BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

PROOF OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. UE-001952

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS That the undersigned, an employee of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission at Olympia, Washington, hereby certifies that a copy of the document referred to below was served on the parties of record in said proceeding in the following manner:

On the 5TH day of JANUARY, 2001, a true copy of FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER: GRANTING MOTIONS CHALLENGING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION; GRANTING MOTION TO STRIKE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.

in the above-entitled cause now pending before the Commission was enclosed in an envelope addressed to each of the parties of record as set forth below. Each envelope was addressed to the address shown in the official files attached hereto, sealed with the required first-class postage thereon, and deposited on said date in the United States mail in the City of Olympia, County of Thurston, State of Washington.

PARTIES OF RECORD AND OTHERS RECEIVING NOTICE

Parties of Record on Filing: 001952 In-House Distribution List: UTIL.DIS

Faxed to Parties

Katherine Hunter, Records Center Manager

Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Records Center Ph. # 360-664-1234 Fax # 360-586-1150

Docket No. UE-001952 et al

Date: January 5, 2001

Number of pages including cover sheet: 7

NAME:	FAX NUMBER:
Melinda Davison (Georgia-Pacific West, Inc.)	503-241-8160
Whatcom County PUD #1	360-384-4849
Stan Berman (PSE)	206-447-0849
James Van Nostrand (PSE)	206-386-7500
Kimberly Harris (PSE)	425-462-3300
Frank Prochaska (AWPPW)	425-339-6196
John A. Cameron & Traci Grundon (Bellingham Cold Storage)	503-241-2300
Jim Pemberton (City of Anacortes)	360-293-1938
Don Trotter, AAG & Jeff Goltz, AAG & Robert Cedarbaum, AAG (Staff)	360-586-5522
Simon ffitch & Robert Cromwell (Public Counsel)	206-389-2058

***	*****	K****	(##)	K*****	****	****	****	*****		
Ж Ж				(TRANSACTION	REPORT			P. 01	1 X
* *				_			-	JAN-08-2001	MON 07:11	AM *
ж Ж	DATE	START	0.	RECEIVER	TX TIME	PAGES	TYPE	NOTE	M#	DP *
ж——— Ж Ф	JAN-08	07:08	AM	8-54393450324181	60 2′27 ″	7	SEND	OK	720	** ***********************************
**************************************						ТОТА	L:	2M 27S PAGES:	7	** **

Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

> Records Center Ph. # 360-664-1234 Fax # 360-586-1150

Docket No. UE-001952 et al

Date: January 5, 2001

Number of pages including cover sheet: 7

NAME:	FAX NUMBER:
Melinda Davison (Georgia-Pacific West, Inc.)	503-241-8160
Whatcom County PUD #1	360-384-4849
Stan Berman (PSF)	206-447-0849

TRANSACTION REPORT

JAN-05-2001 FRI 05:41 PM

BROADCAST

DATE	START	RECE I VER	TX TIME	PAGES	TYPE	NOT	E		M♯	۲ ۱۲ P R ۱۲ P R
JAN-05	05:38 PM 05:06 PM 05:09 PM 05:12 PM 05:17 PM 05:21 PM 05:24 PM 05:27 PM 05:30 PM	8-543934-2064470849 8-543934-2063867500 8-543934-4254623300 8-543934-4253396196 8-543934-5032412300 8-543934-3602931938 9-5865522	**' **" 2' 36" 2' 24" 4' 01" 3' 09" 2' 27" 2' 28" 2' 25" 2' 24"	0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	SEND SEND SEND SEND SEND SEND SEND SEND	BUS' OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	Y		718 718 718 718 718 718 718 718 718 718	K K K K K K
	-			TOTA	L:	24M 18S	PAGES:	63		>

Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

> Records Center Ph. # 360-664-1234 Fax # 360-586-1150

Docket No. UE-001952 et al

Date: January 5, 2001

Number of pages including cover sheet: 7

NAME:	FAX NUMBER:
Melinda Davison (Georgia-Pacific West, Inc.)	503-241-8160
Whatcom County PUD #1	360-384-4849
Stan Berman (PSE)	206-447-0849

			2207	9383									(25.	11,			9734		3197	1501	
98512	77210	98001	98124-2207	98248-9383	98104	97201		98164	77056	97201	98164		97201	98004-55	98227-11%	98221	98201	98009-9734		98101-3197	18195-1501	
WA	XI	WA	MA	WA	MA	OR		MA	TX	OR	MA		OR	WA	WA	WA	MA	MA		WA	PA	
Tumwater	Houston	Auburn	Seattle	Ferndale	Seattle	Portland		Seattle	Houston	Portland	Seattle		Portland	Bellevue	BELLINGHAM	Anacortes	Everett	BELLEVUE		Seattle	ALLENTOWN	
CNC Containers; 3045 32nd Ave.	Equilon Enterprises LLC; PO Box 4453	Tesoro Northwest Co.; 3450 S 344th Way	The Boeing Company; PO Box 3707	Public Utility District No. 1 Whatco; 1705 Trigg Rd	Heller Ehrman White McAuliffe, LLP; 701 Fifth Avenue #6100	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE; 1300 S W Fifth Ave STE 2300	WUTC; Attorney General Office; State Mail Stop 40128	Public Counsel; 900 Fourth Ave. STE 2000	Air Liquide America Corporation; 2700 Post Oak Blvd.	Davison Van Cleve; 1300 SW Fifth Ave. STE 2915	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL; PUBLIC COUNSEL; 900 4th Avenue STE 2000	WUTC; Attorney General Section; State Mail Stop 40128	David Wright Tremaine LLP; 1300 SW Fifth Avenue STE 2300	Puget Sound Energy; One Bellevue Center DBC-15; 411 - 108th Ave NE STE 1800	GEORGIA-PACIFIC WEST, Inc.; Transportation Division; PO Box 1174	City of Anacortes; Public Works; PO Box 547	Association of Western Pulp and Pape; 3124 Grand Ave.	Puget Sound Energy (E012); Rates & Regulations; PO Box 97034 (MS:OBC-03W)	WUTC; Attorney General Section; State Mail Stop 40128	Stoel Rives LLP; 600 University Street STE 3600	AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICAL INC.; 7201 HAMILTON BLVD.	
				Anderson, Tom	Berman, Stan	Cameron, John	Cedarbaum, Robert D	Cromwell, Robert, Jr	Darnell, Mark	Davison, Melinda	ffitch, Simon	Goltz, Jeffrey	Grundon, Traci	Harris, Kimberly	Hazlett, Scott	Pemberton, Jim	Prochaska, Frank	Secrist, Steve	Trotter, Don	VAN NOSTRAND, JAMES	YOTT, MR ROGER	
272474	224998	272479	224996	182895	270940	64337	78716	253563	226326	233962	140939	70759	271726	155639	31040	174624	272395	13055	84476	40828	43875	

FORMAL UTILITY ORDERS & LETTERS

Date Served: 01/05/01	Docket No: UE-0	001952	
	LASSIFICATION;	GRANTING MOTIONS CHALLEN I; GRANTING MOTION TO S	NGING TRIKE
Cathy Kern (hand deliver Utility Industry Support Perwater - 5 copies, telecom 3 copies Vicki Elliott ALJ assigned? if not,1 compension of Penny Hansen Rachel Porter (1 copy) (Notes and Copies of Open Meeting and Copies of Open orders to the AG's and Copies order to the AG's	erson & energy py ALD lo and No g Orders) ned do not n Meeting orders, dules)	Policy Planning Paul Curl Final Util. Sub. File Public Affairs Team members - inc. Reg St (check in-house distribution too) Dept of Health (Ethan Moser Gregg Grunenfelder) Water Orders Only! Mike Sommerville (Protective Orders Only)	list ng &

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Air Liquide America Corporation, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., The Boeing Company, CNC Containers, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, Georgia-Pacific West, Inc., Tesoro Northwest Company, The City of Anacortes, Washington, and Intel Corporation))))	
Complainants,)))	DOCKET NO. UE-001952 (consolidated)
Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Respondent.)))	
In re: Petition of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. for an Order Reallocating Lost Revenues Related to any Reduction in the Schedule 48 or G-P Special Contract Rates)))))))	DOCKET NO. UE-001959 (consolidated) FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER: GRANTING MOTIONS CHALLENGING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION GRANTING MOTION TO STRIKE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
)	DECOME MAINTENANCE COMMENT

PROCEEDINGS: Air Liquide, *et al.* filed their original Formal Complaint Requesting Emergency Adjudicative Proceeding in Docket No. UE-001952 on December 12, 2000. Complainants filed an Amended Complaint on December 18, 2000, and a Second Amended Complaint on December 28, 2000. PSE filed its Petition in Docket No. UE-001959 on December 13, 2000. PSE filed its Answer to the Amended Complaint on January 2, 2001.

The Commission, on due and proper notice, conducted a prehearing conference on December 14, 2000, before Chairwoman Marilyn Showalter, Commissioner Richard Hemstad, and Administrative Law Judge Dennis J. Moss. Among other things, the Commission established a procedural schedule, invoked the discovery rule (WAC)

1

9

10

11

the Second Amended Complaint is wholly inconsistent with the expedited schedule . . ." in this proceeding. Third, and finally, PSE argues the addition of Intel Corporation as a Complainant at this time "would prevent PSE from conducting meaningful and necessary discovery before [commencement of evidentiary proceedings on] January 8, and thereby prejudice PSE in its hearing preparation."

Complainants replied orally that since the Second Amended Complaint made no substantive change in the allegations previously asserted via the first Amended Complaint, it really does not matter to Complainant whether the Commission decides to proceed on the first Amended Complaint or the Second Amended Complaint. Complainants also noted that the Second Amended Complaint withdrew certain affidavits that accompanied the first Amended Complaint.

WAC 480-09-425 provides that pleadings should be liberally construed with a view to effect justice among the parties. WAC 480-09-425(5) says that "[t]he Commission may allow amendments to the pleadings or other relevant documents at any time upon such terms as may be lawful and just." Thus, whether to permit the Second Amended Complaint is a matter that remains within the sound discretion of the Commission to decide. The ends of justice do not appear to require the Commission to allow the Second Amended Complaint in this proceeding. The Second Amended Complaint would effect no substantive change in the allegations Respondents face, but in the face of Respondent's claim of prejudice, the Commission exercises its discretion to not allow the Second Amended Complaint.

We turn next to Complainants' Motion Challenging PSE's November Financial Report as Highly Confidential and PSE's response. The Commission's Protective Order in this proceeding, as amended, provides in Section 6 that parties may designate documents "that truly might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without the heightened protections provided in this Section" as "Highly Confidential." When so designated, scrutiny of such documents is strictly limited to no more than one counsel and one consultant per party (other than Staff and Public Counsel) under highly restrictive terms. Principally, the "Highly Confidential" designation is to protect parties from disclosure of their documents that might, if disseminated, cause the producing party competitive harm. Thus, anyone designated for review of such documents must execute an affidavit, under oath, certifying among other things that "they do not now, and will not for a period of five years, involve themselves in competitive decision making by any company or business organization that competes, or potentially competes, with the company or business organization from whom they seek disclosure of highly confidential information."

This contrasts to the treatment of documents that are designated "Confidential" under the Protective Order. "Confidential" documents also are afforded substantial protections from disclosure, including limited distribution to designated persons who securities on the basis of the information." Thus, the non-disclosure rules simply do not apply to documents filed at the Commission and sought for review by outside counsel and consultants in an adjudicatory proceeding pending before the Commission.

- For these reasons, we find that the "Highly Confidential" designation is not warranted for PSE's November 2000 Financial Report. We find no reason, however, not to afford the document a "Confidential" designation absent further challenge.
- Finally, we turn to Complainants Expedited Motion Challenging Designation of 17 PSE's Response to Complainants' Data Request 1.03 as Highly Confidential and Request for Expedited Treatment and PSE's response in opposition. The background set forth above pertains with equal force here. The facts are that PSE previously produced a paper copy of the disputed information under the "Confidential" designation. Later, however, after the Protective Order was amended, PSE produced the same data in electronic format but assigned a "Highly Confidential" designation. PSE's reason for this appears from its response to be that it believes Complainants' counsel and outside consultants who are entitled to review "Confidential" documents will breach the requirements of our Protective Order. We are not prepared to make that assumption. The purpose of a Protective Order is to facilitate discovery by providing assurances that sensitive information will be afforded certain protections from disclosure. The "Confidential" designation apparently was sufficient to PSE's needs in this regard when it provided the paper version of this data. The risk that someone will breach the Protective Order's terms protecting documents from the type of disclosure PSE says it fears does not appear to be either greater or lesser because the same data now is being made available in electronic format. Complainant's Motion is well taken; PSE's argument in opposition is misplaced. The Motion should be granted.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION ORDERS That PSE's Motion To Strike Second Amended Complaint is GRANTED.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS FURTHER That Complainants' Motion Challenging PSE's November Financial Report as "Highly Confidential" is GRANTED.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS FURTHER That PSE's November Financial Report is classified as "Confidential" under the terms of the Protective Order in this proceeding, subject to further review in the event of a challenge to that status.