## WUTC v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities

Docket No. UE-240006, UG-240007 - Vol. I

February 20, 2024



1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 Bellingham | Everett | Tacoma | Olympia | Yakima | Spokane Seattle 206.287.9066 Tacoma 253.235.0111 Eastern Washington 509.624.3261

www.buellrealtime.com

email: audio@buellrealtime.com

	Page 1		Page 3
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 2	APPEARANCES (Cont.)
	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )		FOR THE SIERRA CLUB:
	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	3	Gloria Smith Sierra Club
	Complainant, )	4	2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612
	vs. ) DOCKETS UE-240006	5	Oakiand, Camornia 94012
	) UG-240007 ) (Consolidated)	6	
	) AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a )	7 8	
	AVISTA UTILITIES, )	9 10	
	Respondent. ) PAGES 1 - 20	11	
	)	12	
	PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOL. I	13	
	February 20, 2024	14	
	BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES	15	
	JAMES E. BROWN II & PAIGE DOYLE	16	
		17	
	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop SE	18 19	
	Lacey, Washington 98504	20	
		21	
		22	
	TRANSCRIPED DV. ELIZARETH DATTERSON HARVEV	23	
	TRANSCRIBED BY: ELIZABETH PATTERSON HARVEY, FAPR, RPR, WA CCR 2731	24	
	17th 1t, 1th 1t, 177 GGR 2701	25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1 2	APPEARANCES	1	February 20, 2024 - 9:34 a.m.
	FOR THE RESPONDENT:	2	-000-
3	David J. Meyer P.O. Box 3727	3	
4	1411 E. Mission Avenue MSC-27 Spokane, Washington 99220	4	JUDGE BROWN: Good morning. We're here
5	.,	5	for a prehearing conference for Docket UE-200046 and
6	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:	6	UG-240007, which is captioned Washington Utilities and
7	Jeff Roberson Office of the Attorney General	7	Transportation Commission versus Avista Corporation.
8	P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504	8	I am the one of the administrative law
9		9	judges on this matter, James E. Brown, II, and I will be
10 11	FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: Tad Robinson O'Neill	10	co-presiding in this matter with Administrative Law
	Public Counsel Unit	11	Judge Paige Doyle in conjunction with the commissioners.
12	Attorney General of Washington 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000	12	So let's start by taking appearances. So
13	Seattle, Washington 98104	13	let's begin with Avista.
14	FOR AWEC:	14	ATTORNEY MEYER: Thank you, your Honor.
15	Summer Moser	15	Am I being heard?
16	Davison Van Cleve 107 SE Washington St Suite 430	16	JUDGE BROWN: I can hear you just fine.
17	Portland, Oregon 97214	17	ATTORNEY MEYER: Very good. Thank you.
18	FOR NW ENERGY COALITION:	18	I'll give you the short form appearance:
19	F. Diego Rivas NW Energy Coalition	19	David Meyer for Avista Corporation. All the particulars
	1101 8th Ävenue	20	of our contact information are contained within our
20	Helena, Montana 59601	1	
20	Toolia, mortana 55501	1 21	
21		21	filing. Thank you.
	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: Yochi Zakai	22	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. And give me and
21	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: Yochi Zakai Shute, Mihaley & Weinberger LLP 396 Hayes Street	22 23	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. And give me and next I have staff.
21 22	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: Yochi Zakai Shute, Mihaley & Weinberger LLP	22 23 24	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. And give me and next I have staff.  ATTORNEY ROBERSON: Good morning,
21 22 23	FOR THE ENERGY PROJECT: Yochi Zakai Shute, Mihaley & Weinberger LLP 396 Hayes Street	22 23	JUDGE BROWN: Okay. And give me and next I have staff.

Page 5 Page 7 our contact information is with our notice of 1 comments from the parties recording eResponse time for 1 2 2 data requests? appearance. 3 JUDGE BROWN: Okay. All right. I see 3 ATTORNEY MEYER: Just a clarification. other representatives from commission staff in here. 4 4 vour Honor. 5 5 And I'm aware of who they are. JUDGE BROWN: Yes. 6 So let's move to public counsel. 6 ATTORNEY MEYER: That five business day 7 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: Good morning, 7 turnaround is later on in the procedural schedule. 8 your Honor. Tad Robinson O'Neill on behalf of public 8 JUDGE BROWN: Ah, okay. 9 9 ATTORNEY MEYER: Yeah. There are 10 JUDGE BROWN: Good morning, Mr. O'Neill. 10 footnotes to the proposed schedule that set forth the 11 11 Okay. intervals. And now let's move to petitions to 12 JUDGE BROWN: Seven business days 12 initially, correct? Or did I miss something else? 13 intervene. I have several parties, I think numbering 13 ATTORNEY MEYER: Let's see. Footnote 3 14 about five. I have Walmart; Sierra Club; Northwest 14 15 Energy Coalition; Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, 15 relates to -- just looking for it here. Oh, yes. After the July 3 date for staff and public counsel response, 16 AWEC; and The Energy Project. 16 17 And are there any other petitioners for 17 testimony, and exhibits, there, there's a reference to a 18 intervention other than the ones that I've seen or have 18 response time to data requests being seven business 19 received in writing? 19 days. 20 All right. Hearing none, let's move 20 Before that is -- it's the customary ten forward at this point. I've reviewed the five petitions 21 business days. 21 to intervene. Again, Walmart, Sierra Club, Northwest JUDGE BROWN: It's the customary? I 22 22 Energy Coalition, Alliance of Western Energy Consumers, 23 23 couldn't hear you. 24 and The Energy Project. 24 ATTORNEY MEYER: Ten business days. 25 I haven't seen any written objections on 25 JUDGE BROWN: Ten business days. Page 6 Page 8 the record. Does anyone object to these intervenors? 1 ATTORNEY MEYER: Yes. So it goes ten, 1 Okay. Hearing none, the petition is 2 2 seven, and five. 3 3 JUDGE BROWN: Okay. All right. Now it's granted. It will be reflected in the prehearing conference order that we did grant intervention to those 4 clear. I've just got to (inaudible). Okay. All right. 4 5 five parties. 5 I'll make a note of that. 6 Now let's move to the procedural schedule. 6 I'm sorry. I was focused on the Footnote 7 And basically, before the call today, the parties 7 3, actually Footnote 4 and 5 initially. Thank you for 8 provided a proposed procedural schedule. And there 8 cuing me to Footnote 3. All right. So that will be ten business days. All right. I will definitely make a 9 appear to be no conflicts with regard to my calendar, 9 10 Judge Doyle's calendar, nor the commission's calendar. 10 note of that so that it's clear in the prehearing Would one of the parties like to read the 11 conference order. 11 12 procedural schedule into the record at this point? 12 And I take it there are no objections to 13 ATTORNEY MEYER: Your Honor, I'd be happy 13 that? No? Hearing none -to do that. If there's a shorter way of doing it, just ATTORNEY ZAKAI: No objection, your Honor, 14 14 marking this proposed as an exhibit to this prehearing, but I do have an additional point on discovery for 15 15 would that suffice? 16 discussion this morning. 16 17 JUDGE BROWN: I can accept it as an 17 JUDGE BROWN: Go ahead. I'm listening. exhibit for the prehearing. It will definitely appear ATTORNEY ZAKAI: Okay. Thank you. 18 18 19 as -- in the prehearing conference order and be 19 And I think this is primarily directed 20 reflected. So I have it in front of me now. Unless 20 towards the parties. We had some e-mail discussion on 21 there are any objections to that? 21 this, but I don't feel like we really reached a 22 All right. Hearing none, we'll move 22 resolution. 23 forward from the procedural schedule. And I see that 23 In past cases, we had categorized data 24 parties have agreed to five business days response time 24 requests by issue. And staff had said that if other 25 for data requests. And are there any additional 25 parties don't object, they wanted to end that process.

Page 9

Page 11

The Energy Project indicated that we found it helpful, but if nobody else wanted to continue it, we wouldn't insist on continuing it.

But, you know, I'd welcome hearing from other parties if this is a practice that they would like to continue or not.

JUDGE BROWN: Okay. I will listen to any feedback from other parties if they would like to respond to The Energy Project's comments.

ATTORNEY MOSER: Good morning, Judge. This is Summer Moser on behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers. And we do have a preference for discontinuing the practice. On our end, given the volume of data requests that we issue, it is administratively burdensome. And that burden does outweigh the benefit that we see from including those headings. So we would prefer not include those going forward.

JUDGE BROWN: Okay.

ATTORNEY ROBERSON: For the record, staff's position is the same. We issue a lot of data requests that the burdens of tagging and sorting far outweigh any efficiency gains we're getting from seeing the responses come back or in order.

JUDGE BROWN: Okay. All right.

two parties in favor of keeping or maintaining the practice correct? Or am I miss counting?

Let me ponder this, and I will address it in the order. I think there are --I understand both aspects of this argument. It is helpful based on subject matter as far as the commission is concerned, but I also understand efficiency. Let me give it some thought, and I will address it in the prehearing conference order.

Any objections to that? No. Okay.

And the next matter, I see the parties have agreed for mutual discovery; that all parties will receive each data request at the time they are propounded, and each data request response at the time it is served on the requester. Is that accurate?

Any further comments on that?

And moving to protective orders, I saw there was a motion for a protective order. That motion will be granted, and I will issue a protective order companion to the prehearing conference order.

Now we're moving to electronic filing and electronic service. The commission requires electronic filing of documents for formal proceedings. And the commission's rules provide for electronic service of documents. The commission will serve parties

Page 10

Page 12

ATTORNEY SMITH: This is Gloria Smith from Sierra Club. We found it challenging to categorize our data requests, and we received some criticism from doing it improperly. So we too would like to see the process go away. Thank you.

ATTORNEY RIVAS: And, your Honor, this is Diego Rivas from The Northwest Energy Coalition. I understand the reasonings the other parties have laid out for removing it.

I think we would be more in line with The Energy Project. We find it helpful to go through the issues categorically, be able to filter out a lot of the information that comes in, some of the issues that we may not be involved in. So we find the practice helpful, but are also not insisting at this point.

JUDGE BROWN: Okay. Okay. So you're not interested in maintaining the practice? Just so I'm clear.

ATTORNEY RIVAS: No. We would recommend that the practice continue. We find the practice helpful.

JUDGE BROWN: All right. All right. I just wanted to make sure I'm clear.

All right. So it seems we have three -four parties not in favor of keeping the practice and

electronically, and the parties will serve each other electronically.

And designated persons for service, any person, or rather any party that has not yet designated a lead representative for service, please do so via e-mail as soon as possible. And my e-mail is -- rather, our emails are james.brown@utc.wa.gov and paige.doyle@utc.wa.gov.

ATTORNEY MEYER: Your Honor? JUDGE BROWN: Yes.

ATTORNEY MEYER: As is often done, the bench then consolidates all of these requests for additional names to be added to that electronic service list. Will you be doing that and providing that to the parties, or are the parties just kind of to keep track on their own of requests that are being made?

JUDGE BROWN: I believe the practice is once they're submitted, then the lists are updated from our side and accessible to the parties once they're updated.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE BROWN: And errata sheets. Under WAC 480-07-461 (b), the deadline for filing errata sheets may be established in the prehearing conference order. Does anyone have any objection or objections to

Page 15 Page 13 1 setting a deadline a week prior to the evidentiary those weeks would work for public counsel. 1 2 hearing in this matter? 2 I didn't receive any responses from the 3 Hearing none, we will incorporate that 3 rest of the parties. date into the prehearing conference order as well. JUDGE BROWN: All right. What were those 4 4 5 5 And -weeks again? August 16? 6 ATTORNEY ZAKAI: Okay. Your Honor? 6 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: August 26 or 7 JUDGE BROWN: This is Yochi Zakai for The 7 September 16. 8 Energy Project again. 8 ATTORNEY ROBERSON: Judge Brown, for 9 9 No objection. I would just hope that we staff, I can have someone cover it, but I'm out of 10 could also get errata sheets as soon as possible if 10 country the week of August 26. errors are noticed. Here, I think it would be So I would have a preference for September 11 11 burdensome if an error was discovered early in the case 16. or the week of September 16. 12 12 JUDGE BROWN: Okay. Let me circle back to 13 and the errata was not filed until the time that you 13 the -- okay. That's actually two weeks out. September 14 specified. 14 15 JUDGE BROWN: Okay. I see what you're 15 16 is actually two weeks out from (inaudible). And 16 saying. I guess -- not I guess, but the parties -- I'll 16 August 26. All right. 17 ask that the parties make a good faith effort to ensure 17 Does anyone object to or have any issue 18 that errata sheets are forwarded as expeditiously as 18 with September 16, the week of September 16 for 19 possible so that there's no delay, and any errors can be 19 the public comment hearing? All right. 20 caught, addressed, and definitely for the sake of 20 And Mr. Robinson O'Neill, quick question. administrative economy, so this matter can move forward 21 That's any day that week of the 16th? 21 expeditiously, and everyone has the information that 22 22 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: My preference 23 they need and that we have the information we need to 23 is for Tuesdays or Thursdays, but that's my own personal 24 render a decision. So I'm asking that the parties 24 schedule. I can arrange coverage one way or the other. 25 respect that please, going forward. 25 JUDGE BROWN: Okay. Page 14 Page 16 1 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: And obviously, 1 And one other matter -- well, let me 2 2 circle back to your request. I know I said I was going the availability of the commission is the governing 3 3 to put it in the order, but I've been giving it further factor. JUDGE BROWN: Okay. 4 thought as we're going through the rest of the items. 4 5 And I agree. I think we should leave the process in 5 ATTORNEY MEYER: Just a point of 6 place as is. In other words, I agree with you, Energy 6 clarification. Any of those dates are fine for Avista. 7 Project, on this with regard to the data request 7 But what are the mechanics of this? 8 exchanges. And by subject matter, correct? That was 8 Is this to be virtual, is it to be in person? How do you mean to conduct this? 9 your request? 9 10 10 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: At this time, ATTORNEY ZAKAI: Yes. JUDGE BROWN: Okay. All right. I'm going 11 11 we're requesting a virtual public comment hearing. to ask that the parties maintain the practice as is, and 12 ATTORNEY MEYER: All right. 12 13 13 just keep the practice as is with regard to exchanging JUDGE BROWN: Are there any objections to 14 data requests by subject. 14 the form of the comment hearing? 15 Any feedback from the parties? No? 15 Any thoughts on a virtual comment Is there anything else we need to address 16 16 hearing? 17 today? Any questions? 17 All right. I am looking at -- okay. I am ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: This is Tad 18 18 looking at the -- okay. Let me back up for a second. 19 Robinson O'Neill from the public counsel. On the 19 I'm bouncing back and forth between the week of the 16th 20 proposed schedule, the public comment hearing is set as 20 -- okay. All right. Let's do September 19, then. Any 21 TBD. 21 objections to that? 22 JUDGE BROWN: Yes. 22 We'll do the public comment hearing for 23 ATTORNEY ROBINSON O'NEILL: I have floated 23 September 19, and that will be reflected in the 24 24 the weeks of August 26 or of September 16. I don't know prehearing conference order. 25 what the schedule is for the commission, but either of 25 And is there anything else from the

	Page 17		Page 19
1		1	
1 2	parties at this point?	1	And my prehearing conference should be
3	ATTORNEY MEYER: One other item.  JUDGE BROWN: Okay.	2 3	coming out for you shortly, and we are now off the
		4	record.
4 5	ATTORNEY MEYER: Thank you. Don't mean to prolong this. But at least as is customary on our end,	5	(Hearing concluded at 9:58 a.m.)
6	we encourage the parties, when they propound discovery,	6	
7	to do it in smaller batches.	7	
8	JUDGE BROWN: Okay.	8	
9	ATTORNEY MEYER: And generally, the	9	
10	parties have been done pretty good about it. It's just	10	
11	when they come in avalanches, it's hard for us to keep	11	
12	to that turnaround time.	12	
13	And we've already been getting some	13	
14	discovery. So far so good. No problem there. Happy to	14	
15	respond to it all. But just be mindful of it.	15	
16	I think that what I suspect happens is	16	
17	that consultants will probably unload a bunch of	17	
18	proposed discovery on counsel, and then it gets all sent	18	
19	out, you know, 50 or 100 items at a time. So if that	19	
20	can be policed a little better by counsel, Avista would	20	
21	appreciate it.	21	
22	JUDGE BROWN: All right. We'll ask the	22	
23	parties to be mindful of the size of their discovery	23	
24	batches, because that will also help and assist with the	24	
25	case moving forward expeditiously.	25	
	Page 18		Page 20
1	All right. Hearing no further comments	1 2	CERTIFICATE
2	ATTORNEY SMITH: Your Honor?	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
3	JUDGE BROWN: Every time I think I'm done,	4	) ss COUNTY OF KING )
4	you pull me right back in. What is this?	5	COUNTY OF KING )
5		6	,
	All right. I'm listening. Thank you,	7	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified
6	Sierra Club.	7	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified
7	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of	7 8	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter
7 8	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person	7	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified
7 8 9	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?	7 8	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter
7 8	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting	7 8 9	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby
7 8 9 10	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there	7 8 9 10 11	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction;
7 8 9 10 11	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting	7 8 9 10	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
7 8 9 10 11	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid	7 8 9 10 11	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction;
7 8 9 10 11 12	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.  Okay. All right. Hearing nothing else,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.  Okay. All right. Hearing nothing else, we will issue an order shortly containing the procedural	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of March, 2024.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.  Okay. All right. Hearing nothing else,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of March, 2024.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.  Okay. All right. Hearing nothing else, we will issue an order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of the case.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of March, 2024.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sierra Club.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Thank you. Point of clarification. So are you contemplating an in-person evidentiary hearing?  JUDGE BROWN: We have been conducting hybrid evidentiary hearings. So I anticipate there being an in-person element, of course, as well as hybrid where the hearing will be available online as well.  ATTORNEY SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much.  JUDGE BROWN: You're welcome.  I think that will probably be the practice going forward. So here we are.  And is there anything else from the parties at this point? I'm listening.  Okay. All right. Hearing nothing else, we will issue an order shortly containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for the disposition of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified  Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I received the electronic recording in the proprietary format; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of March, 2024.

Page 21

	15.6 10 16	C 2:1 2:1 20:1 1	gangarmad 11.6	8.22 0.14 21 10.2
<u>A</u>	15:6,10,16	C 2:1 3:1 20:1,1	concerned 11:6 concluded 19:4	8:23 9:14,21 10:3
<b>a.m</b> 4:1 19:4	availability 16:2	calendar 6:9,10,10		11:13,14 14:7,14
<b>AAG</b> 4:25	available 18:13	<b>California</b> 2:23 3:4	<b>conduct</b> 16:9	date 7:16 13:4
<b>able</b> 10:12	avalanches 17:11	call 6:7	conducting 18:10	dates 16:6
accept 6:17	Avenue 2:4,12,19	captioned 4:6	conference 1:12 4:5	<b>David</b> 2:3 4:19
accessible 12:19	Avista 1:8,9 4:7,13	case 13:12 17:25	6:4,19 8:11 11:9	Davison 2:15
accurate 11:15	4:19,25 16:6	18:24	11:20 12:24 13:4	day 7:6 15:21 20:17
added 12:13	17:20	cases 8:23	16:24 19:1	days 6:24 7:12,19
additional 6:25	aware 5:5	categorically 10:12	conflicts 6:9	7:21,24,25 8:9
8:15 12:13	<b>AWEC</b> 2:14 5:16	categorize 10:2	conjunction 4:11	<b>deadline</b> 12:23 13:1
address 11:3,8	B	categorized 8:23	Consolidated 1:7	decision 13:24
14:16		caught 13:20	consolidates 12:12	definitely 6:18 8:9
addressed 13:20	<b>b</b> 12:23	CCR 1:24 20:23	consultants 17:17	13:20
adjourned 18:25	back 9:24 14:2	Certified 20:7	Consumers 5:15,23	<b>delay</b> 13:19
administrative	15:13 16:18,19	certify 20:10	9:12	designated 12:3,4
1:14 4:8,10 13:21	18:4	challenging 10:2	<b>Cont</b> 3:1	<b>Diego</b> 2:18 10:7
administratively	based 11:5	<b>circle</b> 14:2 15:13	<b>contact</b> 4:20 5:1	directed 8:19
9:15	basically 6:7	clarification 7:3	contained 4:20	direction 20:11
agree 14:5,6	<b>batches</b> 17:7,24	16:6 18:8	containing 18:22	discontinuing 9:13
agreed 6:24 11:12	<b>behalf</b> 5:8 9:11	<b>clear</b> 8:4,10 10:18	contemplating 18:8	discovered 13:12
<b>Ah</b> 7:8	believe 12:17	10:23	continue 9:2,6	discovery 8:15
<b>ahead</b> 8:17	<b>bench</b> 12:12	<b>Cleve</b> 2:15	10:20	11:12 17:6,14,18
<b>Alliance</b> 5:15,23	benefit 9:16	<b>Club</b> 3:2,3 5:14,22	continuing 9:3	17:23
9:11	<b>better</b> 17:20	10:2 18:6	Corporation 1:8	discussion 8:16,20
anticipate 18:11	bouncing 16:19	co-presiding 4:10	4:7,19	disposition 18:23
appear 6:9,18	<b>Box</b> 2:3,8	Coalition 2:18,19	<b>correct</b> 7:13 11:2	Docket 4:5
appearance 4:18	<b>Brown</b> 1:15 4:4,9	5:15,23 10:7	14:8	DOCKETS 1:6
5:2	4:16,22 5:3,10	come 9:24 17:11	counsel 2:10,11 5:6	documents 11:23
appearances 4:12	6:17 7:5,8,12,22	<b>comes</b> 10:13	5:9 7:16 14:19	11:25
appreciate 17:21	7:25 8:3,17 9:7,19	coming 19:2	15:1 17:18,20	doing 6:14 10:3
argument 11:5	9:25 10:16,22	comment 14:20	20:14	12:14
arrange 15:24	12:10,17,22 13:7	15:19 16:11,14,15	counting 11:2	<b>Doyle</b> 1:15 4:11
asking 13:24	13:15 14:11,22	16:22	country 15:10	<b>Doyle's</b> 6:10
aspects 11:5	15:4,8,13,25 16:4	<b>comments</b> 7:1 9:9	<b>COUNTY</b> 20:5	
assist 17:24	16:13 17:3,8,22	11:16 18:1	course 18:12	E
attorney 2:7,12	18:3,10,16	commission 1:2,4	Court 20:8	<b>E</b> 1:15 2:1,1,4 3:1,1
4:14,17,24 5:7	<b>bunch</b> 17:17	1:17 2:6 4:7 5:4	cover 15:9	4:9 20:1,1
6:13 7:3,6,9,14,24	burden 9:15	11:6,22,25 14:25	coverage 15:24	<b>e-mail</b> 8:20 12:6,6
8:1,14,18 9:10,20	burdens 9:22	16:2	criticism 10:3	<b>early</b> 13:12
10:1,6,19 12:9,11	burdensome 9:15	commission's 6:10	cuing 8:8	economy 13:21
13:6 14:10,18,23	13:12	11:24	customary 7:20,22	<b>efficiency</b> 9:23 11:7
15:6,8,22 16:1,5	<b>business</b> 6:24 7:6	commissioners	17:5	<b>effort</b> 13:17
16:10,12 17:2,4,9	7:12,18,21,24,25	4:11		either 14:25
18:2,7,14 20:14	8:9	companion 11:20	<b>D</b>	electronic 11:21,22
		Complainant 1:5	<b>d/b/a</b> 1:8	11:22,24 12:13
<b>August</b> 14:24 15:5	C	- 3P	data 6:25 7:2,18	20:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

electronically 12:1	<b>fine</b> 4:16 16:6	<del></del>	insisting 10:15	law 1:14 4:8,10
12:2	<b>five</b> 5:14,21 6:5,24	hand 20:17	interested 10:17	lead 12:5
element 18:12	7:6 8:2	happens 17:16	20:15	leave 14:5
<b>Elizabeth</b> 1:23 20:7	floated 14:23	happy 6:13 17:14	intervals 7:11	<b>legal</b> 20:11
20:23	focused 8:6	hard 17:11	intervene 5:13,22	<b>let's</b> 4:12,13 5:6,12
emails 12:7	Footnote 7:14 8:6,7	Harvey 1:23 20:7	intervenors 6:1	5:20 6:6 7:14
employed 20:14	8:8	20:23	intervention 5:18	16:20
employee 20:13	footnotes 7:10	Hayes 2:23	6:4	<b>line</b> 10:10
encourage 17:6	foregoing 20:10	headings 9:17	involved 10:14	<b>list</b> 12:14
<b>Energy</b> 2:18,19,21	<b>form</b> 4:18 16:14	hear 4:16 7:23	issue 8:24 9:14,21	listen 9:7
5:15,15,16,23,23	<b>formal</b> 11:23	heard 4:15	11:19 15:17 18:22	<b>listening</b> 8:17 18:5
5:24 9:1,9,12 10:7	<b>format</b> 20:13	hearing 5:20 6:2,22	issues 10:12,13	18:20
10:11 13:8 14:6	<b>forth</b> 7:10 16:19	8:13 9:4 13:2,3	item 17:2	lists 12:18
ensure 13:17	<b>forward</b> 5:21 6:23	14:20 15:19 16:11	items 14:4 17:19	<b>little</b> 17:20
eResponse 7:1	9:18 13:21,25	16:14,16,22 18:1		LLP 2:22
errata 12:22,23	17:25 18:18	18:9,13,21 19:4	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$	<b>looking</b> 7:15 16:17
13:10,13,18	forwarded 13:18	hearings 18:11	J2:3	16:18
<b>error</b> 13:12	<b>found</b> 9:1 10:2	Helena 2:20	James 1:15 4:9	<b>Loop</b> 1:18
<b>errors</b> 13:11,19	four 10:25	<b>help</b> 17:24	james.brown@ut	<b>lot</b> 9:21 10:12
established 12:24	Francisco 2:23	<b>helpful</b> 9:1 10:11	12:7 <b>Jeff</b> 2:7 4:25	M
evidentiary 13:1	front 6:20	10:15,21 11:5	Judge 4:4,11,16,22	maintain 14:12
18:9,11	<b>further</b> 11:16 14:3	<b>hereto</b> 20:15	5:3,10 6:10,17 7:5	maintain 14.12 maintaining 10:17
exchanges 14:8	18:1	hereunto 20:16	7:8,12,22,25 8:3	11:1
exchanging 14:13	G	<b>Honor</b> 4:14 5:8	8:17 9:7,10,19,25	March 20:17
exhibit 6:15,18	gains 9:23	6:13 7:4 8:14	10:16,22 12:10,17	marking 6:15
exhibits 7:17	General 2:7,12	10:6 12:9 13:6	12:22 13:7,15	matter 4:9,10 11:6
<b>expeditiously</b> 13:18 13:22 17:25	generally 17:9	18:2	14:11,22 15:4,8	11:11 13:2,21
13.22 17.23	getting 9:23 17:13	hope 13:9	15:13,25 16:4,13	14:1,8
F	give 4:18,22 11:7	<b>hybrid</b> 18:11,12	17:3,8,22 18:3,10	mean 16:9 17:4
<b>F</b> 2:18 20:1	<b>given</b> 9:13	I	18:16	mechanics 16:7
factor 16:3	giving 14:3	<b>II</b> 1:15 4:9	<b>judges</b> 1:14 4:9	<b>Meyer</b> 2:3 4:14,17
<b>faith</b> 13:17	<b>Gloria</b> 3:3 10:1	improperly 10:4	<b>July</b> 7:16	4:19 6:13 7:3,6,9
<b>FAPR</b> 1:24	<b>go</b> 8:17 10:5,11	<b>in-person</b> 18:8,12	K	7:14,24 8:1 12:9
<b>far</b> 9:22 11:6 17:14	<b>goes</b> 8:1	<b>inaudible</b> 4:25 8:4		12:11 16:5,12
<b>favor</b> 10:25 11:1	going 9:17 13:25	15:15	keep 12:15 14:13	17:2,4,9
<b>February</b> 1:13 4:1	14:2,4,11 18:18	include 9:17	17:11	Mihaley 2:22
<b>feedback</b> 9:8 14:15	good 4:4,17,24 5:7	including 9:16	keeping 10:25 11:1 kind 12:15	mindful 17:15,23
feel 8:21	5:10 9:10 13:17	incorporate 13:3	KING 20:5	Mission 2:4
Fifth 2:12	17:10,14	indicated 9:1	know 9:4 14:2,24	Montana 2:20
filed 13:13	governing 16:2	information 4:20	17:19	morning 4:4,24 5:7
filing 4:21 11:21,23	grant 6:4	5:1 10:13 13:22		5:10 8:16 9:10 Magan 2:15 0:10 11
12:23	granted 6:3 11:19	13:23	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	Moser 2:15 9:10,11
filter 10:12	guess 13:16,16 guidelines 18:23	initially 7:13 8:7	<b>Lacey</b> 1:19	motion 11:18,18
<b>financially</b> 20:15	guiuennes 18.23	insist 9:3	<b>laid</b> 10:8	<b>move</b> 5:6,12,20 6:6 6:22 13:21
<b>find</b> 10:11,14,20				0.22 15.21

Page 23

	<u> </u>			. ago 20
moving 11:17,21	<b>online</b> 18:13	position 9:21	<b>pull</b> 18:4	respond 9:9 17:15
17:25	order 6:4,19 8:11	<b>possible</b> 12:6 13:10	put 14:3	Respondent 1:10
MSC-27 2:4	9:24 11:4,9,18,19	13:19		2:2
<b>mutual</b> 11:12	11:20 12:25 13:4	practice 9:5,13	Q	response 6:24 7:16
	14:3 16:24 18:22	10:14,17,20,20,25	question 15:20	7:18 11:14
N	orders 11:17	11:2 12:17 14:12	questions 14:17	responses 9:24 15:2
N 2:1 3:1	Oregon 2:16	14:13 18:17	quick 15:20	rest 14:4 15:3
names 12:13	outcome 20:15	prefer 9:17		reviewed 5:21
need 13:23,23	outweigh 9:16,23	preference 9:12	R	right 5:3,20 6:22
14:16		15:11,22	<b>R</b> 2:1 3:1 20:1	8:3,4,8,9 9:25
Northwest 5:14,22	P	prehearing 1:12	reached 8:21	10:22,22,24 14:11
10:7	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1	4:5 6:3,15,18,19	<b>read</b> 6:11	15:4,16,19 16:12
<b>note</b> 8:5,10	<b>P.O</b> 2:3,8	8:10 11:8,20	really 8:21	16:17,20 17:22
notice 5:1	<b>PAGES</b> 1:10	12:24 13:4 16:24	reasonings 10:8	18:1,4,5,21
noticed 13:11	<b>Paige</b> 1:15 4:11	19:1	receive 11:13 15:2	Rivas 2:18 10:6,7
numbering 5:13	paige.doyle@utc	pretty 17:10	received 5:19 10:3	10:19
<b>NW</b> 2:18,19	12:8	primarily 8:19	20:12	<b>Roberson</b> 2:7 4:24
	particulars 4:19	<b>prinarry</b> 0.17 <b>prior</b> 13:1	recommend 10:19	4:25 9:20 15:8
0	parties 5:13 6:5,7	probably 17:17	record 6:1,12 9:20	Robinson 2:11 5:7
O'Neill 2:11 5:7,8	6:11,24 7:1 8:20	18:17	19:3	5:8 14:18,19,23
5:10 14:18,19,23	8:25 9:5,8 10:8,25	<b>problem</b> 17:14	recording 7:1	15:6,20,22 16:1
15:6,20,22 16:1	11:1,11,12,25	procedural 6:6,8	20:12	16:10
16:10	12:1,15,15,19	6:12,23 7:7 18:22	recordings 20:11	RPR 1:24
<b>o0o-</b> 4:2	13:16,17,24 14:12	proceedings 11:23	reference 7:17	rules 11:24
Oakland 3:4	14:15 15:3 17:1,6	process 8:25 10:4	reflected 6:3,20	Tules 11.24
<b>object</b> 6:1 8:25	17:10,23 18:20	14:5	16:23	S
15:17	20:14	Professional 20:8	regard 6:9 14:7,13	$\frac{\overline{S} 2:1 3:1}{}$
objection 8:14	party 12:4	<b>Project</b> 2:21 5:16	Registered 20:8	sake 13:20
12:25 13:9	<b>Patterson</b> 1:23 20:7	5:24 9:1 10:11	relates 7:15	San 2:23
objections 5:25	20:23	13:8 14:7	relative 20:13	saw 11:17
6:21 8:12 11:10	penalty 20:10		removing 10:9	saving 13:16
12:25 16:13,21	perjury 20:10	Project's 9:9	render 13:24	schedule 6:6,8,12
obviously 16:1	person 12:4 16:9	prolong 17:5	Reporter 20:8,8	6:23 7:7,10 14:20
Office 2:7	personal 15:23	proposed 6:8,15	representative 12:5	14:25 15:24 18:23
<b>Oh</b> 7:15	persons 12:3	7:10 14:20 17:18	representatives 5:4	SE 1:18 2:16
okay 4:22 5:3,11	petition 6:2	propound 17:6	request 11:13,14	Seattle 2:13
6:2 7:8 8:3,4,18	petition 0.2 petitioners 5:17	propounded 11:14	14:2,7,9	second 16:18
9:7,19,25 10:16	petitions 5:12,21	proprietary 20:13	requester 11:15	see 5:3 6:23 7:14
10:16 11:10 13:6	place 14:6	<b>protective</b> 11:17,18	requesting 16:11	9:16 10:4 11:11
13:15 14:11 15:13	please 12:5 13:25	11:19	requests 6:25 7:2	13:15
15:14,25 16:4,17	point 5:21 6:12	provide 11:24	7:18 8:24 9:14,22	seeing 9:23
16:18,20 17:3,8	8:15 10:15 16:5	provided 6:8	10:3 12:12,16	seen 5:18,25
18:14,21	17:1 18:7,20	providing 12:14	14:14	sent 17:18
Olympia 2:8	policed 17:20	<b>public</b> 2:10,11 5:6	requires 11:22	September 14:24
once 12:18,19	ponced 17:20 ponder 11:3	5:8 7:16 14:19,20	resolution 8:22	15:7,11,12,14,18
ones 5:18	Portland 2:16	15:1,19 16:11,22	respect 13:25	15:7,11,12,14,18
322000110	1 01 11 <b>411111 2.</b> 10		- 55 <b>PCCC</b> 15.25	13.10 10.20,23

Page 24

				i age 24
serve 11:25 12:1	<b>T</b> 20:1,1	Van 2:15	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	8
served 11:15	<b>Tad</b> 2:11 5:8 14:18	versus 4:7	<b>Zakai</b> 2:22 8:14,18	800 2:12
service 11:22,24	tagging 9:22	virtual 16:8,11,15	13:6,7 14:10	8th 2:19
12:3,5,13	take 8:12	<b>VOL</b> 1:12	13.0,7 14.10	oth 2.19
set 7:10 14:20	<b>TBD</b> 14:21	<b>volume</b> 9:14	0	9
20:16	ten 7:20,24,25 8:1,8			<b>9:34</b> 4:1
setting 13:1	testimony 7:17	VS 1.0	1	<b>9:58</b> 19:4
seven 7:12,18 8:2	<b>Thank</b> 4:14,17,21	W	<b>1</b> 1:10	<b>94102</b> 2:23
sheets 12:22,24	8:7,18 10:5 12:21	<b>WA</b> 1:24	<b>100</b> 17:19	<b>94612</b> 3:4
13:10,18	17:4 18:5,7,14,25	<b>WAC</b> 12:23	<b>107</b> 2:16	<b>97214</b> 2:16
short 4:18	think 5:13 8:19	<b>Walmart</b> 5:14,22	<b>1101</b> 2:19	<b>98104</b> 2:13
<b>shorter</b> 6:14	10:10 11:4 13:11	wanted 8:25 9:2	<b>1300</b> 3:4	<b>98504</b> 1:19 2:8
shortly 18:22 19:2	14:5 17:16 18:3	10:23	<b>1411</b> 2:4	<b>99220</b> 2:4
Shute 2:22	18:17	Washington 1:1,4	<b>16</b> 14:24 15:5,7,12	77440 2.4
side 12:19	thought 11:8 14:4	1:17,19 2:4,8,12	15:12,15,18,18	
Sierra 3:2,3 5:14	thoughts 16:15	2:13,16 4:6 20:3,9	<b>16th</b> 15:21 16:19	
5:22 10:2 18:6	three 10:24	way 6:14 15:24	<b>19</b> 16:20,23	
size 17:23	Thursdays 15:23	we'll 6:22 16:22	17 10.20,23	
size 17:23 smaller 17:7	time 6:24 7:1,18	17:22	2	
	11:13,14 13:13	we're 4:4 9:23	<b>20</b> 1:10,13 4:1	
Smith 3:3 10:1,1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11:21 14:4 16:11	<b>2000</b> 2:12	
18:2,7,14	16:10 17:12,19	we've 17:13	<b>2024</b> 1:13 4:1 20:17	
soon 12:6 13:10	18:3	Webster 3:4	<b>2101</b> 3:4	
sorry 8:6	today 6:7 14:17	week 13:1 15:10,12	<b>26</b> 14:24 15:6,10,16	
sorting 9:22	track 12:15	15:18,21 16:19	<b>2731</b> 1:24 20:23	
specified 13:14	transcribed 1:23	weeks 14:24 15:1,5		
Spokane 2:4	20:11	15:14,15	3	
<b>Square</b> 1:18	Transportation 1:2	Weinberger 2:22	<b>3</b> 7:14,16 8:7,8	
ss 20:4	1:4,17 4:7	welcome 9:4 18:16	<b>3727</b> 2:3	
St 2:16	Tuesdays 15:23	Western 5:15,23	<b>396</b> 2:23	
staff 2:6 4:23,25	turnaround 7:7	9:12		
5:4 7:16 8:24	17:12	WHEREOF 20:16	4	
15:9	two 11:1 15:14,15	WITNESS 12:21	<b>4</b> 8:7	
staff's 9:21	U	20:16	<b>40128</b> 2:8	
start 4:12	UE-200046 4:5	Woodland 1:18	<b>430</b> 2:16	
State 20:3,9	UE-240006 1:6	words 14:6	<b>480-07-461</b> 12:23	
Street 2:23 3:4	UG-240007 1:7 4:6	work 15:1	<b>4th</b> 20:17	
<b>subject</b> 11:6 14:8	understand 10:8	wouldn't 9:2		
14:14	11:4,7	writing 5:19	5	
submitted 12:18	Unit 2:11	written 5:25	<b>5</b> 8:7	
<b>suffice</b> 6:16	unload 17:17	WIILLE J. 23	<b>50</b> 17:19	
Suite 2:12,16 3:4	unioau 17.17 updated 12:18,20	X	<b>59601</b> 2:20	
Summer 2:15 9:11	<b>Utilities</b> 1:2,4,9,17		6	
sure 10:23	4:6	Y	<b>621</b> 1:18	
suspect 17:16		<b>Yeah</b> 7:9	U41 1.18	
T	V	<b>Yochi</b> 2:22 13:7	7	
	Ι			l