1	
2	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
3	COMMISSION,
4	
5	COMPLAINANT,
6	v.
7	KAYAK ESTATES WATER, LLC,
8	·
9	RESPONDENT.
10	
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	DOCKET NO. UW-051444
13	
14	DIRECT TESTIMONY
15	OF RICHARD KOOPMANS
16	ON BEHALF OF KAYAK ESTATES WATER, LLC
17	
18	February 28, 2006
19	

1	Q: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND GIVE YOUR BUSINESS
2	ADDRESS.
3	A. My name is Richard Koopmans. My business address is 15035 SE 46th Street
4	Bellevue, WA 98006.
5	
6	Q. PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.
7	A. I graduated from the University of Washington in 1966 with a degree in
8	Business Administration with a major in accounting. I then sat for and received my
9	license as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA). Since that time, I have practiced as a
10	CPA in a number of capacities. I currently own and operate my own accounting firm.
11	
12	Q. WHAT IS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO KAYAK ESTATES WATER,
13	LLC?
14	A. I have served as Kayak Estates' outside accountant since its inception.
15	
16	Q. DOES THAT MEAN YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE FINANCIAL
17	ASPECTS OF KAYAK ESTATES WATER, LLC?
18	A. Yes.
19	
20	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET:
21	A. My testimony will provide the basic financial information in support of the rate
22	increase requested in this docket.
23	

Q. 1 DO YOU HAVE AN EXHIBIT THAT SHOWS THE FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE COMPANY AND ITS REQUESTED INCREASE? 2 3 A. Yes. Attached to my testimony as Exhibit No. (RK-2) is a Results of Operations Statement. 4 5 6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN EXHIBIT NO. ____ (RK-2). 7 A. The first column sets out the various categories of revenue and expenses. The second column provides the per-book amount as of December 31, 2004. Other than 8 9 depreciation and rate base numbers, these numbers tie to the Company's annual report 10 filed with this Commission. A copy of the appropriate pages from that annual report 11 are attached as Exhibit No. (RK-3). The expenses are set out in somewhat more 12 detail on the results of operations than in the annual report. However, except as noted, 13 the totals should tie to one another. 14 15 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE EXCEPTIONS THAT YOU HAVE NOTED. 16 A. When I originally did the depreciation schedule that was included in the annual 17 report, I only included one quarter of the actual depreciation for 2004. The reason this 18 occurred is that based upon the acquisition of the Company in 2003, only one guarter of 19 2003 went into the tax return for this Company. The selling company used the 20 depreciation expense for the first three quarters of the year. When I was doing the 2004 21 books, I carried that one quarter of 2003 forward. As a result, depreciation expense on

the annual report is understated and rate base amounts are overstated. The results of

22

1	operation statement that is Exhibit No (RK-2) reflects the corrected numbers. A
2	copy of the corrected depreciation schedule is attached as Exhibit No (RK-4).
3	
4	Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR EXPLANATION OF EXHIBIT NO.
5	(RK-2).
6	A. The column after the Per Book column is for restating adjustments. The
7	company is not proposing any restating adjustments. The next column sets forth the
8	restated amounts, which in this case are the same as the per-book amounts.
9	The next column sets out the pro-forma adjustments. There is really only one
10	pro-forma adjustment. That is for the cost associated with this rate case. Details of the
11	pro-forma adjustment are set out in Exhibit No (RK-5). The next column sets out
12	the results of operations on a pro-forma basis before the proposed rates. The next to
13	last column then sets out the effect of the proposed rates. These include the effect of
14	income taxes, bad debt expense and utility taxes. I will note that in the Per Book
15	amount, bad debt is taken into account in the Operating Revenue Number, which is
16	why the Per Book entry for Bad Debt is listed as 0.
17	The final column shows the results after the proposed rates are applied. As you
18	can see, the Company's rate of return is at a modest 9.97%.
19	
20	Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE
21	REQUIREMENT?
22	A. In order to try to keep the cost of this proceeding as low as possible, realizing
23	that the rate case costs can significantly affect the rates that the customers pay in a
	·

1

3

2

4 5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

system of this size, the Company took a simple approach to deriving an appropriate level of rates in consultation with the Company's attorney.

We took a look at the Company's capital structure starting with the average rate base of \$546,437.00. Taking the actual debt for the Company of \$442,703.00 with an actual cost of debt at 8.5%, the Company then looked at what might be an appropriate return on equity for a company of this size. The equity component of the capital structure is 18.98% and the debt component is 81.02%. The Company chose to apply a 14% cost of capital, recognizing that the degree of leverage and the size of the Company would mean that a relatively high cost of capital should apply. From my own perspective, 14% would be a low number for real world expectations dealing with a company of this nature. In any event, this produced a return on investment number of 9.55%. An exhibit showing the calculation is attached as Exhibit No. (RK-6).

Then applying this rate of return to the rate base figure, produces an NOI requirement of \$52,185.00.

Q. IS THE COMPANY SEEKING ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE?

Not in this docket. There is an issue as to whether a positive acquisition A. adjustment is appropriate. However, the Company is not seeking an upward acquisition adjustment in this docket. The Company does reserve the right to pursue that matter in the future, if it deems appropriate.

Q. 1 PLEASE CONTINUE WITH YOUR EXPLANATION. 2 A. Taking the NOI requirement, the proforma NOI found on the Results of Operations exhibit was then added (since it is a negative number) from that number to 3 produce an NOI deficiency. The conversion factor was then applied to produce the 4 5 additional revenue requirement. An exhibit that shows this calculation is set out as Exhibit No. (RK-7). 6 7 Q. HOW DID YOU DERIVE THE CONVERSION FACTOR? 8 9 Again, this was done with the help of the Company and the Company's A. 10 attorney. An exhibit that sets out that calculation is attached as Exhibit No. (RK-11 8). The Company supplied me with the Commission's regulatory fee, an uncollectible 12 factor based on the Company's history, and their utility tax percentage. I then used a 13 federal income tax amount of 15% to come up with the final conversion factor. 14 15 Q. WHAT DOES THIS PRODUCE? 16 In effect, what this produces is a need to increase rates by \$79,580.00. Such an A. 17 increase in rates would produce a prospective rate of return of 9.97%. This is slightly different than the return shows on Exhibit No. (RK-6), but is still in the range as 18 19 shown on that Exhibit. Again, the Company chose to do an approach that was as 20 simple as possible. Therefore, it started with a proposed return number and backed into 21 a revenue effect that actually then produces a lower overall rate of return. 22 23 WHY IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING A RATE INCREASE? Q.

1	A.	From a financial perspective, it is clear to me that the Company should increase
2	its rate	es to produce a reasonable return on its investment. The Company wants to keep
3	this ca	se as simple as possible. For further reasons supporting the filing of this case,
4	please	see Mr. Dorland's Testimony.
5		
6	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
7	A.	Yes.

9.97%		<1.89>%		<0.61>%		<0.61>%	Rate of Return
546,437		546,437		546,437		546,437	Net Average Rate Base
154,001		154,001		154,001		154,001	Net CIAC
1,100,172		1,100,172		1,100,172		1,100,172	Less Acc. Depreciation
1,800,610		1,800,610		1,800,610		1,800,610	Utility Plant In Service
							Rate Base (Average)
54,504	63,294	<10,342>		<3,342>		<3,342>	Net Operating income
9,618	11,170	0		0		0	T-1
	74,464	<10,342>		<3,342>		<3,342>	Utility Operating Income Before FIT
	,						
150,675	5,116	145,559		138,559		138,559	Total Operating Expenses
7,000	0	7,000	7,000	0		0	Rate Case Expense
955	955	0		0		0	Bad Debt
35,718	0	35,718		35,718		35,718	Depreciation
75	0	75		75		75	Bank fees
372	Ō	372		372		372	Postage
375	0	375		375		375	Telephone
725	0	725		725		725	Licenses
835	0	835		835		835	DOH fees
1,355	0	1,355		1,355		1,355	PUD testing
2,319	0	2,319		2,319		2,319	Insurance
2,885	0	2,885		2,885		2,885	Professional fees
3,650	0	3,650		3,650		3,650	Location
9,860	4,002	5,858		5,858		5,858	Taxes
7,274	0	7,274		7,274		7,274	Testing
7,548	0	7,548		7,548		7,548	Flushing
8,000	0	000'8		8,000		8,000	Management fee
10,593	0	10,593		10,593		10,593	Utilities
11,189	0	11,189		11,189		11,189	Meter reading
18,836	0	18,836		18,836		18,836	Repairs & Maintenance
21,111	159	20,952		20,952		20,952	Admin/Billing/Collection
							Operating Expenses:
\$214,797	\$79,580	\$135,217		\$135,217		\$135,217	Operating Revenue
Rates	Rates	Rates	Adj.	Amounts	Restated Adj.	12/31/04	
Proposed	Proposed	Proposed	Proforma	Restated		Per Book	
After	Effect of	Before					
Proforma		Proforma					

	INCOMES	TATEMENT		,		EXHIBIT NO (RK-3)
1.	For the Caler	dar Year 2004	4			Page 1 of 2
Liz						Total
NG (L		Water		Other		Company
1 (1-	(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)
1	REVENUES					
	I Operating Revenue Accounts 5	135,217	\$		\$	135,217
	2 Miscellaneous Revenue Accounts		<u></u>			
1	Other Ravenua Accounts		_		_	
	Utility Operating Revenue (Add L1 thru L3) \$	135,217	S		æ	135,217
	- Curry Oberatific Revenue (Vide II and I2) 2		-Ψ		- ₽	
I	EXPENSES					
	Operating Expense Accounts	100,671				100,671
	Depredation Expense	9,468	-	,		9,468
7	Federal Income Texes	• *	_	•	-	`
Я	Utility Operating Expense (Add L5 thru L7) \$	110,139	S		5	110,139
,	bearing avainage (state as areas) 4		- "		-	
9	Utility Operating Income (Loss) (L4 minus L8) \$	25,078	\$		\$	25,078
		,				,
,	OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS Other Income:					
เก	Interest & Dividend Income \$	•	\$		\$	
	Miscellaneous Nonutility Income CIAC		٠ .	8,254	•	8,254
			• •	n n#/		8,254
12	Total Other Income (Add L10 thru L11) \$.\$.	8,254	. \$	0,234
	Other Deductions:	•			•	. [
13	Interest Expense \$	36,408	\$		\$	36,408
	Miscellaneous Nonutility Expenses					
		25 108				36,408
15	Total Other Deductions (Add L13 thru L14) \$	36,408	. \$.		.\$	
16	Net Income (Loss)	•		;		
10	(Add L9 plus L12 minus L15) \$	(11,330)	\$	8,254	\$	(3,076)
•					•	
	CUSTOMER COU	NT SUMMA	RY			
	Number at	New	•	Number at		·
	Description Begin Year	Services		End Year		Temporary
	(a) (b)	(c)		· (d)		(e) ·
						·
17	Total Unmetered 6			6	_	
	Total Meterad 352		.•	352		
18	Total Meterad 352		- .		- ·	
10	Total Master Metered	•				· •
.73	F 0 001 17103 101 1710461505		-		-	
20	Total Customers . 358			358		_
	PASSE AND PASSETS					-

		COMPARATIVE BALANC For the Calendar Year 2003			······	EXHIBIT NO. Pag
2	Account Name (a)			Yez: 2003 (b)		Year 2004 (c)
Lassi	ASSETS: y Plant Accumulated Depreciation Accumulated Amortization		\$.	1,787,365	_ \$ _	1,813,854 1,091,032
	y Plant Acquisition Adjustment - tility Plant	(Add L1 thru L4)	5 <u>-</u>	705,801	 - \$ _	722,822
Cash Casto	al funds (Surcharges, Facility C mer Accounts Receivable Assets (Specify)	harges)	S	15,576	100 100 100	20,988
Total	Assets .	(Add L5, plus L6 thru L9)	5_	721,377	_ 5 _	743,810
Capita Other Retain	TY CAPITAL AND LIABILITIE I Stock Issued Paid In Capital ed Earnings ietary Capital	is.	\$	8,773 77.978	\$_	697 77,978
~	Equity Capital	(Add L11 thru L14)	\$_	86,751	_ \$ _	78,675
Long- Accou	Term Debt Ints Payable	- Interest Rate	\$ <u>_</u>	457,000 19,498	- ^{\$} -	442,705 72,556
Contr.	nt Debt ibutions In Aid Of Construction Accumulated Amortization Of	n (CIAC) CIAC	 -	158,128	 	158,128 (8,254)
	: Liabilities (Specify) Liabilities	(Add L16 thru L21)		634,626	 _\$ _	665,135
	Equity Capital and Liabilities	(Add L15 & L22)	\$	721,377	_\$ [.] -	743,810
•	WATE	ir summary	•	EMIPLO	EE-5	UMMARY
		Cubic Feet		Year 2003		'Yesr 2004 0
Punc	ased or Ground Water		,	Full Time Cour	i£ .	Full Time Count
·Los	or Wastage			Part Time Cour	nt '	Part Time Count
•	to Customers					
·4001	FERRITORE 1 mile foot as 7 42	च्यों ms				** TOTAL PAGE 04 **

2004

8,254	8,254				158,128	158,128		CIAC
1,1	36,256	1,082,313					1,813,854	
					26,489		26,489	
280	280		3.33	30	8,417		8,417	334 Meters
259	259		1.43	70	18,072		18,072	331 Distribution
								2004 PURCHASES
35,718 1,118,030	35,718	1,082,313	n		0 1,787,365	0	1,787,365	
8,246	2,069	6,176	3.33	30	62,141		62,141	334 Meters
18,230 793,878	18,230	775,648	1.43	70	1,274,813		1,274,813	331 Distribution
118,304	2,634	115,669	1.66	60	158,690		158,690	330 Res & tanks
99,351	8,075	91,276	5.00	20	161,499		161,499	311 Pumping
29,072	2,314	26,759	5.10	48	45,367		45,367	310 Power eq
63,981	2,232	61,749	2.85	35	78,319		78,319	307 Wells
5,199	163	5,035	2.50	40	6,536		6,536	304 Structures
12/31/04	Balance Depreciation 12/31/04	Balance 01/01/04	Rate	Years Ra	12/31/04	Addition	01/01/04	
DEPRECIATION		ACCUMULATED		j		ASSET	-	Number
								Account

Estimate of Rate Case Costs

Richard Koopmans – Accounting Support	\$5,000.00
Richard A. Finnigan – Legal	<u>\$16,000.00</u>
Total	\$21,000.00

Capital Structure

Debt 442,703 Equity 103,734 81.02% x 8.5% = 6.89% 18.98% x 14.0% = <u>2.66%</u> 9.55%

Revenue Requirement Calculation

Rate Base	546,437
Rate of Return	9.55%
NOI Requirements	52,185
ProForm NOI	<10,342>
NOI Deficiency	62,527
•	

Conversion Factor 0.78571 Additional Rev. Req. 79,580

Conversion Factor

Revenues	1.00000
WUTC Reg. Fee	.002
Uncollectible	.012
Utility tax	<u>.05029</u>
•	0.93571
Federal Income Tax	0.15
Conversion Factor	$\overline{0.78571}$