US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Parts 195.450 and 195.452

General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
 - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
 - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected:

Chevron Pipe Line Company

Op ID:

2731

Perform Activity	Activity	Activity Description
(denoted by mark)	Number	
	1A	In-Line Inspection
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing
	1C	Other Assessment Technologies
	2A	Remedial Actions
	2B	Remediation – Implementation
	3A	Installed Leak Detection System Information
	3B	Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device
X	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs
	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection
		System
X	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics

Hazardous Liquid IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: Chevron Pipe Line C	Company		
Headquarters Address: 4800 Fournace Place Bellaire, TX 77401-2324			:
Company Official: Rebecca B. Robert, Pr	esident		
Phone Number: (713) 432-3535			
Fax Number: (713) 432-3737			
Operator ID: 2731			
Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Gary Saenz	Team Leader, DOT Pipeline Safety & Compliance Team	(713) 432-3332	garysaenz@chevron.com
		- L	
OPS/State Representative(s): Kuang Chu	& Dave Cullem/UTC De	tos of Inspections May 1	0.12.2010
Of 5/State Representative(s). Ruang City	& Dave Cunomy OTC Da	tes of Inspection: May 1	0-13, 2010
Inspector Signature:			
Pipeline Segment Descriptions: [note: Degrade, seam type, coating type, length, pres			
This pipeline segment consists of 18 break	out tanks at the Pasco Terminal a	and 1 breakout tank at the	Spokane Terminal.
Site Location of field activities: [note: De milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readir items in any PHMSA compliance action or appropriate.]	ngs/river crossings/etc. In additio	n, a brief description and	d case number of the follow up

The site locations are at the Chevron Pasco Terminal at Pasco, and Spokane Terminal at Spokane, Washington.

	S	u	m	m	a	r	v	:
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

There w	vere no	activities	related	to	IMP	during	the	inspection.
---------	---------	------------	---------	----	------------	--------	-----	-------------

Findings:

None

Key Documents Reviewed:

Document Title	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
Tank monthly & annual inspection reports			2008 & 2009
API 653 out-of-service inspection reports for T-3, 19 & 4502			2008 & 2009
API 653 in-service external inspection reports for T-4, 6, 8, 9 & 13			2008 & 2009
Inspection reports for overpressure safety devices			2008 & 2009
			-
	1.		

Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

1A In Line Inspection (Protocol 2 04 & 2 05)	Catiofontami	Unsatisfactory	NIC	Notes There was a Cald addition
1A. In-Line Inspection (Protocol 3.04 & 3.05) Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no field activities related to IMP during this inspection.
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for			x	related to livir during this hispection.
performance of ILI were followed.			^	
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were fol	lowed (e a	operation of t	ran	
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control			щр	
	oz 21011), us	арргорише.		
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru	ın were peri	formed to ensi	ıre	
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p				
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require			`a	
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits	s, adequate t	ransducer		
coverage), as appropriate.				
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, I). Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a				
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applica	ble procedu	res		
Other:				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
				:
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing (Protocol 3.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no field activities
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with			x	related to IMP during this inspection.
Part 195 Subpart E requirements.				
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test par			ify	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance	with Part 19	5 Subpart E		
requirements.				
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	ntahility and	t validity		
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce	plaumity am	i validity.		
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ar	propriate.		
The state of the s	maros, as ap	propriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equip	ment used, a	is appropriate		
Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
·				
				:
1C. Other Assessment Technologies (Protocol 3.07)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no field activities
Verify that application of "Other Assessment				related to IMP during this inspection.
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,			X	
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to			^	
OPS, and that appropriate data was collected.	<u> </u>			
Review documentation of notification to OPS of Operat			f	
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify complian				
procedural requirements. If documentation of notification			_	
application of "Other Assessment Technology" is availa assessment within parameters originally submitted to O		performance of)I	
assessment within parameters originally submitted to O	PS.			
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap	nronriate d	ata is heina	-	
collected, as appropriate.	propriate da	ata is being		
conceted, as appropriate.				
Other.				

Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

,				
2A. Remedial Actions – Process (Protocol 4.1)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no field activities
Verify that remedial actions complied with the	·			
Operator's procedural requirements.				
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 195	Acquisition l	Forms). Verif	ý	
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line loca approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava-	ation, identi	fying		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining street				
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applica	ble procedu	res.		•
Other:				
2B. Remediation - Implementation (Protocol 4.02)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no field activities
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented its remediation process and procedures to effectively remediate conditions identified through integrity assessments or information analysis.			х	related to IMP during this inspection.
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were cethe operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f §195.452(h).			ith	
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a (§195.452(h)(4)(i) where operating pressure was reduce shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition the pressure was determined in accordance with the formul ASME/ANSI B31.4 or, if not applicable, the operator shasis justifying the amount of pressure reduction.	ed or the pip at temporary a in Section	eline was operating 451.7 of		•
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with O&M Manual, as appropriate.				
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S "Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Prappropriate.				
Other:				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to soil at dig site (if available): On Potential:mV Off Potential:mV
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]

Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. Installed Leak Detection System Information	I			Notes: The leak detection systems were
(Protocol 6.05)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	installed during the modifications of the
Identify installed leak detection systems on pipelines and facilities that can affect an HCA.	Х			tanks to double bottom a few years ago before this inspection.
Document leak detection system components installed o capabilities, as appropriate.	n system to	enhance		•
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed leak connection of installed components to leak detection mo appropriate,			erify	
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (Protocol 6.06)	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: This item is not applicable to this breakout tank terminal.
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions implemented by Operator.			х	
Document Emergency Flow Restrictive Device (EFRD) system.	component	t(s) installed o	n	
Note that EFRD per §195.450 means a check valve or refollows: (1) Check valve means a valve that permits fluid to and contains a mechanism to automatically prevent flow (2) Remote control valve or RCV means any valve location remote from where the valve is installed. The R the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) s the pipeline control center and the RCV may be by fiber telephone lines, or satellite.	flow freely in the other that is operated. CV is usually stem. The	in one direction. ated from a lly operated b linkage betwe	y	
Document the frequency of monitoring of installed EFR installed components to monitoring/operating system, as	of			
Verify operation of remote control valve by having oper to partially open or close the valve, as appropriate.				
Comment on the perceived effectiveness of the EFRD in consequences of a release on the HCA that it is designed	[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]			
Other:	1			

Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: Both Pasco and Spokane terminals
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.	Х			have been considered as HCA from the
Utilize NPMS, as appropriate.				beginning of the IMP.
Verify population derived HCAs in the field are as they	ps			
and NPMS, as appropriate. Document newly constructed				
population and/or commercial areas that could be affect	ed by a pipe	eline release, a	as	
appropriate.	450			
Note that population derived HCAs are defined in §195. Verify drinking water and ecological HCAs in the field		annear on		
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document			no	
water sources and/or ecological resources areas (within				
affected by a pipeline release, as appropriate.		,		
Note that unusually sensitive areas (USAs) are defined i	n §195.6			
Verify commercially navigable waterway HCAs in the f				
Operator's maps and NPMS, as appropriate. Document			l in	
nature) that could affect the waterways status as a comm	nercially na	vigable		
waterway, as appropriate.	dafinad in S	105 450		[Note: Add location specific information,
Note that commercially navigable waterway HCAs are	ieimed in 9	193.430		as appropriate.]
	1.1			
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: There were no anomaly digs
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.			X	during this inspection.
Document the anomaly dig sites reviewed as part of this	field activi	ty and actions	s	That and delication amonific information
taken by the operator.		•		[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes: All the breakout tanks are double
Cathodic Protection System				bottom. Cathodic protection is not
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic			v	required.
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general			X	
The operator should review the CP system performance	in conjunct	ion with a	L	
hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessme				
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator				
performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur		•		
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual		nsure minimu	m	
code requirements are being met, if available.				
				Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
		soil at dig site (if available): On Potential: mV		
Review results of random field CP readings performed	ure	On Potential:mV Off Potential:mV		
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible. checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are oper		On Fotential.		
checks during this activity and ensure rectiners are oper	ating correc	ily, ii possioi	С.	[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of				
pipeline and associated facilities for a general estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP	X			
implementation.				
Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens				
requirements are being met, as appropriate.				
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the in	tegrity and	safe operation	of	
their system, as appropriate.				
Other				

Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline System and Line Pipe Information					
Operator (OpID and System Name):					
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)					
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:				
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Seam Orientation:				
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type:				
Grade of Pipe:	MOP:				
ILI Reported In	nformation				
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):					
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss):					
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an HCA? (Yes / N	0)				
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY): Date of	Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):				
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/YY):					
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day; 180-day):					
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext): Orientatio	n:				
Anomaly Details: Length (in): Width (in)					
	rom Upstream weld (ft):				
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is identified (ft):					
Anomaly Dig Site Info	rmation Summary				
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):					
Location Information:					
Mile Post Number: Distance f	rom A/G Reference (ft):				
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:				
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext): Orientatio	n:				
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is found (ft):					
For Mechanical Da	mage Anomaly				
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, plain dent, goug	ge):				
Length (in): Width (in):	Depth (in):				
Near a weld? (Yes / No):					
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Yes / No):					
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence	e of cracks in dent? (Yes / No):				
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):					
For Corrosion Meta	l Loss Anomaly				
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):					
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):				
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in): Max	imum % Wall Loss measurement(%):				
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate:					
For "Other Types"	of Anomalies				
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss, crack, seam					
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):				
Other Information, as appropriate:	* ` '				
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence	of cracks? (Yes / No):				
Cracks present? (Yes / No):					

Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Repair	Information	
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):		
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair?	(Yes / No):	
If grinding used, complete the following for affected	d area:	
Length (in): Width		Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG is	applicable, were the Opera	ator's RSTRENG calculations
reviewed? (Yes / No):		
If Repair made, complete the following:		
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)		
Length of Repair:		
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g.,		
Pipe re-coating material used following excavation:		
General Observ	ations and Comments	l
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly		(Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken	n? (Yes/No):	
If readings taken, Record: On Potential:	mV; Off Potent	ial:mV
Describe method used to Operator to locate anomal	y (as appropriate):	
Comments regarding procedures followed during ex	ccavation, repair of anomal	y, and backfill (as appropriate):
:		
General Observations and Comments (Note: attach	photographs, sketches, etc.	, as appropriate):
·		