these facilities to exchange local calls with Qwest, and the ultimate source of those facilities is irrelevant for compensation purposes.

The circumstances here make this conclusion particularly compelling. NTI does not want to use Qwest private line circuits as local interconnection facilities, but Qwest has refused to provide such facilities as LIS facilities under the Interconnection Agreement. NTI thus has had no alternative to obtaining tariffed services to use for interconnection. Qwest not only is requiring NTI to pay tariff, rather than contract, rates for interconnection facilities but has refused to pay any portion of those higher costs because they derive from the tariff, rather than the Interconnection Agreement. The Commission should refuse to permit Qwest to evade its responsibilities and to impose such unreasonable costs on a competitor.

#### 

#### Q. WHAT SPECIFIC RELIEF DOES NTI SEEK FROM THE COMMISSION?

A. NTI seeks to obtain interconnection facilities from Qwest under the Interconnection

Agreement and to have Qwest pay for its proportional share of those facilities.

Accordingly, NTI requests an order from the Commission requiring Qwest to work with

NTI to transition the current private line circuits to LIS facilities governed by the

Agreement. NTI further requests that the Commission require Qwest to pay the costs of

l		its proportional share of those facilities, both historically and on a going-forward basis.
2		Attached as Confidential Exhibit (AM-3C) is NTI's calculation of the total amount
3		Qwest owes NTI in compensation for Qwest's share of the costs of the interconnection
1		facilities through November 2005.
5	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
5	Α.	Yes, it does.