```
00014
     BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
 2
                          COMMISSION
   In Re the Complaint of
   AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE
   NORTHWEST, INC.,
                   Complainant, )
 5
 6
                                      DOCKET NO. UT-991292
             vs.
                                      VOLUME 2
 7
   U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,) Pages 14 - 18
 8
                 Respondent. )
 9
10
             A prehearing conference in the above matter
11
   was held on September 22, 1999 at 12:25 p.m., at 1300
12
   South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,
13
   Washington, before Administrative Law Judge C. ROBERT
14
   WALLIS. Also Present: LAWRENCE BERG
15
             The parties were present as follows:
16
             AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE NORTHWEST, INC.,
   by MARY B. TRIBBY, (via bridge line) Attorney at Law,
   1875 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado, 80202.
17
   Also Present: Ron Gamon
18
             U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., by LISA A.
   ANDERL, (via bridge line) Attorney at Law, 1600 Seventh
19
   Avenue, Suite 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191.
20
              TRACER, by ARTHUR A. BUTLER, (via bridge
   line) Attorney at Law, 601 Union Street, Suite 5450,
   Seattle, Washington 98101.
22
              THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
   COMMISSION, by JEFFREY D. GOLTZ, Assistant Attorney
23
   General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
   Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504.
   Also Present: David Griffith.
25
   Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR, Court Reporter
```

0001	I E
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE WALLIS: This is a prehearing
	conference in the matter of Commission Docket
5	No. UT-991292, which is a complaint of AT&T
	Communications of the Northwest, Inc., against U S West
	Communications, Inc., Respondent. This matter is being
8	heard in Olympia, Washington on September 22, 1999,
9	pursuant to due and proper notice to all interested
10	parties.
11	My name is Robert Wallis, and I'm the
12	assigned Administrative Law Judge. Let's begin by
13	taking appearances and start with the Complainant,
14	please.
15	MS. TRIBBY: This is Mary Tribby on behalf of
16	AT&T.
17	JUDGE WALLIS: The Respondent?
18	MS. ANDERL: This is Lisa Anderl on behalf of
19	U S West Communications.
20	JUDGE WALLIS: The Intervenor?
21	MR. BUTLER: This is Arthur A. Butler
22	appearing on behalf of TRACER.
23	JUDGE WALLIS: And Commission staff.
24	MR. GOLTZ: This is Jeff Goltz with the
	Attorney General's office, and I'm appearing for Staff
ک ک	Accorney denotal b office, and I iii appearing for beari

today and substituting for Shannon Smith, also of the Attorney General's office.

JUDGE WALLIS: Let me ask if there is any other person on the line wishing to make an appearance as a party or petitioner for intervention. Let the record show there is no response.

Preliminary to going on the record, we engaged in some discussions on procedural matters, and I'm advised by the Parties that discovery is proceeding and documents are starting to flow. I had previously asked if Parties could discuss the potential for stipulation of facts, and the Parties agree that at the appropriate time, they will explore that possibility.

The Parties reminded us of the pending motion to dismiss and asked that the Commission examine that motion at an early time, and I will commit on behalf of the Commission that, indeed, it will not allow that to languish but will attend to it in a timely manner. That does not preclude a decision by the Commission to reserve a ruling, however.

The Commission has blocked off the dates of December 13, 14, and 15, for hearing in this matter, and a notice of hearing will issue appropriately. The Commissioners have advised me that they do wish to hear this matter personally, and as of now, pending a change

00017

in that schedule, we plan the Commissioners will be presiding along with the Administrative Law Judge. Mr. Butler has requested a copy of discovery responses that are served on other parties, and I 5 believe has agreed to submit a request to other parties in writing to that effect so that everybody's records 7 are appropriately noted; is that correct? MR. BUTLER: Yes, that is, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE WALLIS: The Parties have agreed to 10 schedule a prehearing conference, which we anticipate 11 would also be held via teleconference for those who did 12 not wish to attend in person to be scheduled on October 13 14th, 1999, at a time that is available to the bridge 14 line, and we will ask Staff to prepare that and to work 15 with the schedules that we have here, and we'll advise 16 the Parties by appropriate notice to that effect. 17 I believe that is all of the matters we 18 discussed to date that warrant attention on the record. 19 Let me ask at this point if any of the Parties wishes 20 to supplement or correct any of the statements I've 21 made for the record. 22 MS. ANDERL: No, Your Honor. 23 MS. TRIBBY: Nothing from AT&T. 24 MR. BUTLER: And nothing from TRACER. 25 JUDGE WALLIS: Let the record show there is

```
00018
   no positive response to that inquiry, and I want to
   thank you all for attending, and we will be off the
    record at this time.
        (Prehearing conference concluded at 12:30 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```