BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

		Docket No	굒					
The Burlington Northern and)	PETITION	1 8 S					
Santa Fe Railway Company)		So 5 83					
Petitioner,)	Road Name Swan Road	是一次					
Vs)		9 mm 6					
Yakima County, Washington)		多号号 豆丁					
75)	WUTC Crossing No. <u>1U55.50</u>	STATE OF					
Respondent)	DOT 6	QEO O					
)	DOT Crossing No. <u>098583L</u>	RECORDS MANY 8: 09					
Application is hereby made to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission for an order (check one or more of the following)								
_	٥							
[directing the	reconstruction-reloc	of a grade crossing;						
(construction -	reconstruction-reloc	cation)						
[directing installation of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device (other than crossbucks) at a new crossing.								
[⊠] directing <u>upgrade</u> (replacement-change-uj	of warning de	evices at an existing crossing;						
[] allogating funds from the Hearth		10.0						
[] anocaung lunds from the grade cr	ossing protective fun	d" for of active warning device of active warning						
authorizing the construction of the project, funding to be pursuant to the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) in cooperation with the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division;								
at the railroad grade crossing identified above by (check one of the following)	above and described	in this petition. This application seeks th	e relief specified					
[] hearing and order		[⊠] order without hearing						
☐ I Has application for funding, pursuant to Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act YES NO been made to the Local Programs Division for this project.								
If the answer is yes to the YES NO Act been denied?								
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided in and with this petition is true and correct. Petitioner Petitioner								
	John M. Cowles, Print Name	Manager Public Projects Title						
	2454 Occidental Ave Street Address	enue South, Ste. 1-A	<u> </u>					
;	Seattle, WA 98134 City - State - Zip Coo	de						

INTERROGATORIES Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection:

	Existing or proposed highwaySwan Road	HWY mile post <u>0.025</u>						
	Existing or proposed railway The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Co. RR mile post 55.54							
	Located in the <u>SE 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Sec. 27 Twp. 10</u> N Range <u>22 E W.M.</u>							
	WUTC crossing number 1U55.50	DOT crossing number <u>098583L</u>						
	Street Swan Road City Sunnyside	County Yakima						
	[2]							
Ch	haracter of crossing (indicate with ${f X}$ or numbers where app	dicable):						
(a)	Common Carrier (Logging or Industrial ()							
(b)) Main Line (☐) Branch Line (☒) Siding or Spur (☐)							
(c)	(c) Total number of tracks at crossing 1 (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing).							
(d)	Operating maximum train speed: Legal maxi	mum train speed:						
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MPH MPH						
e)	Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours:							
	Passenger Trains <u>0</u> Freight Trains <u>2</u> (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements).							
	[3]							
Cha	naracter of Roadway:							
a)	State Highway-Classification							
<u>b)</u>	b) County Highway-Classification 08 (minor Collector)							
c)	City Street-Classification							
d)	Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: 2 N	fumber of additional traffic lanes proposed: 0						
e)	Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobile 50 MPH Tr	ucks <u>50</u> MPH						
f) I	Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total Projected traffic in 20 years: total 2629	including 94 trucks and 16 school bus trips. including 131 trucks and 16 school bus trips.						

	[7]
(a)	If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.
	N/A
(b)	If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing? N/A
	[5]
(a)	State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.
	No
(b)	Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so: Please describe.
	No
	[6]
(a)	Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway land highway? If not, state why?
	No. It is not economically feasible, and traffic volumes do not warrant a grade separation.
(b)	Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or overpass, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?
	No
(c)	If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.
	No

[7]

(a)	 State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein. 1.01 mi. East – Public Grade Crossing – 1st St. (DOT 098584T) 1.57 mi West - Private at-grade crossing (DOT 098582E) 								
(b)	If there is an existing crossing near the vicinity or if more than one crossing is proposed is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than one crossing? No.								
(c)) If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes. N/A								
(d)	d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings. No								
(e)	(e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings? No								
				[8]					
State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows: (Not required per WUTC, Ahmer Nizam) Approaching crossing from (direction) an unobstructed view to the									
righ	t when on highway	300 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
right	t when on highway	200 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
right	when on highway	100 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
right	when on highway	50 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
rigni 1. G	when on highway	25 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
1011 10ft	when on highway	300 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
lell left	when on highway	200 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
left	when on highway	50 feet from cross: 25 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
1010	whom on highway	25 1001 11011 (1088)	uig oi		feet				
Approaching crossing from (opposite direction) an unobstructed view to									
right	when on highway	300 feet from cross	ing of		feet				
right	when on highway	200 feet from crossi	ing of		feet				
right	when on highway	100 feet from crossi	ing of		feet				
right	when on highway	50 feet from crossi	ing of	<u> </u>	feet				
right	when on highway	25 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
left	when on highway	300 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
left	when on highway	200 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
left	when on highway	100 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
left	when on highway	50 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
left	when on highway	25 feet from crossi	ng of		feet				
				•					

[9]

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersections.

See exhibit "C" attached

[10]

- (a) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from centerline of railway at point of crossing? Yes
- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain. N/A
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

 Yes

[11]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

No

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement, or changing of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device, other than crossbucks.

[12]

(a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than crossbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local government agency.)

Two automatic flashing light traffic control devices, shoulder mount type with gates and train activation devices.

- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company\$ 127,648.00 (one hundred twenty-seven thousand six hundred forty-eight dollars)
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices. Railroad advance warning signs (W10-1), crossbucks (R15-1) and pavement markings.
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?
 - () Yes () No (N/A) Railroad is Petitioner

[13]

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or devices as proposed?

Upgrade and installation of warning devices will improve the safety of the motoring public.

RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING Docket No. ____ I have investigated the conditions existing at and in the vicinity of the proposed crossing changes. As a result, [check one or more of the following, as appropriate:] I am satisfied that conditions are as represented in the petition and the interrogatories and that the petition should be granted. 図 The cost of installation (estimated at \$ 127,648.00) is acceptable. Subject to approval and apportionment pursuant to the Intermodal Surface Transportation Act by the Washington State Department of Transportation Local Programs Division. as apportioned between the parties to be paid by petitioner. Other conditions to waiver of hearing: As per the agreement between the parties, hereto The undersigned hereby waives hearing and further notice. The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission may enter a final order without further notice of hearing. Dated at Washington, on this 16th day of VLY, 2003. Respondent Jene & Palación By_____ Print Name: JESSE S. PALACIOS Title: YAKIMA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, CHAIR

INSTRUCTIONS

General

Petition forms with the interrogatories fully and correctly answered should be filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Chandler Plaza, 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, Washington, 98504. Blank forms may be obtained from the same address. All pleadings herein shall conform with WAC 480-09-420 and 425 of the Commission's Rules and Procedure.

Number of Copies

File the original and one copy if the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent" is filled out. If petitioner intends that the Commission serve the respondent, the original and two copies should be filed. If the petitioner serves the respondent, a certificate of service in conformity with the requirements of WAC 480-09-120 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure must be filed.

Parties Who May Petition or Respond

In general, the following persons may file or respond to a petition: highway authorities, (city, county, or state), railroad companies, and state agencies with lawful authority to construct and maintain public highways (RCW 81.53.030 and 060). In situations where there may be more than one party of interest as either a petitioner or a respondent, all parties should be joined.

Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

The proceeding can usual be expedited by submitting the applications to the respondent and securing the execution of the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent". As an alternative, respondent may file a separate "Answer." If the pleadings show that the respondent has no objection, an order may be entered without hearing at the discretion of the Commission, unless the public interest appears to require hearing and unless hearing is required under the terms of RCW 81.53.030 or 060. In all other cases, the petition shall be set for hearing.

Crossing Construction

Application for crossing state highways should be submitted in duplicate to the District Highway Engineer in the locality for his recommendation to be attached and forwarded to the State Department of Transportation Secretary, Olympia.

A party, after having been granted authority by the Commission to construct a crossing, must acquire right of way or easement because the order of the Commission merely relates to public safety and grants only toe right to cross, subject to acquiring a right of way or easement.

Time for Replying to a Petition

A petition not answered within 20 days of the date of service, shall be deemed denied and may be set for hearing. If a qualified or conditional answer is filed by the respondent, the petitioner may file a "Replay" within 10 days of the date the "Answer" is served.

(PLEASE REMOVE THIS SHEET BEFORE FILING PETITION)