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Qualifications of Greg R. Meyer

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

**A.** Greg R. Meyer. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140, Chesterfield, MO 63017.

**Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.**

**A.** I am an Associate in the field of public utility regulation with the firm of Brubaker & Associates, Inc. (BAI), energy, economic and regulatory consultants.

**Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.**

A. I graduated from the University of Missouri in 1979 with a Bache­lor of Science Degree in Business Administration, with a major in Accounting. Subsequent to graduation I was employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission. I was employed with the Commission from July 1, 1979 until May 31, 2008.

I began my employment at the Missouri Public Service Commission as a Junior Auditor. During my employment at the Commission, I was promoted to higher auditing classifications. My final position at the Commission was an Auditor V, which I held for approximately ten years.

As an Auditor V, I conducted audits and examinations of the accounts, books, records and reports of jurisdictional utilities. I also aided in the planning of audits and investigations, including staffing decisions, and in the development of staff positions in which the Auditing Department was assigned. I served as Lead Auditor and/or Case Supervisor as assigned. I assisted in the technical training of other auditors, which included the preparation of auditors’ workpapers, oral and written testimony.

During my career at the Missouri Public Service Commission, I presented testimony in numerous electric, gas, telephone and water and sewer rate cases. In addition, I was involved in cases regarding service territory transfers. In the context of those cases listed above, I presented testimony on all conventional ratemaking principles related to a utility’s revenue requirement. During the last three years of my employment with the Commission, I was involved in developing transmission policy for the Southwest Power Pool as a member of the Cost Allocation Working Group.

In June of 2008, I joined the firm of Brubaker & Associates, Inc. as a Consultant. Since joining the firm, I have presented testimony and/or testified in the state jurisdictions of Florida, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Missouri and Washington. I have also appeared and presented testimony in Alberta and Nova Scotia, Canada. These cases involved addressing conventional ratemaking principles focusing on the utility’s revenue requirement. The firm Brubaker & Associates, Inc. provides consulting services in the field of energy procurement and public utility regulation to many clients including industrial and institutional customers, some utilities and, on occasion, state regulatory agencies.

More specifically, we provide analysis of energy procurement options based on consideration of prices and reliability as related to the needs of the client; prepare rate, feasibility, economic, and cost of service studies relating to energy and utility services; prepare depreciation and feasibility studies relating to utility service; assist in contract negotiations for utility services, and provide technical support to legislative activities.

In addition to our main office in St. Louis, the firm has branch offices in Phoenix, Arizona and Corpus Christi, Texas.