Docket No. UT-161082 - Vol. I

WUTC v. Angel Americas, LLC, et al.

November 9, 2016



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | **360.534.9066** Spokane | **509.624.3261** National | **800.846.6989**

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

```
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
    Complainant,
              ) DOCKET NO. UT-161082
    ٧.
ANGEL AMERICÁS, LLC; CLEAR
WORLD COMMUNICATIONS
CORPORATION; COAST
INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
CONTINENTAL TELEPHONE, LLC; )
HAMR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC:
LOCUS TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
INC.: PACIFIC TELECOM
COMMUNICATIONS GROUP; PEND )
OREILLE VALLEY NETWORK, INC.;)
TELENATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, )
INC.: TOTAL CALL
INTERNATIONAL, INC.;
WASHINGTON TELCO, LLC; WDT )
WORLD DISCOUNT
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, CO.,
    Respondents.
```

REVOCATION OF REGISTRATION HEARING

VOLUME I

PAGES 1 - 16

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON

10:00 a.m.

NOVEMBER 9, 2016

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 206 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

REPORTED BY: Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR 3377

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	Rayne Pearson Utilities and Transportation Commission PO Box 47250
5	1300 South Evergreen Drive Southwest Olympia, WA 98504 360.664.1160
6 7	FOR THE COMMISSION STAFF:
8 9 10	Andrew J. O'Connell, Esq. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W. P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, WA 98504 360.664.1192 aoconnel@utc.wa.gov
12	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
13	PAGE
14	SEAN BENNETT
15	EXAMINATION
16	Questions By Mr. O'Connell: 9
17	Questions By Judge Pearson: 12
18	Questions By Mr. O'Connell: 14
19	Questions By Judge Pearson: 15
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; November 9, 2016
2	10:00 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Good morning. Let's be
5	on the record in Docket UT-161082. It is 10:00 a.m.
6	on November 9, 2016, which is the time set for hearing
7	the Commission's complaint against 12
8	telecommunications companies seeking to revoke those
9	companies' registrations for failure to file annual
10	reports and pay regulatory fees.
11	My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the
12	administrative law judge presiding over this
13	proceeding. Let's begin by taking appearances.
14	Commission Staff is the only party with me in the
15	hearing room today.
16	So, Mr. O'Connell, you may enter a brief
17	appearance when you're ready.
18	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	My name is Andrew J. O'Connell, assistant attorney
20	general, representing Commission Staff. I'm joined to
21	my right by Mr. Sean Bennett who will be available to
22	testify on behalf of Staff.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
24	So before we go into the list of the companies
25	named in the complaint to see if any of them are

1	appearing on the bridge line today, let's first
2	address whether any of the companies have come into
3	compliance since the complaint was served.
4	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	Yes. Four of them have, and Staff would move to
6	dismiss against those four companies. The four
7	companies that have come into compliance since the
8	issuance of the complaint are Hamr Communications,
9	LLC; Washington Telco, LLC; WDT World Discount
10	Telecommunications Company.
11	And the fourth one actually has not come into
12	compliance but requested the cancellation of its
13	registration on its own, and the Commission at an open
14	meeting on October 27 granted that request. So it's
15	Staff's position that the Commission has already
16	resolved the registration as to that company and does
17	not believe the Commission needs to do so twice. So
18	it doesn't need to present or go forward against that
19	company in this proceeding. So Staff would dismiss or
20	recommend dismissing against that company.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: That's Total Call
22	International?
23	MR. O'CONNELL: And, yes, that company
24	is Total Call International, Inc.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So. ves. I agree

1	with you that because that registration has already
2	been canceled by the Commission, then it's appropriate
3	to dismiss the complaint with respect to that company.
4	So I will grant Staff's motion to dismiss the
5	complaint against Hamr Communications, LLC; Washington
6	Telco, LLC; WDT World Discount; and Total Call
7	International.
8	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I will now take a roll
10	call for the remaining companies named in the
11	complaint to see if any of them are appearing on the
12	bridge line, although I have not heard anyone access
13	the bridge line this morning.
14	The first is Angel Americas, LLC. Okay.
15	Hearing nothing.
16	Clear World Communications Corporation.
17	Hearing nothing.
18	Coast International, Inc. Hearing nothing.
19	Continental Telephone, LLC. Hearing nothing.
20	Locus Telecommunications, Inc. Hearing
21	nothing.
22	Pacific Telecom Communications Group. Hearing
23	nothing.
24	Pend Oreille Valley Network, Inc. Hearing
25	nothing.

1	Finally is Telenational Communications, Inc.
2	Okay. Hearing nothing.
3	We can proceed. So, Mr. O'Connell, go ahead
4	when you're ready with a motion for default.
5	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	So Staff intends to ask that the Commission
7	find each of the companies that you just named in
8	default. The companies were all listed in the
9	complaint and were served by the Commission. The
10	proof of service is on file in the records center and
11	has been marked for identification in this case as
12	Exhibit SB-1. Staff has a copy available here as
13	well.
14	According to this proof of service, the
15	respondents were served by first-class mail and by
16	certified mail at the addresses on file with the
17	Commission. The service was valid under the
18	Commission's service rule authorizing the Commission
19	service notices, complaints, and orders by mail
20	properly addressed with first-class postage prepaid.
21	With that, Staff offers Exhibit SB-1, the proof of
22	service.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. I
24	will admit that and mark it as Exhibit SB-1.
25	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you. So at this

1	time Staff asks the Commission to find these
2	respondents in default and proceed with the hearing
3	and dispose of the substantive issues.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. The companies
5	that will be held in default are Angel Americas, LLC;
6	Clear World Communications Corporation; Coast
7	International, Inc.; Continental Telephone, LLC; Locus
8	Telecommunications, Inc.; Pacific Telecom
9	Communications Group; Pend Oreille Valley Network,
10	Inc.; and Telenational Communications; Inc.
11	Those companies have not come into compliance
12	and also have not made an appearance here today, so
13	they will be held in default.
14	And before we move on and I swear in
15	Mr. Bennett so he can testify, would you like to move
16	to admit the other exhibits for the companies that
17	we for which we dismissed the complaint?
18	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, Your Honor.
19	The motion to dismiss has already been
20	granted, and Staff would offer Exhibits SB-2, SB-3,
21	and SB-4 in support of that dismissal. SB-2 is the
22	annual report for Hamr Communications. SB-3 is the
23	annual report for Washington Telco, and SB-4 is a copy
24	of the letter requesting cancellation submitted to the
25	Commission by Total Call International.

1	There is not an exhibit in support of the
2	motion to dismiss WDT World Discount
3	Telecommunications Company because that company
4	already submitted an annual report. They needed to
5	file or submit their annual regulatory fee. And
6	Staff is prepared to offer testimony regarding the
7	submission of that regulatory fee.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's okay.
9	I'll take you at your word. And with respect to
10	Exhibits SB-2 through SB-4, I will just take official
11	notice of those since they are already Commission
12	records, and I don't like to create duplicate records
13	if I can avoid that.
14	MR. O'CONNELL: I believe that's
15	appropriate, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
17	So if at this point I can swear Mr. Bennett in
18	so he can testify with respect to the companies that
19	have been held in default.
20	
21	SEAN BENNETT, witness herein, having been
22	first duly sworn on oath,
23	was examined and testified
24	as follows:
25	

		EXAMINATION BY O'CONNELL / BENNETT 9
1		JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead when you're
2	ready	, Mr. O'Connell.
3		MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
4		EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	
6	Q	Would you please state your name and spell it
7	for the record.	
8	A	Sean Bennett, S-E-A-N, B-E-N-N-E-T-T.
9	Q	And what is your occupation?
10	A	I'm a regulatory analyst within the financial
11	servi	ces here at the Utilities and Transportation
12	Com	mission.
13	Q	And how long have you been employed by the
14	Com	mission?
15	Α	I've been here since March of this year.
16	Q	What are your duties?
17	Α	I track and process the annual report the
18	annu	al report process. Additionally, I investigate
19	whet	her companies have complied by providing their
20	annu	al reports and regulatory fees as required by
21	statu	te and Commission rule.
22	Q	And is that how you're involved in this
23	matte	er?
24	Α	It is.
25	Q	Can you please explain what Commission Staff

1 2

3

fees?

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

A Yeah. In February, my predecessor requested that the company information be populated from our company database into the Annual Report Tracking System, also known as ARTS. We use this system to mail out annual reports as a courtesy to all regulated

companies. That was done on February 29.

did this year regarding annual reports and regulatory

The due date for the annual reports is May 1, and that is indicated on the instruction page. It also does spell out the companies can file for an extension. None of these companies have done so. This year all annual reports and regulatory fees were due on May 2 as May 1 was a weekend. None of these companies have filed at that time.

I then used the ARTS system to generate a noncompliance list that we then used to issue penalty assessments advising companies that they are still not in compliance. Again, a lot of companies do provide either annual reports and regulatory fees. However, these companies have not.

At that point, it then became early August, and the companies still had not filed their annual report and regulatory fees. So I reached out via e-mail to all of the e-mails listed in our company

database advising them that the annual report/annual regulatory fees were not provided. Additionally, on August 9, I mailed notices of noncompliance, once again, informing them that annual reports were missing or incomplete, regulatory fees were not provided, and that we may potentially look to cancel their registration.

EXAMINATION BY O'CONNELL / BENNETT

Q So regarding the remaining eight companies who have failed to come into compliance since the filing of this complaint, will you please explain Staff's recommendation regarding these companies?

A Yes. These companies have not completed an annual report and/or paid their regulatory fees. It is Staff's recommendation that their certificates be revoked. There is one company, Pend Oreille Valley Network, Incorporated, that has paid their regulatory fees. They did provide an annual report. However, the annual report was incomplete.

As a requirement, telecommunication companies are required to provide an income statement. The income statement they provided listed revenue whereas their total company, total Washington and interstate revenue on the annual report, was blank. As there was a discrepancy, it made me have a concern that maybe if there's that inconsistency, the Washington interstate

A There was a regulatory fee due of \$150. Hamr

Communications initially provided their annual report

on October 7, and they completed it on October 10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Great. Thank

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

file?

on October 24.

		EXAMINATION BY PEARSON / BENNETT 15
1	prov	iding it. I reviewed our revenue system, and no
2	addit	ional payments have been made.
3		MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you. That's all
4	I have	e.
5	EXAMINATION	
6	BY JUDGE PEARSON:	
7	Q	Do you still use the ARTS database?
8	A	We do, yes.
9	Q	And you checked that?
10	Α	I did as well, Your Honor.
11		JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
12	That'	s all I have. You as well?
13		MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. I have nothing
14	furthe	er. Thank you, Your Honor.
15		JUDGE PEARSON: Then we are adjourned.
16		(The proceedings concluded at
17	10:15	5 a.m.)
18		
19		* * * *
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Nancy M. Kottenstette, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8	do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
9	proceedings on November 9, 2016, is true and accurate
10	to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
11	I do further certify that I am a disinterested
12	person in this cause of action; that I am not a
13	relative of the attorneys for any of the parties.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand and seal this 21st day of November, 2016.
16	
17	
18	Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR
19	randy in Rottonblotto, ra ra, don
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	