WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: DG-160164 PENALTY AMOUNT: \$10,000

Watterson Excavation Inc. 718 Griffin Ave., Suite 90 Enumclaw, WA 98022

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that you have violated Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.122.030(1)(a) by failing to request a dig ticket prior to performing an excavation. RCW 19.122.055(1)(a) states, in part, that any excavator who fails to notify a one-number locator service and causes damage to a hazardous liquid or gas facility is subject to a civil penalty of not more than ten thousand dollars for each violation.

According to Commission records, Watterson Excavation Inc. (Watterson) has three previous damage incidents. Commission staff (Staff) mailed Alleged Violation of Dig Law letters to Watterson on July 30, 2013 and October 19, 2013, regarding two of the incidents. The Commission investigated all three damage incidents, finding that Watterson had not called for utility locates, and assessed a \$4,000 penalty in Docket DG-144092 on February 26, 2015.

Staff conducted a subsequent investigation in which it reviewed a Damage Incident Reporting Tool (DIRT) report submitted by Puget Sound Energy (PSE) and identified an additional natural gas damage event involving Watterson, where buried utilities were not located prior to excavation. Accordingly, the Commission hereby notifies you that it is assessing a penalty against you in the amount of \$10,000 on the following grounds:

(Violation)

On May 28, 2015, a Watterson crew was removing roots and prepping for a new driveway when they broke a 5/8-inch polyethylene gas service line belonging to PSE at 3618 225th Pl. SE, Issaquah, Washington. Prior to beginning excavation, Watterson failed to request a dig ticket from a one-number locator service to have the underground utilities located.

On July 2, 2015, PSE submitted a DIRT report identifying Watterson as the party responsible for damaging PSE's natural gas service line at 3618 225th Pl. SE, Issaquah, Washington, on May 28, 2015, without first obtaining a ticket number to have utilities located prior to excavation.

On July 20, 2015, the Commission mailed an Alleged Violation of Washington Dig Law letter to Watterson, informing the company of its obligation to have underground utilities located prior to excavation, as outlined in RCW 19.122.030. The letter referenced the past penalty assessment order in Docket DG-144092 and

emphasized the importance of becoming familiar with the law, and the possibility of additional penalties for each violation of the law.

Based upon the past three violations and the results of Staff's investigation, the Commission assesses the maximum penalty of \$10,000 for this latest violation. This was Watterson's fourth violation of RCW 19.122.030(1)(a) and it occurred 91 days after the penalty assessment issued in Docket DG-144092. These facts, if not contested or if proved at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, are sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violation did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty assessment through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact concerning the violation require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any contest of the penalty assessment must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that contest. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the contest.

If you admit the violation but believe there is a reason for the violation that should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of this penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request for mitigation must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice to do one of the following:

- Pay the amount due;
- Contest the occurrence of the violation; or
- Request mitigation to reduce the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and send it to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Post Office Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250, within **FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this notice.

If you do not act within 15 days, the Commission may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection. The Commission may then sue you to collect the penalty.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective May 9, 2016.

GREGORY J. KOPTA

Director, Administrative Law Division

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION PENALTY ASSESSMENT DG-160164

PLEASE NOTE: You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed.

I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

•		9		
[] 1.	Payment of penalty. I admit that the violation occurred and enclose \$10,000 in payment of the penalty.			
[] 2.		Contest the violation. I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reasons I describe below:		
			•	
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence an administrative law judge for a decis	•	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based above.	•	
[] 3.	Request mitigation. I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below:			
	[] a)	I ask for a hearing to present evidence an administrative law judge for a decis	•	
OR	[] b)	I ask for a Commission decision based above.		
	-	enalty of perjury under the laws of the Station I have presented on any attachment	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Dated: _		[Month/Day/Year], at	[City, State]	
	£D.co.	lent (company) – please print	Signature of Applicant	
inaitie 0	i Kesdono	ichi (Company) — Diease Drini	Signature of Applicallt	

RCW 9A.72.020:

"Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor's mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony."