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1. By its Motion for Leave to File Supplemental and Revised Testimony and Exhibits, Avista seeks Commission permission to revise certain testimony to correct errors it made in its direct case.  Commission Staff agrees it is appropriate for Avista to correct those mistakes.
2. Avista also wants to supplement its direct case by adding a new gas attrition study.  While Avista provides no reason why it could not have provided this study as part of the Company’s direct case, Commission Staff believes it will be able to evaluate that study, given the present hearing schedule.  Consequently, Commission Staff will not oppose the Company’s request to supplement its direct case.
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