

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

AND THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		
Agency: WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
$\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR $05-16-118$		
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		
Title of rule and other identifying information: Amendments to the following rules in Chapter 480-93 WAC, Gas Companies-Safety, specifically, WAC 480-93-005(18), WAC 480-93-017, WAC 480-93-178(5), WAC 480-93-200(1)(e) and		
WAC 480-93-200(6)(b) and (c). The rulemaking has been assig		
7771C 400-73-200(0)(0) and (c). The fulcinaking has been assig	ilea WOTE Docket No. 1 G-030703.	
Hearing location(s): Commission Hearing Room 206	Submit written comments to:	
2 nd Floor, Chandler Plaza Building	Name: Carole J. Washburn, Secretary	
1300 So. Evergreen Park Dr. SW	Address: P. O. Box 47250, Olympia, WA 98504 e-mail <u>records@wutc.wa.gov</u> Please include	
Olympia, WA 98504-7250		
y r .,	Docket PG-050933 in your communication.	
	fax (360) 586-1150 by (date) October 26, 2005	
1 0 000F +0 00		
Date of Hearing: November 9, 2005, at 9:30 a.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact	
Date of intended adoption: November 9, 2005 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	Mary DeYoung by Monday, November 7, 2005	
	TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1133	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an	y changes in existing rules:	
The proposed amendments are intended to correct errors a	nd clarify rules in chapter 480-93 WAC that the	
Commission adopted effective June 2, 2005. The proposed amendments clarify the definition of "prompt" in WAC		
480-93-005(18); change the language from "operating" to "intending to operate" a gas pipeline facility in WAC 480-93-		
017; add "such as inserting the plastic pipeline in conduit" in WAC 480-93-178(5), which was included in subsection		
(4) but inadvertently left out of subsection (5); and modify subsection (1)(e) of WAC 480-93-200 to change the number		
of customers from 20 to 25, modify subsection (6)(b) to rem		
year," and add language to subsection (6)(c) to clarify reporting requirements.		
Reasons supporting proposal: After working with the recent comprehensive revision of the gas safety rules the		
Commission Staff and stakeholders have discovered areas of the rules that call for minor correction, updates, deletion,		
and revision. This proposal would address those areas.		
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040(4),	Statute being implemented: N/A	
RCW 80.04.160, and RCW 80.28.210(1).		
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY	
Federal Law? ☐ Ves ☑ No		
Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No	Filed with the Code Reviser's Office	
If yes, CITATION:		
	October 5, 2005, 9:07 a.m.	
DATE	WSR 05-20-095	
October 5, 2005		
NAME (type or print)		
Carole J. Washburn		
SIGNATURE		
TITLE Fire sertions Considering		
Executive Secretary	1	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None			
Name of proponent: Washington Utilities and	Transportation Commission	Private Public Governmental	
Name of agency personnel responsible for:			
Name	Office Location	Phone	
DraftingSondra Walsh, Senior Policy Strategist	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1286	
ImplementationCarole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174	
EnforcementCarole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174	
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?			
Yes.			
A copy of the statement may be obtained by Name: Address: phone fax	by contacting:		
No. Explain why no statement was prepared. The proposed corrections and changes to rules will not result in or impose an increase in costs. Because there will not be any increase in costs resulting from the proposed rule changes, an SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1)			
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?			
Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may Name: Address:	be obtained by contacting:		
phone () fax () e-mail No: Please explain: The Commission is not a	an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The propo	osed rules are not	
significant legislative rules of the sort reference in RCW 34.05.328(5).			