Exhibit No.\_\_\_ (GRM-6) Docket No. UE-111190 Witness: Greg R. Meyer

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

) ) )	
)	
)	Docket No. UE-111190
) ) )	
)	

EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_ (GRM-6)

PACIFICORP RESPONSE TO ICNU DR 2.32 (Attachments Omitted)

**January 6, 2012** 

## **ICNU Data Request 2.32**

Please provide an explanation and all supporting information regarding the difference between the amount of outside legal expenses included in the test period, and the calendar year outside legal expense for 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. For example, please identify specific cost categories, cases or matters that are expected to differ.

## Response to ICNU Data Request 2.32

The Company objects to this request to the extent that it requests information related to time periods not relevant to this proceeding. Without waiving this objection, the Company responds as follows:

Please refer to Confidential Attachment ICNU 2.32 for actual total company outside legal expenses for 2008 through June 2011 and the outside legal expense budget for 2011. The 2010 outside legal expenses included in the attachment represent the test period. Confidential information is provided subject to the terms and conditions of the protective order in this proceeding.

Calendar year 2008 included environmental litigation expenses associated with McConnell v PacifiCorp that did not continue beyond 2008. Litigation was commenced in 2010 by Deseret Power against PacifiCorp. Continued litigation associated with seeking to terminate the Grant P.U.D. contract resulted in higher legal expenses in CY2010. Taylor v. Saberhagen was scheduled for trial in 2010, which the court then postponed, *sua sponte*, days before trial. This expected trial led to higher legal expenses in CY2010. Continued litigation associated with Wah Chang v PacifiCorp resulted in higher legal expenses in CY2010.

PREPARER: Natalie Hocken / Dean Brockbank / Mark Moench

SPONSOR: To Be Determined