

INLAND CELLULAR TELEPHONE COMPANY
Corporate Offices

103 S. 2nd St.
P.O. Box 688
Roslyn, WA 98941
Telephone: (509) 649-2500
Fax: (509) 649-3300

RECEIVED
REGISTRATION DIVISION

02 JUL 10 AM 8:53



July 8, 2002

Carole Washburn
Executive Secretary
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed please find one original and nineteen copies of the Petition of Inland Cellular for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carriers ("Petition"). Inland Cellular Telephone Company ("ICTC") is the sole general partner of both Eastern Sub-RSA Limited Partnership and Washington RSA No. 8 Limited Partnership (both partnerships d/b/a Inland Cellular). ICTC is submitting the Petition on behalf of the partnerships.

ICTC respectfully requests that the Petition be granted prior to July 31, 2002 so that the partnerships may report the appropriate line count to Universal Service Administrative Corporation by July 31, 2002. This will enable the partnerships to begin receiving high-cost support at the earliest possible date.

Thank you for your consideration of this Petition.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "John P. Coonan".

John P. Coonan
Treasurer

**Before the
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission**

RECEIVED
02 JUL 10 PM 8:53
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

In the Matter of the Petition of)	
)	Docket No. UT _____
Inland Cellular)	
)	PETITION OF INLAND CELLULAR
For Designation as Eligible)	FOR DESIGNATION AS ELIGIBLE
Telecommunications Carriers)	TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS
Under 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2))	

Inland Cellular Telephone Company ("ICTC"), on behalf of both Washington RSA No. 8 Limited Partnership d/b/a Inland Cellular and Eastern Sub-RSA Limited Partnership d/b/a Inland Cellular (each hereinafter referred to as "Partnership" or collectively as "the Partnerships"), submits this Petition for Designation of each Partnership as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") pursuant to Section 214(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2), and Section 54.201 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.201. ICTC requests that each Partnership be designated as eligible to receive all available support from the federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") including, but not limited to, support for rural, insular and high-cost areas and low-income customers. In support of this Petition, the following is respectfully shown:

I. Name and Address of Petitioner

1. The name and address of Petitioner are Inland Cellular Telephone Company, 103 South Second Street, P.O. Box 688, Roslyn, Washington 98941.

000004

II. Applicable Statutes and Rules

2. The statutes and rules implicated by the instant Petition are as follows: 47 U.S.C. §§ 153(27), 153(44), 214(e), 253(b) and 254(d); 47 C.F.R. §§ 51.5, 54.5, 54.101, 54.201, 54.207, 54.307, 54.313, and 54.314.

III. Authorization and Service Area

3. Each Partnership is a telecommunications carrier as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 153(44) and 47 C.F.R. § 51.5, and for the purposes of Part 54 of the FCC's rules.¹ RCC is therefore considered a common carrier under the Act.

4. The Partnerships are authorized by the FCC as the "B Band" cellular carriers in the Washington 5 (B-2) Rural Service Area ("RSA"), and Washington 8 RSA.² A map of each Partnership's proposed service area is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Partnerships are commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers pursuant to the definition of "mobile service" provided in 47 U.S.C. § 153(27). The Partnerships provide interstate telecommunications services as defined in 47 U.S.C. § 254(d) and 47 C.F.R. § 54.5.

5. A telecommunications carrier may be designated as an ETC and receive universal service support throughout its designated service area if it agrees to: (i) offer services that are supported by federal universal service support mechanisms, and (ii) advertise the availability of such services.³ In its *First Report and Order* implementing Sections 214(e) and 254, the FCC set

¹47 U.S.C. § 54.1 *et seq.*

² The operating entity for Washington 5 (B-2) RSA is Eastern Sub-RSA Limited Partnership, while the operating entity for Washington 8 RSA is Washington RSA No. 8 Limited Partnership. ICTC is the sole managing and general partner of both Partnerships.

³ See 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1).

forth the services a carrier must provide to be designated as an ETC in order to receive federal universal service support.⁴

6. Section 214(e)(2) of the Act provides that ETC designations shall be made for a "service area" designated by the state commission. In areas served by a non-rural company, the state commission may establish an ETC service area for a competitor without federal concurrence.⁵ Accordingly, the Partnerships request designation for its service area in the non-rural wire centers listed in Exhibit B, attached hereto. Where either Partnership serves only a portion of a wire center listed, it requests that it be designated as an ETC in that portion of the wire center where it is authorized to serve.⁶

7. In areas served by a rural telephone company, "service area" means the local exchange carrier ("LEC") study area unless and until the FCC and the states, taking into account recommendations of a Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, establish a different definition of service area for such company.⁷ On August 17, 1998, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("WUTC", "Commission") and 20 rural LECs filed a petition requesting the FCC's agreement with the WUTC's designation of the individual exchanges of 15 rural LECs as their "service areas" for universal service purposes. The petition also requested a waiver of Section 54.307 of the FCC's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.307, to permit the disaggregation of

⁴ *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 8809-25 (1997) ("First Report and Order")

⁵ See 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(5).

⁶ Those wire centers that the Partnerships partially serve are indicated on Exhibit B with the word "partial."

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.207(b).

high-cost support to Washington's rural carriers. In a *Memorandum Opinion and Order* released September 9, 1999,⁸ the FCC agreed with the WUTC's proposed service area designation, stating that redefinition along exchange-area boundaries was "warranted in order to promote competition."⁹

8. The FCC also granted the proposed waiver of Section 54.307, permitting the disaggregation of high-cost support on an interim basis pending the development of a new mechanism for the calculation and distribution of support.¹⁰ Recently, pursuant to the *Fourteenth Report and Order* released in May 2001, several LECs in Washington submitted filings to the WUTC to select among the three enumerated paths defining the manner in which support is to be calculated and distributed on a going-forward basis.¹¹ The computation and distribution of high-cost support resulting from those filings will supersede the portions of the *Designation Order* that pertain to disaggregation.¹²

9. ICTC notes that the service area redefinition effectuated by the *Designation Order* is wholly distinct from the disaggregation issue. Indeed, the geographic composition of a

⁸ See *Petition for Agreement with Designation of Rural Company Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Service Areas and for Approval of the Use of Disaggregation of Study Areas for the Purpose of Distributing Portable Federal Universal Service Support, Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 15 FCC Rcd 9921, 9927 (1999) ("*Designation Order*").

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.315; *Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 16 FCC Rcd 11294, 11299-309 (2001).

¹² See *Designation Order*, 15 FCC Rcd at 9927.

service area for designation of a competitive ETC has no impact on the way in which LECs compute and receive high-cost support. The rural LECs covered by the Partnerships' service territories - CenturyTel of Washington, Inc., St. John Telephone Company, Pioneer Telephone Company, Inland Telephone Company, and Asotin Telephone Company - are among those who successfully petitioned for the redefinition of service areas along exchange-area boundaries. Accordingly, with respect to the rural LEC wire centers¹³ within the Partnerships' service territories, the WUTC may designate each Partnership as an ETC without the need to redefine the LEC service areas. The LEC wire centers that are covered by the Partnerships' service areas are listed in Exhibit C, attached hereto.

10. There are several rural LEC wire centers that the Partnerships do not cover entirely, solely because the Partnerships are not licensed by the FCC strictly along LEC boundaries.¹⁴ In order to accommodate CMRS carriers who have authorized service areas that do not match LEC wire centers, the FCC permits the state to designate the competitive ETC's service area along boundaries that are not identical with LEC wire center boundaries. To do otherwise would effectively exclude wireless carriers as a class from receiving universal service support and, as discussed in Section VI, *infra*, would be contrary to the pro-competition policies articulated by the FCC and the WUTC. Accordingly, for the LEC wire centers that are only

¹³ With the exception of certain non-rural areas, wire centers are generally synonymous with exchanges. See "Promoting Competition and Reforming Universal Service: A Report to the Washington State Legislature" at p. 51 n.94 (WUTC., Nov. 1998). Accordingly, the Partnerships' requests for ETC designation at the wire-center level is consistent with WUTC's use of LEC exchanges for both ETC designation and disaggregation of high-cost support.

¹⁴ These wire centers are identified in Exhibit C by the word "partial."

partially covered by the Partnerships' authorized service areas, the Partnerships request that the WUTC designate the portion of the wire center where the Partnerships are authorized to provide service.

IV. The Partnerships Offer the Supported Services to Qualify for Federal USF Support

11. Section 214(e)(1) of the Act and Section 54.201(d) of the FCC's rules provide that carriers designated as ETCs shall, throughout their service area, (1) offer the services that are supported by federal universal service support mechanisms either using their own facilities or a combination of their own facilities and resale of another carrier's services, and (2) advertise the availability of such services and the charges therefore using media of general distribution. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1); 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(d). The services which are supported by the federal USF are:

- 1) voice grade access to the public switched network;
- 2) local usage;
- 3) dual tone multi-frequency signaling or its functional equivalent;
- 4) single-party service or its functional equivalent;
- 5) access to emergency services;
- 6) access to operator services;
- 7) access to interexchange service;
- 8) access to directory assistance; and
- 9) toll limitation for qualifying low-income consumers.

47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a).

12. The Partnerships are full-service wireless carriers which now offer all of these services, as described in detail below, throughout its service areas. The Partnerships therefore satisfy the requirements of Section 214(e)(1) of the Act.

13. Voice Grade Access. Each Partnership provides voice grade access to the public switched network through interconnection arrangements with local telephone companies. Each

000009

Partnership offers its subscribers this service at bandwidth between 300 and 3,000 hertz as required by 47 C.F.R. 54.101(a)(1), thereby providing voice grade access.

14. Local Usage. Each Partnership has a variety of rate plans which provide local usage consistent with 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a)(2). To date, the FCC has not quantified a minimum amount of local usage required to be included in a universal service offering, but has initiated a separate proceeding to address this issue.¹⁵ As it relates to local usage, the *October 1998 NPRM* sought comment on a definition of the public service package that must be offered by all ETCs. Specifically, the FCC sought comment on how much, if any, local usage should be required to be provided to customers as part of a universal service offering.¹⁶ In the *First Report and Order*, the FCC deferred a determination on the amount of local usage that a carrier would be required to provide.¹⁷ Any minimum local usage requirement established by the FCC will be applicable to all designated ETCs, and the Partnerships will comply with any and all minimum local usage requirements adopted by the FCC.

15. DTMF Signaling. The Partnerships provide dual tone multi-frequency ("DTMF") signaling to facilitate the transportation of signaling throughout its network. The Partnerships currently use out-of-band digital signaling and in-band multi-frequency ("MF") signaling that is functionally equivalent to DTMF signaling.

¹⁵ See *Guam Cellular and Paging, Inc.*, CC Docket No. 96-45, DA 02-174 at para. 11 (C.C.B. rel. Jan. 25, 2002) ("*Guamcell*"); *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 13 FCC Rcd 21252 (1998) ("*October 1998 NPRM*").

¹⁶ See *October 1998 NPRM*, 13 FCC Rcd at 21277-21281.

¹⁷ See *First Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 8813.

16. Single Party Service. "Single-party service" means that only one party will be served by a subscriber loop or access line in contrast to a multi-party line.¹⁸ The Partnerships provide single party service, as that term is defined in Section 54.101 of the FCC's rules. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.101.

17. Access to Emergency Services. The Partnerships currently provide 911 access to emergency services throughout its service area.

18. Access to Operator Services. The Partnerships provide customer access to operator services. Customers can reach operator services in the traditional manner by dialing "0".

19. Access to Interexchange Services. ICTC has signed interconnection agreements with interexchange carriers on behalf of the Partnerships. These arrangements enable the Partnerships to provide their customers access to interexchange services.

20. Access to Directory Assistance. Subscribers to the Partnerships' services are able to dial "555-1212" with the appropriate area code to reach directory assistance from their mobile phones.

21. Toll Limitation. The Partnerships have toll blocking capabilities which will enable the Partnerships to provide toll blocking service for Lifeline customers once the Partnerships are designated an ETC.

22. Pursuant to Section 54.201 of the FCC's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.201, the Partnerships will advertise the availability of each of the supported services detailed above, throughout its licensed service area, by media of general distribution. The methods of advertising utilized may include television, radio, newspaper, magazine, direct mailings, public exhibits and displays, bill inserts, and telephone directory advertising.

¹⁸ *See id.* At 8810.

V. Statement of Need

23. Many residents of Washington live in rural areas where it is cost-prohibitive for a competitive wireline telecommunications company to offer service. As a result, consumers generally have only one service provider from which to choose. The Partnerships seek to offer citizens of Washington in its service area an alternative to traditional wireline service. In order for the Partnerships' networks to expand into underserved areas, federal high-cost loop funding must be provided so that needed infrastructure can be fully deployed and competitive service can be delivered.

24. By granting ETC status to each Partnership, this Commission will expedite the provision of competitive telephone service to the people living in remote areas and provide a meaningful choice for many subscribers who have access to only one service provider.

VI. Grant of ICTC's Application Would Serve the Public Interest

25. In areas served by non-rural LECs, the Commission can designate each Partnership as an ETC upon finding that the company meets the nine-point checklist and that it agrees to advertise the supported services.¹⁹ In areas served by a rural telephone company, the Commission must also determine whether granting ETC status to a competitor would serve the public interest.²⁰ In numerous cases decided by the FCC and state commissions, the answer has been in the affirmative.²¹

¹⁹ See *Cellular South Licenses, Inc.*, Docket No. 01-UA-0451 (Dec. 18, 2001) (Mississippi).

²⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2).

²¹ See, e.g., *Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Wyoming*, 16 FCC Rcd 48, 55 (2000) ("*Western Wireless*"), *aff'd*, 24 CR 1216 (Oct. 19, 2001) ("*Western Wireless Recon. Order*"); *Smith Bagley, Inc., Final Order*, Utility Case No. 3026 (Feb. 19, 2002) (New Mexico).

26. Designation of each Partnership as an ETC will promote competition and facilitate the provision of advanced communications services to the residents of rural Washington. Residents in many rural areas have long trailed urban areas in receiving competitive local exchange service and advanced telecommunications services. In many rural areas, no meaningful choice of local exchange carrier exists.

27. To date, a number of wireless carriers have been designated as ETCs in multiple states, including Washington.²² Recognizing the advantages wireless carriers can bring to the universal service program, the FCC has found that "imposing additional burdens on wireless entrants would be particularly harmful to competition in rural areas, where wireless carriers could potentially offer service at much lower costs than traditional wireline service."²³ One of the principal goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 was to "promote competition and reduce regulation in order to secure lower prices and high-quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies."²⁴ Competition in rural areas increases facilities and spurs development of advanced communications as carriers vie for a consumer's business.

²² See, e.g., *Yelm Telephone Company et al., Order Designation Eligible Telecommunications Carriers*, Docket Nos. UT-970333 et al. (Dec. 23, 1997) (Washington); *Guamcell, supra*; *Cellular South Licenses, Inc., supra*; *N.E. Colorado Cellular, Inc.*, Docket No. 00A-315T (Dec. 21, 2001) (Colorado); *Minnesota Cellular Corporation's Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier*, Docket No. P5695/M-98-1285 (Oct. 27, 1999) (Minnesota).

²³ *First Report and Order*, 12 FCC Rcd at 8881-82.

²⁴ Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law, 104-104, 100 Stat. 56 (1996).

000013

28. The WUTC has emphasized the public interest benefits that flow from competitive entry by wireless carriers in rural areas. For example, in its order granting the amended petition for ETC designation by United States Cellular ("USC"), the Commission stated:

The Commission believes it is in the public interest to grant USC's petition because rural customers will benefit from the increased availability of wireless service. These benefits include increased mobility and increased level of service.²⁵

29. The FCC recognized this fact in its initial decision designating Western Wireless as an ETC in the State of Wyoming, observing: "Designation of competitive ETCs promotes competition and benefits consumers in rural and high-cost areas by increasing customer choice, innovative services, and new technologies."²⁶ Similarly, in designating the cellular carrier Smith Bagley, Inc. as an ETC in Arizona, the state commission found competitive entry to provide additional consumer choice and a potential solution to "health and safety risks associated with geographic isolation."²⁷ By designating a wireless carrier as an ETC, the WUTC will foster competition and provide a meaningful choice of services and service providers to the residents of Washington.

30. The public interest standard under Section 214(e)(2) for designating ETCs in territories served by rural telephone companies emphasizes competition and consumer benefit,

²⁵ *United States Cellular Corporation et al., Third Supplemental Order Granting Petition for Designation as Eligible Telecommunications Carrier*, Docket No. UT-0970345, (Jan. 26, 2000) ("Third Supplemental Order").

²⁶ *Western Wireless Corporation; supra*, 16 FCC Rcd at 55.

²⁷ *Smith Bagley, Inc., Order*, Decision No. 63269, Docket No. T-02556A-99-0207, at p. 12 (Dec. 15, 2000) (Arizona).

not incumbent protection. In considering the impact that Western Wireless' ETC designation in Wyoming would have on rural telephone companies, the FCC said:

We do not believe that it is self-evident that rural telephone companies cannot survive competition from wireless providers. Specifically, we find no merit to the contention that designation of an additional ETC in areas served by rural telephone companies will necessarily create incentives to reduce investment in infrastructure, raise rates, or reduce service quality to consumers in rural areas. To the contrary, we believe that competition may provide incentives to the incumbent to implement new operating efficiencies, lower prices, and offer better service to its customers.²⁸

Further, Congress has mandated that universal service provisions be “competitively neutral” and “necessary to preserve and advance universal service.” *See* 47 U.S.C. § 253(b). The Partnerships will provide consumers with wider local calling areas, mobile communications, a variety of service offerings, high-quality service, and competitive rates. By offering customers new choices, the incumbent LECs will have an incentive to introduce new, innovative, or advanced service offerings.

31. In most rural areas, wireless telephone service is today a convenience, but it will not emerge as a potential alternative to wireline service unless high-cost loop support is made available to drive infrastructure investment. Indeed, without the high-cost program it is doubtful that many rural areas would have wireline telephone service even today. Provision of high-cost support to the Partnerships will begin to level the playing field with the incumbent LECs and make available for the first time a potential competitor for primary telephone service in remote

²⁸ *Western Wireless, supra*, 16 FCC Rcd at 57.

areas of Washington in the Washington 5 (B-2) RSA and for the second time in the Washington 8 RSA.²⁹

32. The consumer benefits of designating a competitive ETC are already becoming evident. In South Dakota, shortly after WWC License, LLC entered the market as a competitive carrier, the incumbent LEC lowered its prices and upgraded its switch. Competitive carriers in Arizona and Mississippi have earmarked high-cost support funds for additional channel capacity, new cell sites, and expedited upgrading of facilities from analog to digital.

33. With high-cost support, the Partnerships will have an opportunity to create a network that is capable of convincing customers to rely on wireless service as their primary phone.

VII. High-Cost Certification

34. Under FCC Rule Sections 54.313 and 54.314, carriers wishing to obtain high-cost support must either be certified by the appropriate state commission or, where the state commission does not exercise jurisdiction, self-certify with the FCC and the Universal Service Administrative Corporation ("USAC") their compliance with Section 254(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.313, 54.314. ICTC attaches its high-cost certification letter as Exhibit D hereto. ICTC respectfully requests that the WUTC issue a finding that each Partnership has met the high-cost certification requirement and that each Partnership is, therefore, entitled to begin receiving high-cost support as of the date it receives a grant of ETC


²⁹ See *Third Supplemental Order, supra* at para. 43 ("The fact that its competitors receive universal service support puts USC at a disadvantage in its ability to make cellular technology more widely available at competitive prices. Allowing USC to receive universal service support increases the likelihood that cellular technology will become available to more rural consumers at an affordable price.")

status in order that funding will not be delayed.³⁰

WHEREFORE, pursuant to Section 214(e)(2) of the Act, ICTC respectfully requests that the Commission, (1) enter an Order designating each Partnership as an ETC for its requested ETC service area as shown on Exhibit A hereto, and (2) certify to the FCC that each Partnership will use the support for its intended purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Inland Cellular Telephone Company


By: 

John P. Coonan
Treasurer

³⁰ See, e.g. *Guam Cellular and Paging, Inc. Petition for Waiver of FCC Rule Section 54.314*, CC Docket 96-45 (filed Feb. 6, 2002).

Exhibit A

MAP OF PROPOSED SERVICE AREA



ETC AREA APPLICATION

Eastern Sub-RSA Limited Partnership
(WA 5B2 RSA)

— WA 5B2 RSA Service Area.

Washington RSA No. 8 Limited Partnership
(WA 8 RSA)

— WA 8 RSA Service Area.



ID 1 RSA

Exhibit B

NON-RURAL LEC WIRE CENTERS

LEC: Verizon Northwest, Inc. - WA

Wire Center : Farmingdale
Garfield
Garrison
Latah
Palouse
Pullman
Oakesdale
Rosalia (partial)
Soap Lake (partial)
Tekoa
Thornton

LEC: Qwest Corp. - WA

Wire Centers: Colfax
Clarkston
Coulee Dam (partial)
Dayton
Ephrata (partial)
Moses Lake
Othello
Pasco (partial)
Pomeroy
Walla Walla
Warden
Waitsburg

Exhibit C

RURAL LEC WIRE CENTERS

LEC: CenturyTel of Washington, Inc.

Wire Centers: Almira
Creston
Coulee City (partial)
Davenport
Edwall
Eltopia (partial)
Eureka
Harrington
Lind
Odessa
Rearden (partial)
Ritzville
Royal City (partial)
Sprague
Starbuck
Washtucna
Wilbur
Wilson Creek

LEC: St. John Tel. Co.

Wire Center(s): Saint John

LEC: Pioneer Tel. Co.

Wire Center(s): Lacrosse
Endicott

LEC: Inland Tel. Co.

Wire Centers: Uniontown
Prescott

LEC: Asotin Tel. Co.

Wire Centers: Asotin
Anatone (partial)

Exhibit D

HIGH-COST CERTIFICATION LETTER

INLAND CELLULAR TELEPHONE COMPANY
Corporate Offices

103 S. 2nd St.
P.O. Box 688
Roslyn, WA 98941
Telephone: (509) 649-2500
Fax: (509) 649-3300



Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
P.O. Box 47250
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Inland Cellular
High-Cost Certification

To the Commission:

Inland Cellular Telephone Company ("ICTC") has submitted a Petition for ETC designation of two Partnerships in the State of Washington. Specifically, these Partnerships are Eastern Sub-RSA Limited Partnership and Washington RSA No. 8 Limited Partnership. As required by Sections 54.313(b) and 54.314(b) of the Federal Communications Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.313(b), 54.313(B), ICTC hereby submits the certification below in order to begin receiving high-cost support in the Partnerships' designated ETC areas.

Accordingly, as Treasurer of ICTC, I hereby certify on behalf of the Partnerships and under penalty of perjury that all high-cost support provided to the Partnerships will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, pursuant to Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 254(e). I also certify that I am authorized to make this certification on the Partnerships' behalf.

John P. Coonan
John P. Coonan
7/3/02
Date

SUBSCRIBED, SWORN TO AND ACKNOWLEDGED before me this 3rd day of July, 2002.

Luann K. Stine
NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 08/01/03



000024

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Bobbi Fields, hereby certify that I have, on this 8th day of July, 2002, placed in the United States mail, first-class postage pre-paid, a copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR INLAND CELLULAR FOR DESIGNATION AS ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS filed today to the following:


Asotin Telephone Company
TDS Telecom
Gail Long, Regulatory Contact
Post Office Box 1566
Oregon City, Oregon 97045

St. John, Co-operative Telephone and
Telegraph Company
Greg Morasch, Manager
Post Office Box 268
St. John, Washington 99171

CenturyTel of Washington, Inc.
Don Dennis, Manager State Gov. Relations
8102 Skansie Avenue
Gig Harbor, Washington 98332

Verizon Northwest, Inc.
Allan Thomas, Vice President of Public
Policy and External Affairs
1800 41st Street
Everett, Washington 98201

Inland Telephone Company
John Coonan, Treasurer/Controller
Post Office Box 171
Roslyn, Washington 98941



Bobbi Fields

Pioneer Telephone Company
Dennis Mackleit, General Manager
Post Office Box 207
Lacrosse, Washington 99143

Qwest Corporation
Theresa Jensen, Director-Regulatory
1600 - 71st Avenue
Room 3206
Seattle, Washington 98191