RECEIVED
RECORDS HAMAGEHI
OF WASH.
STATE OF WASH.
COMMISSION

Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan

2405 Evergreen Park Drive SW Suite B-1 Olympia, Washington 98502 (360) 956-7001

Fax (360) 753-6862

Kathy McCrary

Paralegal

June 9, 2003

Ms. Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Tel West Communications, LLC - Petition for Waiver of WAC 480-

120-081, WAC 480-120-083, WAC 480-122-020 and RCW

80.36.410 through RCW 80.36.475

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed you will find the original and nineteen copies of the above-referenced Petition.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN

Richard a. Finnigan / Km

RAF/km Enclosures

cc:

Jeff Swickard

Don Taylor

STATE OF BASIS.
UTIL. AND TRANSP

Before the

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Petition of Tel West Communications, LLC for Waiver of WAC 480-120-081, WAC 480-120-083, WAC 480-122-020; and RCW 80.36.410 - 80.36.475))))))))))))
Richard A. Finnigan The Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2405 Evergreen Park Dr., SW, Suite B-1 Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 956-7001	PETITION FOR WAIVER OF WAC 480 120-081, WAC 480-120-083, WAC 480-122-020 and RCW 80.36.410 THROUGH RCW 80.36.475
Counsel for Tel West Communications, LLC	TEL WEST COMMUNICATIONS, LLC
June 9, 2003	

PETITION FOR WAIVER UNDER WAC 480-120-015

Tel West Communications, LLC ("Tel West") hereby petitions the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("Commission") for waiver of WAC 480-120-081, applicable to the discontinuance of service of telecommunication customers; and/or WAC 480-120-083, applicable to the cessation of telecommunication services to some or all customers; and/or WAC 480-122-020, applicable to the Commission's rules obligating the Company to provide services under the Washington Telephone Assistance Program ("WTAP"); and/or RCW 80.36.410 through 80.36.475, applicable to establishment and administration of WTAP.

INTRODUCTION

On June 3, 2003, Tel West became aware that the Washington Department of Social and Health Services ("DSHS") had adopted an emergency rule-making order significantly changing the terms, conditions and rates under which it will reimburse telecommunication companies which are providing services under WTAP. See, WAC 388-273-0035. Specifically, the emergency rule reduces the amount that DSHS will reimburse a telecommunication provider to the same amount paid to an incumbent local carrier, less customer and federal contributions.

Because of the significant financial impact these changes will have upon Tel West, the Company brings this matter to the Commission under the general provisions of the Commission and the provisions of WAC 480-120-015 and WAC 480-121-063,³ Exemption From Rules, and

¹ On July 1, 2003, WAC 480-120-081 is replaced by WAC 480-120-172. This petition is addressed to both the current and the soon to be applicable rule.

² It is not clear that a waiver of these statutes is needed if the rule waivers are granted, but the statutory provisions are included as a precaution.

³ WAC 480-120-015 technically only applies to Chapter 480-120 WAC. Either the Commission's general authority or the ability to grant competitive providers additional waivers can be read to apply to WAC 480-122-020.

requests waiver of the Commission's rules requiring provision of service under WTAP. Tel West also requests waiver of the Commission's rules relating to discontinuance of service to customers. The basis for the request is set forth below.

BACKGROUND

Tel West is a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") that provides telecommunication services in the State of Washington through a combination of 1) resale of the retail products of incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECS") Qwest, Sprint and Verizon, and 2) leased unbundled network elements ("UNEs") from Qwest and Verizon. Tel West currently provides service to approximately 8,700 Washington customers, of which approximately 6,200 are WTAP customers.

Tel West's provision of service under WTAP began in April 2002 after notification from the Commission's staff that Tel West is required to do provide service to WTAP customers under the Commission's rules and Washington law, as referenced above. The staff further informed Tel West that it was required to make the availability of WTAP known to its customers (and potential customers) through bill messages and/or inserts, telephone queue announcements, and commercial advertising. In compliance with the Commission's rules, Tel West began a commercial advertising program, inserted messages onto its billing statements, and otherwise made customers and callers aware of WTAP.

As a result of Tel West's efforts in this regard, the number of WTAP customers grew rapidly. This rapid growth caused administrative burdens upon both Tel West and DSHS, which

repeatedly claimed it was being overwhelmed with Tel West's WTAP claims. Over the next several months, Tel West attempted to find ways to process its claims in a faster and more efficient fashion, but with little success, due to lack of cooperation from DSHS. Tel West's compensation claims were often held up by DSHS due to DSHS's inability to process them accurately and in a timely manner. Further, payments to Tel West have been consistently late and below expected amounts. During this period of time, DSHS also informed Tel West that the level of compensation being provided to Tel West and other similar service providers was rapidly draining the program's funding, but also acknowledged that the compensation level being provided was in accordance with the program's rules.

ARGUMENT

The new compensation schedule established by the DSHS in its emergency rule, WAC 388-273-0035, reduces the maximum monthly compensation level from \$50.00 per customer, per month, to an amount equal to the monthly flat rate of the ILEC in the customer's serving area. The new plan also reduces the maximum connection charge compensation level to one-half of Tel West's connection fee or \$22.00, whichever is lower. Because Tel West must pay the ILEC's retail monthly flat rate (minus a small discount), or lease UNEs (which in some areas exceed the ILEC's monthly flat rate), in addition to paying its own administrative costs, it will cost Tel West more to provide service than Tel West will collect in compensation from the customer and the state and federal programs combined.

Following is a comparison of the previous and revised compensation levels for Tel West:

Richard A. Finnigan 2405 Evergreen Park Dr. SW Suite B-1

Law Office of

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

Previous Compensation Effective Through May 31, 2003		Revised Compensation Effective June 1, 2003	
Item	Amount	Item	Amount
Tel West Local Service Rate	\$49.99	Tel West Local Service Rate	\$49.99
Federal Subscriber Line Charge	\$6.00	Federal Subscriber Line Charge	\$6.00
Total Due Tel West	\$54.99	Total Due Tel West	\$54.99
Federal Programs Compensation	\$0.00	Federal Programs Compensation	\$9.50
WTAP Compensation	\$50.00	WTAP Compensation *	\$5.00
Customer Payment	\$4.00	Customer Payment	\$4.00
Total Payments to Tel West	\$54.00	Total Payments to Tel West	\$18.50

^{*} Under its new plan, DSHS calculates its "State Matching Credit" as \$3.50, plus a "State Remainder Credit" of \$1.50, for a total of \$5.00.

Under this revised compensation plan, Tel West's compensation is reduced by a total of about \$35.50 per customer (\$54.00 minus \$18.50), depending upon the ILEC rate involved. Based upon its current 6,200 WTAP customers, Tel West estimates that it will lose approximately \$220,000 per month, or \$2.6 million per year due to the change in compensation levels.

The emergency rule order implemented by DSHS on June 1, 2003, thus clearly imposes upon Tel West "undue hardship, of a degree or a kind different from the hardships imposed on similarly situated persons" (the ILECs) as required by WAC 480-120-015 for exemption from the Commission's rules. To the extent WAC 480-120-015 does not apply to an exemption from WAC 480-122-020, Tel West bases its request on the general powers of the Commission and WAC 480-121-063. Tel West, therefore, requests exemption from WAC 480-122-020 and RCW 80.36.410 through RCW 80.36.475 because of the hardship that continued provision of WTAP

service will impose upon Tel West. Additionally, Tel West requests exemption from WAC 480-120-081, Discontinuance of Service, and WAC 480-120-083, Cessation of Service, for WTAP customers to whom Tel West is currently providing service.

Unless the WUTC grants these exemptions, Tel West will suffer severe financial hardship as described above, and absent the relief requested, may be forced to withdraw provision of service entirely in the state of Washington.

CONCLUSION

Tel West's ability to provide services to WTAP customers depends on the reimbursements available from DSHS, federal government programs, and customers. Tel West had no opportunity to comment upon the impacts of WAC 388-273-0035 prior to its adoption. Tel West has, however, provided service to 6,200 WTAP customers, as required by the Commission's rules. Unless the emergency order is suspended or otherwise held in abeyance, Tel West will lose in excess of \$200,000 this month and each month that it is required to provide services for which it does not receive full compensation.

Because Tel West cannot continue to provide services at a loss, it respectfully requests waiver of the Commission's rules as identified herein.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of June, 2003.

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, WSBA #6443

Attorney for Tel West Communications, LLC

PETITION FOR WAIVER