Exhibit No. \_\_(BAL-1T)

Revisions of July 19, 2004

1		PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.
2		PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BARBARA A. LUSCIER
3		I. INTRODUCTION
4	Q:	Please state your name, business address, and present position with Puget
5		Sound Energy.
6	A:	My name is Barbara A. Luscier and I am the Manager of Revenue Requirements as
7		Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE" or the "Company"). My business address is
8		10885 N.E. Fourth Street, Bellevue, Washington, 98009.
9	Q:	Would you please provide a brief description of your educational and
10		business experience?
11	A:	Please see Exhibit No (BAL-G2).
12	Q:	What topics will you be covering in your testimony?
13	A:	In this portion of my testimony, I will present the calculation of ratebase, working
14		capital, conversion factor and the overall revenue requirement for the natural gas
15		results of operations. I will also explain some of the various adjustments to the
16		results of operations for the current test year and, after taking into account these
17		adjustments, present the natural gas revenue requirement deficiency of
18		\$49,015,476_48,873,560, as shown on Exhibit No (BAL-G3), including
19		municipal additions, based on the adjusted test period

Prefiled Direct Testimony of Barbara A. Luscier

Exh No. \_\_\_(BAL-1T)
Page 1 of 13
REVISED 7/19/04

1	adjusts act	tual Federal Income Tax expense to the restated level based on the test
2	year for th	is case. As our normal tax year ends December 31st, this adjustment
3	recalculate	es the test year using expenses and tax adjustments for the twelve months
4	ended Sep	tember 30, 2003 and removes the current tax year estimates from the
5	test period	
6	The effect	of this adjustment, shown on Exhibit No (BAL-G3), page G3-A,
7	column 2.0	02, is to decrease net operating income by \$1,221,100.
8	Tax Benef	fit of Proforma Interest
9	This profo	rma adjustment, shown on Exhibit No (BAL-G3), page G3-A,
10	column 2.0	3, uses a ratebase method for calculating the tax benefit of proforma
11	interest. A	as adopted by this Commission in prior rate cases, the customers receive
12	the tax ben	nefit associated with the interest on debt used to support ratebase and
13	constructio	on work in progress that has associated tax deductible interest.
14	The effect	of this adjustment is to decrease net operating income by \$6,061,718.
15	Depreciati	ion and Amortization
16	Test year d	epreciation has been restated based on the Average-of-Monthly-
17	Averages u	sing the rates from the depreciation study performed in 2001 and
18	applied in t	he Company's last general rate case, Docket No. UE-011570 and
19	UG-01157	This restating and proforma adjustment, shown on Exhibit No
20	(BAL-G3),	page G3-A, column 2.04, decreases net operating income by \$241,312

Prefiled Direct Testimony of Barbara A. Luscier

Exh No. \_\_\_(BAL-1T)
Page 4 of 13
REVISED 7/19/04

## **Conversion Factor**

The conversion factor, shown on Exhibit No.\_\_\_ (BAL-G3), page 4.03, is used to adjust the net operating income deficiency by revenue sensitive items and Federal income tax to determine the total revenue requirement. The revenue sensitive items are the Washington State utility tax, Washington WUTC filing fee, municipal additions, and bad debts. The conversion factor used in the revenue requirement calculation, taking into consideration the adjustments discussed earlier, is 59.514 59.5137%.

## **Allocation Methods**

Common Utility Plant is that portion of utility operating plant that is used for providing more than one commodity, i.e., both electricity and gas, to customers. Thus, common plant includes costs associated with land, structures, and equipment which are not charged specifically to electric or gas operations because the assets are used jointly by both departments. The Company allocates its common utility plant in determining ratebase by using the four-factor allocation method as authorized in the merger stipulation. Components of the four-factor allocator include the number of customers, direct labor charged to O & M, Transmission and Distribution O & M, and net classified plant (excluding general plant).

Common operating costs are those costs that are incurred on behalf of both electricity and gas customers. The Company incurs common costs related to:

20	[BA040	[BA040870005 / 07771-0089]		
19	A.	Yes, it does.		
18	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?		
17		additions. This results in an average increase of 4.78 4.76%.		
16		Company would have a revenue deficiency of \$49,015,476, including municipal		
15		\$1,064,289,530 invested in ratebase and \$67,892,302 of net operating income, the		
14		proforma and restated ratebase and net operating income. Based on		
13		This schedule, shown on Exhibit No (BAL-G3), page 4.05, is a summary of		
12		General Rate Increase		
11		(BAL-G3), page 4.04.		
10		Allocation methods used and the calculations thereof are shown on Exhibit No		
9		accounts.		
8		the most appropriate of the allocation methods to each common balance sheet		
7		For purposes of calculating the working capital allowance, the Company applies		
6		factor allocator; (5) direct labor; (6) current tax.		
5		average; (2) joint meter reading customers; (3) non-production plant; (4) four		
4		common cost. Allocation methods used include: (1) twelve month customer		
3		The most appropriate allocation method is applied consistently to each type of		
2		General Expense; Depreciation/Amortization; Taxes Other Than FIT; and FIT.		
1		Customer Accounts Expenses; Customer Service Expenses; Administrative and		

Prefiled Direct Testimony of Barbara A. Luscier

Exh No. \_\_\_(BAL-1T)
Page 13 of 13
REVISED 7/19/04