

**Exh. ANH-6
Docket UG-200568
Witness: Aimee N. Higby**

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

**CASCADE NATURAL GAS
CORPORATION,**

Respondent.

DOCKET UG-200568

**EXHIBIT TO
TESTIMONY OF**

Aimee N. Higby

**STAFF OF
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

Cascade Response to UTC Staff Data Request No. 88

November 19, 2020

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
v.
Cascade Natural Gas Corporation
UTC STAFF Data Request
UG-200568

Request No. 88

Date prepared: 9/2/2020

Preparer: Brian Robertson

Contact: Christopher Mickelson

Email: Christopher.Mickelson@cngc.com

Telephone: 509-734-4549

UTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 88:

Re: Weather Normalization

The Joint Settlement Agreement in Docket UG-152286 provides that the Company shall “use an alternative way of reporting monthly usage if unbilled therms are not trued up monthly: align heating degree days with billing cycles on a monthly basis, rather than using monthly usage data that includes gross estimates of unbilled therms.” (UG-152286 Order 04, Exhibit A, paragraph 44, subsection (f)).

Please describe whether and how the Company complies with this settlement term regarding monthly usage data as provided in Exh. BLR-2.

Response:

Cascade’s unbilled therm process is trued up annually in the month of August. Cascade attempted to align heating degree days with billing cycles in the 2017 GRC, UG-170929, where pipeline data was used to align heating degree days with actual daily usage. This methodology also required an allocation using shifted billing cycles to allocate the pipeline usage data to the appropriate rate schedules. Staff expressed concern with this methodology, so Cascade and the UTC agree to continue using the agreed weather normalization methodology. Outside of a load study, Cascade does not believe there is a better way to align HDDs with actual usage than the existing unbilled adjustment.