**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

NOTICE OF PENALTIES INCURRED AND DUE

FOR VIOLATIONS OF LAWS AND RULES

PENALTY ASSESSMENT: DG-151840

PENALTY AMOUNT: $2,000

Cajun Excavating, Inc.

602 Maple Ave., Suite 201

Snohomish, WA 98290

The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) believes that you have violated Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 19.122.030(1)(a) by failing to request a dig ticket prior to performing an excavation. RCW 19.122.055(1)(a) states, in part, that any excavator who fails to notify a one-number locator service and causes damage to a hazardous liquid or gas facility is subject to a civil penalty of not more than ten thousand dollars for each violation.

Commission staff (Staff) conducted an investigation in which it reviewed damage reports submitted by Puget Sound Energy (PSE) and identified two natural gas damage events involving Cajun Excavating, Inc. (Cajun Excavating), where buried utilities were not located prior to excavation. Accordingly, the Commission hereby notifies you that it is assessing a penalty against you in the amount of $2000 on the following grounds:

**(First Violation)**

On June 18, 2014, Cajun Excavating, performed an excavation at 8744 126th Ave NE, Kirkland, Washington. Prior to beginning excavation, Cajun Excavating failed to request a dig ticket from a one-number locator service to have the underground utilities located.

On July 24, 2014, PSE submitted a Damage Incident Reporting Tool (DIRT) report identifying Cajun Excavating as the party responsible for damaging the Utility’s natural gas service line at 8744 126th Ave NE, Kirkland, Washington,on June 18, 2014, without first obtaining a ticket number to have utilities located prior to excavation.

On August 28, 2014, the Commission mailed an Alleged Violation of Washington Dig Law letter to Cajun Excavating, informing the company of its obligation to have underground utilities located prior to excavation, as outlined in RCW 19.122.030. The letter emphasized the importance of becoming familiar with the law, and the possibility of penalties for each violation of the law.

**(Second Violation)**

On April 6, 2015, Cajun Excavating performed an excavation which involved digging an open trench from the property line to the northwest corner of a new construction home and broke an existing 2-inch PVC conduit as well as punctured a natural gas service pipeline at 8902 216th ST SW, Edmonds, Washington. Prior to beginning excavation, Cajun Excavating failed to request a dig ticket from a one-number locator service to have the underground utilities located.

On June 4, 2015, PSE submitted a DIRT report identifying Cajun Excavating as the party responsible for damaging the company’s natural gas service line at 8902 216th St SW, Edmonds,Washington on April 6, 2015 without first obtaining a ticket number to have utilities located prior to excavation.

On July 1, 2015, the Commission mailed an Alleged Violation of Washington Dig Law letter to Cajun Excavating, informing the company of its obligation to have underground utilities located prior to excavation, as outlined in RCW 19.122.030. The letter emphasized the importance of becoming familiar with the law, and the possibility of penalties for each violation of the law.

Based on the results of Staff’s investigation, the Commission finds that Cajun Excavating violated RCW 19.122.030(1)(a) two times, by failing to request dig tickets from a one-number locator service prior excavating, and assesses a $1,000 penalty for each violation. These facts, if not contested or if proved at a hearing and not rebutted or explained, are sufficient to support the penalty assessment.

Your penalty is due and payable now. If you believe the violations did not occur, you may deny committing the violation and contest the penalty assessment through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact concerning the violation require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any contest of the penalty assessment must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that contest. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the contest.

If you admit the violation but believe there is a reason for the violation that should excuse you from the penalty, you may ask for mitigation (reduction) of this penalty through evidence presented at a hearing or in writing. The Commission will grant a request for hearing only if material issues of law or fact require consideration of evidence and resolution in a hearing. Any request for mitigation must include a written statement of the reasons supporting that request. Failure to provide such a statement will result in denial of the request.

If you properly present your request for a hearing and the Commission grants that request, the Commission will review the evidence supporting your dispute of the violation or application for mitigation in a Brief Adjudicative Proceeding before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge will consider the evidence and will notify you of his or her decision.

**You must act within 15 days after receiving this notice** to do one of the following:

* Pay the amount due;
* Contest the occurrence of the violation; or
* Request mitigation to reduce the amount of the penalty.

Please indicate your selection on the enclosed form and send it to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Post Office Box 47250, Olympia, Washington 98504-7250, **within FIFTEEN (15) days** after you receive this notice.

**If you do not act within 15 days,** the Commission may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for collection. The Commission may then sue you to collect the penalty.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective December 7, 2015.

GREGORY J. KOPTA

Director, Administrative Law Division

# WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

PENALTY ASSESSMENT DG-151840

**PLEASE NOTE*:*** You must complete and sign this document, and send it to the Commission within 15 days after you receive the penalty assessment. Use additional paper if needed.

I have read and understand RCW 9A.72.020 (printed below), which states that making false statements under oath is a class B felony. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify to the matters set forth below and I have personal knowledge of those matters. I hereby make, under oath, the following statements.

[ ] 1. **Payment of penalty.** I admit that the violation occurred and enclose $2,000 in payment of the penalty.

[ ] 2. **Contest the violation.** I believe that the alleged violation did not occur for the reasons I describe below:

[ ] a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.

OR [ ] b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

[ ] 3. **Application for mitigation.** I admit the violation, but I believe that the penalty should be reduced for the reasons set out below:

[ ] a) I ask for a hearing to present evidence on the information I provide above to an administrative law judge for a decision.

OR [ ] b) I ask for a Commission decision based solely on the information I provide above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing, including information I have presented on any attachments, is true and correct.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [Month/Day/Year], at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [City, State]

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Respondent (company) – please print Signature of Applicant

RCW 9A.72.020:

“Perjury in the first degree. (1) A person is guilty of perjury in the first degree if in any official proceeding he makes a materially false statement which he knows to be false under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) Knowledge of the materiality of the statement is not an element of this crime, and the actor’s mistaken belief that his statement was not material is not a defense to a prosecution under this section. (3) Perjury in the first degree is a class B felony.”