1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION) COMMISSION,)
5	Complainant,
6)
	vs.) No. UG-152286)
7	CASCADE NATURAL GAS) CORPORATION,)
8	Respondent.)
9	
10	PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING VOLUME III
11	Pages 72-98
12	
13	Taken at 1805 Continental Place Mount Vernon, Washington
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	DATE TAKEN: JUNE 14, 2016
25	REPORTED BY: KIM DORE-HACKBARTH, RPR, CCR 2072

1	APPEARANCES
2	PRESIDING OFFICER: ANN E. RENDAHL
3	Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
4	Post Office Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
5	(360) 664-1144 Arendahl@utc.wa.gov
6	Archanieucc.wa.gov
7	* * * *
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING, VOLUME III
2	EXAMINATION INDEX
3	COMMENTS BY PAGE
4	Dwyer Dale
5	Tom Dodgson
6	Greg Winter
7	Misty Fayette
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MOUNT VERNON, WASHINGTON; JUNE 14, 2016
2	6:00 P.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	MS. RENDAHL: Good evening. I am Ann
6	Rendahl. I am a commissioner with the Washington
7	Utilities and Transportation Commission and today is
8	June 14, 2016, and this is Docket UG 15-2286, the case
9	before the Washington Utilities and Transportation
10	Commission.
11	This is a public comment hearing for the
12	general rate case filed by Cascade Natural Gas on
13	December 1st, 2015. All parties to the case have agreed
14	to and filed the settlement agreement in this case in
15	May and this morning we had a hearing on that
16	settlement. We now have this hearing, public hearing,
17	to hear from rate payors and others in this matter. And
18	there's another hearing in Kennewick at the end of the
19	week on Friday.
20	The Commission is comprised of three
21	commissioners: Myself, and Chairman Dave Danner, and
22	Commissioner Philip Jones. They can't be here tonight,
23	but you get me, so the Commission is the agency of
24	the state that regulates the rates and services of

investor-owned utilities in Washington, and because of

the natural gas services that Cascade provides are essential services, and because they are not subject to the same kind of competition as other services that you might receive, the Commission regulates them to make sure that the rates they charge to their customers are fair, just, reasonable and sufficient. And what that means is that the rates should be no higher than necessary for the company to be able to provide services that are offered in a safe and reliable way, and that the rates are sufficient for the company to meet its expenses and obligations, and for the investors to earn a reasonable return on their investment.

So there's a handout prepared by the Office of the Attorney General, the Office of Public Counsel, that's in the back of the room that is available near where you signed in. That handout has a synopsis of what the company requested in its rate case and the key points addressing the settlement that all the parties in the case have negotiated. I won't repeat those details here.

There is a representative, Mr. ffitch, of the Offices of Public Counsel here, if you have questions about the case and settlement you wish to ask him.

There's also a representative of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 company, Mr. Parvinen, and others in the back if you want to discuss matters with the company.

There's also counsel for the Commission staff that serves as party in the case, Mr. O'Connell right here, and our public involvement staff, Andrew Roberts, over here.

So the Office of Public Counsel represents the interests of consumers in our proceedings, and also our regulatory staff represented by Mr. O'Connell operates as an independent party. In cases like this they review the company's case, and just like public counsel, they participate in the case as a party and advocate on many of the issues in the case.

Other parties to this case include the Northwest Industrial Gas Users, who represent the industrial customers of Cascade Natural Gas, as well as The Energy Project that represents low income customers.

The commissioners sit as judges in these proceedings, so we can't discuss the merits of the case with the parties, except in a hearing, in a formal hearing, like the one we are having this morning, or through briefs or other filings.

So the information about Cascade's original rate case request and the terms of the settlement are summarized in public counsel's handout. You can find

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all of the details about the case and the filings and the settlement on the Commission's web site in this docket number, and I believe the docket number, if it's not in the public counsel's handout, it is 15-2286, so you can go on the Commission's web site and find that information.

We have a short video that we have that describes the rate case process at the Commission and so we are going to show that video. The purpose of this hearing is to hear directly from customers affected by this rate request. There are several people who wish to speak, so as soon as we watch the video, I will ask you to come forward and I will administer an oath and have you state your name and if you represent a company or organization and then you will make your statement. We have a court reporter here taking down your comments. Any comments you make today, as well as any written comments you may wish to submit to the Commission, will be included in the record of the proceeding as part of the evidence in the case that the Commission will receive. Those written statements can be sent in through next Friday the 24th, and there's details on this handout from public counsel about how to submit any written comments in the proceeding.

So Mr. Roberts, why don't we start the

```
1
    video, and I will move out of the way here so you can
2
    see it.
 3
                 (Video plays from 6:12 p.m. to 6:24 p.m.)
                 MS. RENDAHL: Okay, I hope that was helpful.
 4
5
    We spent some time trying to make sure that the
6
    information was most useful.
7
                 So now is time for those of you that are
8
    interested in submitting comments on the case, and I
9
    will take you in order. So when I call your name, if
10
    you can step up to the microphone and state your name,
11
    spell it, and first I will administer an oath.
12
                 So Mr. Dwyer Dale, please come up.
13
                            That's me. I'm Dwyer Dale.
                 MR. DALE:
14
    from Burlington, Washington. I'm a gas user.
15
                MS. RENDAHL: And how do you spell your last
    name for the court reporter.
16
17
                 MR. DALE: D-A-L-E.
18
                 MS. RENDAHL: Great.
                                       Thank you.
19
                 So please go ahead and make your statement.
20
                 MR. DALE: My statement is I had some
21
    questions I would like to ask people and clarify
22
    something.
23
                MS. RENDAHL: Oh, I'm sorry.
24
                 (Commissioner swears in witness.)
25
```

1	DWYER DALE, witness herein, having been
2	first duly sworn on oath,
3	was examined and testified
4	as follows:
5	MR. DALE: So if I have questions first
6	before I start
7	MS. RENDAHL: So I am not really in a
8	position to answer questions, but the gentleman who
9	MR. DALE: Can I ask questions of somebody
10	from Cascade Natural Gas?
11	MS. RENDAHL: You can, and they are
12	available after the hearing, they can answer your
13	questions.
14	MR. DALE: So this is part of what I want to
15	talk about.
16	MS. RENDAHL: Why don't you ask the
17	questions and then they can come up to you later.
18	MR. DALE: My first question, is Cascade
19	Natural Gas a public or private corporation?
20	MS. RENDAHL: I am going to maybe ask
21	Mr. Parvinen.
22	MR. PARVINEN: Do you just want a quick
23	answer?
24	MS. RENDAHL: Yeah.
25	MR. PARVINEN: It's part of a public

- 1 corporation.
- MS. RENDAHL: Part of --
- MR. PARVINEN: So a subsidiary of a
- 4 | public --
- 5 MS. RENDAHL: Subsidiary of MDU.
- 6 MR. DALE: I know who they are.
- So my question is, can the public, can I buy stock in Cascade Natural Gas?
- 9 MR. PARVINEN: No.
- 10 MR. DALE: So when I got my brochure I --11 you know, I looked at this and I said, Okay, I want to 12 look at their financial statement, but, of course, I 13 cannot find it, but I find out you are part of a major corporation out of the Dakotas. You are called Montana 14 15 Dakota Utilities. And so I took at look at them and saw 16 what you proposed here on your pamphlet you sent out and 17 I said, Oh, my God, this is all interesting. That's why 18 I am here tonight, but apparently there's been some 19 change since then and so the 4.1% increase is going to 20 be changed, correct? I don't know.
- MS. RENDAHL: There is a settlement that has
 been filed and the terms of the settlement are described
 in the document by public counsel and are also online,
 filed online with the Commission, and you can look at
 those terms. And you can talk to Mr. ffitch after the

hearing and get more -- and the company -- and get more details on the settlement.

And that settlement, we had a hearing on that settlement this morning, and once the public comment hearings are over and we receive all the written comments, the commissioners will deliberate on whether to approve the settlement -- as the gentleman on the video said, "approve, reject or modify the settlement," and that's the decision --

MR. DALE: I had no knowledge of that before I came tonight.

So anyway, so when I looked at this proposal I went in and looked at the MDU financial section, and apparently they have had some real financial problems. And I don't know if Cascade Natural Gas is going to --you know, the funds that they are requesting are going to help them out, but MDU is basically putting a \$50 million pipeline in and they got to finance that, plus they put in a -- what do you call it? What do you call Tesaro -- a refinery also back there, which is not doing too well either, so if you look at their financial statement, not that great. They are holding up -- I looked at that and I said, How does that affect Cascade Natural Gas in relationship to the increases they wanted, but, of course, I don't know that.

Then I went and took a look at what they talked about in their brochure about a 10% return to shareholders' equity, and I am in the stockmarket and I don't find anybody in the utilities area paying any 10%, and MDU right now is paying 3.75% on the dividend, and I don't know what Cascade Natural Gas is doing, and how they pay -- how the funds go back to MDU. It's probably the majority holder of the Cascade, right?

MS. RENDAHL: I will suggest that you talk to the --

MR. DALE: This is my assumption, though.

MS. RENDAHL: Okay.

MR. DALE: So apparently -- I don't know how that money changes hands based on these things, and I would wish the Commission would look into that and be able to explain how Cascade Natural Gas represents MDU and how funds are transferred and what kind of money is required there.

And then I look at -- I am just going to throw this out -- the CEO of that company only pays himself \$1,700,000 a year. I would sure like to make that piece of money myself, okay?

So going along from there, 10%, I go, Wow, this is strange. I don't understand the decoupling at all and I would like an explanation on that. I can read

1 something in a minute. 2 And basically then this new change that's 3 coming about, I don't have much more to say. I like 4 Cascade Natural Gas, actually, and I like the service they gave me, but I just -- after -- next year, you 5 6 know, the prospective, please put in something that I 7 can look at your financial statement, you know. 8 Thank you. 9 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you very much. 10 Appreciate it. 11 Okay, the next person who has requested to 12 speak is Tom Dodgson. If you could come up. 13 14 TOM DODGSON, witness herein, having been 15 first duly sworn on oath, 16 was examined and testified 17 as follows: 18 19 MS. RENDAHL: Could you state your name and 20 spell your name for the court reporter. 21 MR. DODGSON: Thomas Dodgson, D-O-D-G-S-O-N. 22 MS. RENDAHL: Okay, please go ahead and make 23 your statement. 24 MR. DODGSON: Well, I pretty much had the 25 same questions that you had. In the little pamphlet

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- thing here it said that you need \$10 million for something, and I -- it didn't say in here how many customers you have, so it was like \$10 million divided by how many people would be how much.
 - And then down here it says -- that's that 10% shareholder equity -- boy, if I could get 10%, you know, that's unheard of. And I wondered why we can't buy stock in it. Who has the blue chip stock in that, so that we can't buy it? No wonder they want so much, maybe, huh?
 - And then further down here, it says up here. It says "4.17%," and then down here it says, oh, but for residential customers it's 8.93, and "8.93" is code for 10%, at least in the car business it used to be.
 - Looking at this (indicating), which you said, the proposed deal, is going to be 1.6% overall, or rate return for Cascade -- settlement includes overall rate return for Cascade at 7.35%, so the bill increase to an average residential customer of 1.39% --
 - MS. RENDAHL: If you are reading you need to slow down so the court reporter can take it.
- MR. DODGSON: So some of this, it doesn't
 make any sense to me. They ask for \$10 million.
- Apparently the deal is for -- that UTC wants \$4 million,
- 25 | 1.6% overall. It doesn't say what the residential

1 customers are going to be paying -- yeah -- no, it does -- 2.55%, down here. Now, natural gas is coming 2 3 down quite a bit over the past year or so. I mean, the Saudis are trying to drive a lot of our American 4 5 companies out of business, is what that's all about. 6 But we are holding in there because of the fracking that 7 was found in North Dakota. A friend of mine owns some 8 farms over there and they are not doing as well as they 9 were doing previously because of this oil war thing 10 that's going on, and the regulations are provided by our 11 government, but still it just seemed a little wrong, 12 10%, and now I find out I can't buy stock in it, so I am 13 wondering where the stock -- it's like Sound Transit, 14 Sound Transit is a quasi-government private corporation 15 and you can't seem to find out who owns or how to buy 16 stock in it either, and they just keep wanting more and 17 more money as well. So I'm just here to find out what's 18 going on. 19

I would like to make a suggestion, that I have had good service with Cascade Natural Gas. As far as connecting and disconnecting and gas and starting and ending services with my properties, and -- but it would be nice if they were able to provide a service where they actually work on the equipment, because Washington Natural Gas I believe in Seattle does this. You can

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 call them up if you are having a problem with your furnace and they will send somebody down to check out 2 3 the furnace, and Cascade Natural Gas doesn't do that, so 4 I would appreciate that, you know, if that was -- if 5 that could be made part of their repertoire for 6 servicing customers. But otherwise everything is fine, 7 I suppose. Thank you very much. 8 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. I appreciate your 9 comments, appreciate it. 10 Greg Winter with the Opportunity Council. 11 MR. WINTER: Thank you. 12 13 witness herein, having been GREG WINTER, 14 first duly sworn on oath, 15 was examined and testified 16 as follows: 17 18 MS. RENDAHL: Go ahead. 19 MR. WINTER: Thank you. My name is Greq 20 Winter. I'm the executive director of the Opportunity 21 Council, the community action agency that services 22 Whatcom, Island and San Juan Counties. 23 MS. RENDAHL: If you are reading, if you can 24 slow down. 25 MR. WINTER: The Opportunity Council, so we

are the lead agency that hosts The Energy Project on behalf of Washington State Community Action Partnership, and that's the network of community action agencies that help deliver energy assistance and low income household weatherization programs throughout the state in Cascade's territory, so I really appreciate this opportunity to provide comments on the rate case.

operating the Washington Energy Assistance Fund, otherwise known as "WEAF," in Cascade's territory, and our staff, in cooperation with The Energy Project, has been working diligently over the past nine months to ensure that a number of important changes are incorporated into this rate case that pertain to both energy assistance programs and weatherization programs.

This is the first time since the initiation of these programs that any substantial changes have been made to their structure, and we are confident these changes will provide more meaningful benefits to more eligible Cascade customers.

The last full year of the WEAF program, the Opportunity Council served 735 households with a total benefit amount of a little over \$233,000, which is an average benefit per household of \$318 of assistance. So far in this current year we have served 490 households

in Whatcom and Island Counties.

This is an important program, and it's one that ensures that those that utilize Cascade service stay connected to critical utility services.

I want to highlight the issues that are important to us in this rate case. With the implementation of these changes we will be able to provide more effective services to people in need. So first under the energy -- Low Income Energy Assistance Program we support the adoption of the following goals that Cascade will adopt to guide decisions in all aspects of the program design. First, keep customers connected to energy service; second, provide assistance to more customers than are currently served; third, lower the energy burden program participants; and fourth, collect data that's necessary to assess program effectiveness and inform ongoing policy discussions.

I would like to highlight some key components we feel are needed additions for a successful program moving forward.

One, establishment of a low income energy assistance advisory group. Under this recommendation Cascade would establish an advisory group involving key stakeholders, and Cascade would consult the low energy advisory group on the evaluation of performance,

addressing ongoing concerns, determining program budget and exploring alternative program designs.

Next a needs assessment. The purpose of a needs assessment study is to identify the number of households in poverty in Cascade service areas in Washington. The company and community action partnership agencies can use the results of that study to better direct resources with the greatest need.

Next, program evaluation. Cascade will conduct a program evaluation if customer participation remains low. Evaluation will at a minimum identify the key areas for improvement and align the program design with program goals.

Next, annual reporting. Cascade would file an annual report on its low income program and make that report available to stakeholders by January 15th of each year. The report would include the actual program costs, including support provided to customers, reimbursement for the community action agency program delivery cost, and Cascade's administration costs for the WEAF program.

Next, the maximum grant amount per household. Currently the WEAF maximum annual grant award cap is \$500 per household. The previously mentioned low income advisory group would evaluate the

per household cap going forward.

Next, removing the monthly spending cap for community action agencies and allow access to the full annual allocation. Under this recommendation Cascade would remove the monthly spending cap for each community action agency and no individual agency would be denied payment of qualified grants, unless the combined total annual disbursement for all caps reaches the annual program cap.

I am on my last page, just so you know, of three, so two thirds of the way through.

Next, reimbursement of community action agency's program delivery costs. The reimbursement of the agency's program delivery cost will change from 20% of the disbursed WEAF amount of \$75 per household processed by the community action agency.

Next, customer outreach and education. The company will consult with the low income advisory group mentioned earlier to develop and implement a plan to strengthen outreach to potentially qualified customers.

Next, program design stakeholders should explore a rate discount program or a percentage of household income program to complement the current WEAF grant program. The company may require certification of a person's income for two years instead of only one

1 year.

And then the last recommendation under the low income energy assistance comment is a five-year plan for funding. Under this recommendation the funding level for the WEAF program would increase by 5.1%, which is twice the percentage of the proposed bill increase for an average residential customer in this rate case and that would happen each year for the next five years.

And finally one comment about the low income weatherization assistance program. Under this recommendation, Cascade would in concert with the -- with the advisory group mentioned before, and representatives from community action agencies, investigate the barriers to low income weatherization within Cascade's Washington territory and develop a proposal for overcoming those barriers.

The company and stakeholders may consider approaches that Cascade has employed in other states, such as the low income weatherization pilot tariff that's currently operating in the State of Oregon.

Thank you.

MS. RENDAHL: I just have a couple questions.

So what -- these recommendations are what's included in the settlement, is my understanding, that we

1 heard this morning. That's right, and I just wanted 2 MR. WINTER: 3 to, on behalf of our community action agency, make sure 4 that you know that we, along with other community action 5 agencies around the state, fully support those 6 provisions. 7 MS. RENDAHL: Okay. 8 And the annual report that you mentioned 9 that would go to the stakeholders, that is also to be 10 filed with the Commission; is that correct? 11 MR. WINTER: Correct. 12 MS. RENDAHL: And so when it's filed with 13 the Commission, then that would be available to the 14 public. 15 MR. WINTER: Correct. 16 MS. RENDAHL: Thank you very much for your 17 comments. 18 Thank you. MR. WINTER: 19 MS. RENDAHL: The next person is Misty 20 Fayette. 21 witness herein, having been 22 MISTY FAYETTE, 23 first duly sworn on oath, 24 was examined and testified

25

as follows:

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RENDAHL: Please state your name and 3 spell it for the court reporter.

4 MS. FAYETTE: My name is Misty Fayette,

5 F-A-Y-E-T-T-E.

6 MS. RENDAHL: And you are with?

7 MS. FAYETTE: Community Action of Skagit

8 County.

9 MS. RENDAHL: Please, go ahead.

MS. FAYETTE: I am the energy program specialist. I work one on one with our clients who have Cascade Natural Gas. I am in agreeance with Greg and everything that he has proposed here.

I just wanted to give you a little bit of numbers from Skaqit County. Last year we served 379 households with the WEAF program. That came to a benefit amount -- total benefit amount of \$150,072 with the average benefit for each customer being around \$396. So far this year we have served 280 households in Skagit County, and we are going to continue serving households this year up until September 30th, which is the cutoff for that program.

I think our clients that we see, since they are very low income, are impacted by the rate increase, and they -- these things that have been proposed by Greg available to them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- will benefit them by allowing us to serve them with more
 money, get the word out more. That's one of our
 downfalls is trying to get the -- trying to get the word
 out to our clients that we are here with this money
 - MS. RENDAHL: Okay, thank you very much.
 - MS. FAYETTE: You are welcome.
 - MS. RENDAHL: And those are all the folks that have signed up to comment.
 - Is there anyone else who wishes to make a comment before we close the hearing today?
- MR. DODGSON: I have a question.
 - MS. RENDAHL: Okay, well, questions, since I am going to be making a decision in this case with my colleagues, the other two commissioners, if you have questions about the details of the case itself at the end of this hearing you can talk to Mr. ffitch with Public Counsel, with Mr. Parvinen from the company and Mr. O'Connell from commission staff.
 - If you have questions about the details of the settlement or how things work, it's probably best for them to answer your questions than for me to answer them.
- MR. DODGSON: It wasn't really for you.
- MS. RENDAHL: Oh, okay.

1 So why don't you come up again and state 2 your name. 3 MR. DODGSON: My name is Thomas Dodgson. 4 MS. RENDAHL: You are already sworn in. 5 MS. DODGSON: I was kind of wondering about 6 this WEAF program, is this administered to illegal 7 aliens? 8 MS. RENDAHL: Okay, that, I am going to allow them -- I don't know the details and I am going 9 10 to allow the members of the community action agency to answer your questions after I close the official portion 11 12 of the hearing, so they will be available to hear --13 I have a recommendation that MR. DALE: 14 before you start your portion of the meeting that you 15 have the representatives of Cascade Natural Gas, we can 16 question them before we get up so we are knowledgeable, 17 and that would have made it a lot easier on my delivery 18 if I knew some of these things. Now I have to go 19 backwards instead of forwards. 20 MS. RENDAHL: That's a very good suggestion. 21 So at this point, I appreciate all of the 22 comments that we have heard, and, again, if you have 23 written comments you want to submit, you have -- you can 24 submit them to the Commission by next Friday, the 24th,

25

and the instructions on how to submit them are on the

1	sheet prepared by Public Counsel that's at the back of
2	the room.
3	If there's no one else wishing to comment,
4	then this hearing will be adjourned and the Commission
5	will reconvene this hearing Friday, June 17th at
6	6:00 p.m. in Kennewick at the Kennewick branch of the
7	Mid-Columbia Library.
8	So we are adjourned tonight and will be off
9	the record, and you are welcome to go and talk to other
10	folks. They will be here to answer your questions.
11	(Hearing concluded at 6:48 p.m.)
12	
13	-000-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
3) ss. COUNTY OF KING)
4	
5	I, KIM M. DORE-HACKBARTH, a Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
7	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
8	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
10	and seal this 27th day of June, 2016.
11	
12	
13	
14	KIM M. DORE-HACKBARTH, RPR, CCR
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	