Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW Olympia, Washington 98512

Richard A. Finnigan (360) 956-7001 rickfinn@localaccess.com Candace Shofstall
Legal Assistant
(360) 753-7012
candaces@localaccess.com

June 30, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Steven V. King, Executive Director and Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Re:

Rainier View Water Company, Inc. - Fourteenth Revised Sheet No. 21 Canceling Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 21; Eighth Revised Sheet No. 22 Canceling Seventh Revised Sheet No. 22

Dear Mr. King:

The purpose of this tariff filing is to address a revenue shortfall for the Company and modify the Company's rate design.

Due to employment related costs (salaries and benefits) and Department of Health permitting fees and water quality testing requirements, the Company is making this filing to generate additional revenue and to address the Company's rate structure.

This filing is not a general rate proceeding as defined by WAC 480-07-505 because it generates less than three percent of the Company's revenue. The filing would generate a little less than three percent of the company's total revenue received in 2013. That aside, most of the information required by WAC 480-07-530(4) is enclosed. The workpapers contain a calculation of the revenue impact of the proposed rates, include a balance sheet and statement of revenues and expenses, contain restating and proforma adjustments, and contain usage statistics. In addition, a depreciation schedule is attached. A copy of the customer notice, which is being mailed today, is also attached.

The company's public water system identification number is on file with the Commission. There are no non-regulated operations. There are no affiliated interest transactions.

Steven V. King June 30, 2014 Page 2 of 2

Besides generating a modest amount of additional revenue, the primary purpose of the filing it to address the Company's rate structure. The Company is proposing that the entire amount of revenue change be recovered through the third usage block. The Company recognized that by doing this, it is unlikely that it will actually recover the entire amount. However, in the last rate case the Company's rate structure was modified to substantially reduce the rate in the third rate block. As a result, the Company has seen an increase in overall consumption by customers, contrary to the goals of conservation. Therefore, the Company would like to increase the rate in the third block towards the goal of producing additional conservation on the part of the customers.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN

RAF/cs

ce: Client (via e-mail)